

VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS (Version 01.0)

| | Basic Information | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Project title: | >> | | | |
| UNFCCC project reference number: | >> | | | |
| Version number of the PDD to which this validation report applies: | >> | | | |
| Participating Parties and activity participant(s): | Type of Party | Name of activity participant(s) | Party that provided authorization | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | Choose a Party. | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | Choose a Party. | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | Choose a Party. | |
| Applied methodologies and standardized baselines, and their versions: | >> | | | |
| Sectoral scope(s): | >> | | | |
| Estimated annual emission reductions or net removals over the crediting period (tCO ₂ e/year): | >> | | | |
| | BASIC INFORMATION OF T | THE VALIDATION REPORT | | |
| Version number and date | Version number: >> | | | |
| of completion of the validation report: | Date of completion: | Enter a date. | | |
| Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE: | >> | | | |
| Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report: | >> | | | |

Version 01.0 Page 1 of 28

SECTION A. Executive summary

>>

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | | | | | | I | nvol | ved i | n |
|-----|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|
| No. | Role | Type of resource ¹ | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) | Desk/document review | On-site inspection | Interviews | Validation findings |
| >> | Chose an item | Choose an item. | >> | >> | >> | | | | |

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| (1-) | opy, paste reme as necessary, | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| No. | Role | Type of resource | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) | | |
| >> | Choose an item. | >> | >> | >> | >> | | |

Version 01.0 Page 2 of 28

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the standard "Article 6.4 accreditation":

⁽a) IR (Internal Resource);

⁽b) EI (External Individuals);

⁽c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>>

C.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | Duration of on-site inspection: Enter a date. to Enter a date. | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--|
| No. | Activity performed on-site | Site Location | Date | Team member | |
| >> | >> | >> | Enter a date | >> | |

C.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No. | | Interviewee Date Subject | | | Team | | |
|-----|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|--------|--|
| NO. | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation | Date | Subject | Member | |
| >> | >> | >> | >> | Enter a date. | >> | >> | |

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

| Area of validation findings | No. of CLs | No. of CARs | No. of FARs |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism | >> | >> | >> |
| Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve | >> | >> | >> |
| Description of the project | >> | >> | >> |
| Avoidance of double or revived registration | >> | >> | >> |
| Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines | | | |
| Application of methodologies and standardized baselines | | | |
| - Application of methodologies | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of methodological tools | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of standardized baselines | >> | >> | >> