



VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR RENEWAL OF THE CREDITING PERIOD FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS

(Version 01.0)

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT (PDD)

Project title:	>>		
UNFCCC reference number:	>> .		
Next crediting period:	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date. Number: >>		
PDD version number to which this validation report applies:	>>		
Participating Parties and authorized activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Name of activity participant(s)	Party that provided authorization
	Choose a type of Party.	>>	Choose a Party.
	Choose a type of Party.	>>	Choose a Party.
	Choose a type of Party.	>>	Choose a Party.
Applied methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	>>		
Sectoral scopes:	>>		
Post-registration changes: <i>(Select one or more options)</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> This request for renewal of crediting period <u>does not</u> include post-registration changes <input type="checkbox"/> This request for renewal of crediting period includes the following post-registration changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design <input type="checkbox"/> Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme		
Estimated annual emission reductions or net removals over the crediting period (tCO₂e/year):	Current crediting period	Next crediting period	
	>>	>>	

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VALIDATION REPORT	
Version number and date of completion of validation report:	Version number: >> Date of completion: Enter a date.
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	>>
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	>>

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**B.1. Validation team members***(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

B.1. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation**C.1. Desk/documents review**

>>

C.2. On-site inspection*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

C.3. Interviews*(Copy/paste rows as necessary)*

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Compliance with PDD form	>>	>>	>>
Application of methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Estimation of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>

- Validity of the original baseline scenario or its update	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration changes			
- Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – corrections	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
- Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Start date and duration of the next crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Activity participants	>>	>>	>>
Other (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for renewal of crediting period

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.2.1. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

D.2.6. Estimation of GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.2.7. Monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.3. Validity of the original baseline or its update

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4. Post-registration changes**D.4.1. Permanent changes – corrections**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4.2. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4.3. Permanent changes – changes to the project design

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.4.4. Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.5. Start date and duration of the next crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

D.6. Activity participants

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	Choose an item.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
		Date:	Enter a date

Table 2. CARs from this verification

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>>		
	Evidences provided:	>>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>>		
		Date:	Enter a date

Table 3. FARs from this verification

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>>
Description of the issue:	>>		
	Requirements:	>>	Date: Enter a date

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance of validation report with requirements

- 1.1. When completing this form, comply with the “Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects” (A6.4-STAN-AC-003).¹
- 1.2. Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but not limited to tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3. List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language

- 2.1. Complete this form in English;
- 2.2. Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language;

3. Format and lay-out of the form

- 3.1. Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2. Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable

- 4.1. If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions


- 5.1. Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2. Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms

- 6.1. The following acronyms are referred to in this form:
 - (a) PDD: project design document;
 - (b) MoC: modalities of communication;
 - (c) Validation and verification standard: Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects;
 - (d) Activity standard: Article 6.4 activity standard for projects.

¹ The “Rules and Regulations” section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (<https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations>) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activities.

2. Specific instructions

 VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR RENEWAL OF THE CREDITING PERIOD FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS (Version 01.0)			
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT DESIGN DOCUMENT			
Project title:	>> <i>Provide the title of the project.</i>		
UNFCCC reference number:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC unique reference number for the project received after publishing the notification of prior consideration.</i>		
Next crediting period:	Start date: Enter a date. End date: Enter a date. Number: >> <i>Provide the start date, end date and the next crediting period number (i.e. 2nd or 3rd)</i>		
PDD version number to which this validation report applies:	>> <i>Provide the version number of the PDD to which this validation report is applied</i>		
Participating Parties and authorized activity participant(s):	Type of Party	Name of activity participant(s)	Party that provided authorization
	Choose a type of Party.	>>	Choose a Party.
	Choose a type of Party.	>>	Choose a Party.
	Choose a type of Party.	>>	Choose a Party.
	<i>Provide the name of each activity participant that is authorized by the participating Parties and indicate the type of Party (i.e. 'Host Party' or 'Other participating Party').</i>		
Applied methodologies and standardised baselines, and their versions:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers, titles and versions of all applied methodologies, and where applicable, all applied standardized baselines, using one line per applied methodology or standardized baseline.</i>		
Sectoral scopes:	>> <i>Provide all sectoral scopes of the project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope.</i>		
Post-registration changes: (Select one or more options)	<input type="checkbox"/> This request for renewal of crediting period <u>does not</u> include post-registration changes <i>Check this option if no post-registration change is submitted within the request for renewal of the crediting period</i> <input type="checkbox"/> This request for renewal of crediting period includes the following post-registration changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> Corrections <input type="checkbox"/> Permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents <input type="checkbox"/> Changes to the project design 		

	<input type="checkbox"/> Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme <i>Check one or more of the options above, as applicable, if a post-registration change is submitted with this request for renewal of the crediting period.</i>	
Estimated annual emission reductions or net removals over the crediting period (tCO ₂ e/year):	Current crediting period	Next crediting period
	>>	>>
	<i>Indicate which are the estimated average annual emission reductions or net removals determined for the current and for the next crediting periods.</i>	
BASIC INFORMATION OF THE VALIDATION REPORT		
Version number and date of completion of the validation report:	Version number: >> <i>Provide the version number of this validation report</i> Date of completion: Enter a date.	
Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE:	>> <i>Provide the UNFCCC reference number and the name of the DOE</i>	
Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report:	>> <i>Provide the name and include the digital signature of the approver of the validation report</i>	

SECTION A. Executive summary

>> *Provide a summary of the project (including the purpose and general description and location), scope of the validation, validation process and its conclusion.*

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver**B.1. Validation team members**

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)	Involved in			
						Desk/document review	On-site inspection	Interviews	Validation findings
>>	Chose an item	Choose an item.	>>	>>	>>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide the details of the validation team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Role	Type of resource ¹	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity)
>>	Choose an item.	Choose	>>	>>	>>

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard “Article 6.4 accreditation”:

- (a) IR (Internal Resource);
- (b) EI (External Individuals);
- (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

		an item.			
--	--	----------	--	--	--

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>>

Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date.				
No.	Activity performed on-site	Site Location	Date	Team member
>>	>>	>>	Enter a date	>>

Summarize any on-site inspection performed during the validation in the table.

If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

No.	Interviewee			Date	Subject	Team Member
	Last Name	First Name	Affiliation			
>>	>>	>>	>>	Enter a date.	>>	>>

Summarize all the interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

Where a sampling approach is used for the validation, summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).

Where a sampling approach is used for the on-site inspection, include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

Area of validation findings	No. of CLs	No. of CARs	No. of FARs
Compliance with PDD form	>>	>>	>>
Application and selection methodologies and standardized baselines			
- Application of methodologies	>>	>>	>>
- Application of methodological tools	>>	>>	>>
- Application of standardized baselines	>>	>>	>>
- Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party	>>	>>	>>
- Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool	>>	>>	>>
- Estimation of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals	>>	>>	>>
- Monitoring plan	>>	>>	>>
Validity of the original baseline scenario or its update	>>	>>	>>
Post-registration changes			
- Temporary deviations from the registered monitoring plan, applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – corrections	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents	>>	>>	>>
- Permanent changes – changes to the project design	>>	>>	>>
- Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme	>>	>>	>>
Start date and duration of the next crediting period	>>	>>	>>
Activity participants	>>	>>	>>
Other (please specify)	>>	>>	>>
TOTAL	>>	>>	>>

Indicate the number of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for renewal of crediting period

Complete sub-sections below to validate the compliance in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated;
- (b) Findings: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 the details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;
- (c) Conclusion: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Compliance with PDD form

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the information transferred to the later valid version of the PDD form is materially the same as that in the registered PDD.

D.2. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.2.1. Application of methodologies

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application of the methodologies and the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated PDD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.2.2. Application of methodological tools

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application methodological tools quoted by the selected methodologies in the updated PDD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodological tools with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.2.3. Application of standardized baselines

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the application of the standardized baselines and the other methodological regulatory documents in the updated PDD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

Explain how the compatibility of the application of the standardized baselines with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.2.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compatibility of the baseline approach identified for the project in the updated PDD with the baseline approaches specified by the host Party, if applicable, , was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

If a standardized baseline is applied to the proposed project, just indicate so by referring to section D.6.3.

Explain how the compatibility with other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable, was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

D.2.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

>>

Indicate whether a clarification was sought or a request for revision was requested for the selected methodology, methodological tool or any other applied methodological regulatory document prior to the submission of the request of the renewal the crediting period of projects, including the date of approval of such request.

D.2.6. Estimation of GHG emission reductions and net removals

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

If there is a deviation from the selected approved methodology or methodological tool, determine whether the activity participants had obtained a clarification from the Supervisory Body that such deviation is acceptable in accordance with the “Procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools”.

D.2.7. Monitoring plan

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the modalities for developing the monitoring plan in the updated PDD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

D.3. Validity of the original baseline or its update

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the validity of the baseline in the updated PDD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements related to the renewal of crediting period of projects in the validation and verification standard.

D.4. Post-registration changes**D.4.1. Permanent changes – corrections**

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how corrections to the PDD, effective from the start date of the new crediting period, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the corrections in the validation and verification standard.

D.4.2. Permanent changes – permanent changes to the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents, effective from the start date of the new crediting, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the permanent changes from the registered monitoring plan, or permanent deviation of monitoring from the applied methodologies, standardized baselines or other methodological regulatory documents in the validation and verification standard.

D.4.3. Permanent changes – changes to the project design

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the changes to the project design, effective from the start date of the new crediting period, were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

D.4.4. Registration under or overlap with other crediting scheme

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain how the compliance with the requirements relating to registration under or coverage by a programme, under any other international, regional, national, or subnational or sector-wide GHG mitigation crediting scheme contained in the activity standard were assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements related to the changes to the project design in the validation and verification standard.

D.5. Start date and duration of the next crediting period

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the start date and the length of the crediting period in the updated PDD was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

State whether the length of the crediting period of the proposed project is in line with the same that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable.

D.6. Activity participants

Means of validation	>>
Findings	>>
Conclusions	>>

Explain whether the names of the activity participants included in the updated PDD are consistent with the names of the activity participants in the latest version of the MoC statement.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Provide information on quality control within the team and in the validation process.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Provide a validation opinion that includes:

- (i) A summary of the validation method and the process used and the validation criteria applied.*
- (ii) A summary of the validation conclusions.*
- (iii) A statement on the validation of the estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.*
- (iv) A statement on whether the proposed A6.4 project meets all applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, including reasons.*

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Full text
>>	>>

- Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.
- Add rows as necessary.

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 – Documents reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
>>	>>	>>	>>	Choose an item.

- Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:
 - Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable;
 - Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s);
 - References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the version, dates of completion/publication, version of the document (if available) and URL;
 - Provider: choose 'Activity participants' or 'Others' to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review.
- Copy/paste rows as necessary.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

CL ID: >>	Section number: >>	>> Indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CL corresponds
-----------	--------------------	--

Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised</i>		
	Requirements:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of the regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>> <i>Include the response provided by the activity participants</i>		
	Evidences provided:	>> <i>Indicate which evidences were provided by activity participants to support the response</i>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>> <i>Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the CL, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidences.</i>		
			Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each new CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) *Responses from activity participants;*
- (b) *DOE assessment.*

Table 2. CARs from this verification

CAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>> <i>Indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CAR corresponds</i>
Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised</i>		
	Requirements:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of the regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date: Enter a date
Responses from activity participants:	>> <i>Include the response provided by the activity participants</i>		
	Evidences provided:	>> <i>Indicate which evidences were provided by activity participants to support the response</i>	Date: Enter a date
DOE assessment:	>> <i>Provide a detailed assessment of the response and indicate whether the response is sufficient to close the CAR, otherwise provide the rationale for not accepting the responses and/or evidences.</i>		
			Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each new CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.

- (a) *Responses from activity participants;*
- (b) *DOE assessment.*

Table 3. FARs from this verification

FAR ID:	>>	Section number:	>> <i>Indicate the section number of the validation report to which each FAR corresponds</i>
Description of the issue:	>> <i>Provide a detailed description of the issue raised</i>		
	Requirements:	>> <i>Indicate against which paragraph of the regulatory document the issue was raised.</i>	Date: Enter a date

If needed, copy the table above for each new FAR.

Document information

Version	Date	Description
01.0	11 December 2024	Initial publication of form template.
Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 projects, project implementation, renewal of crediting period, validation report		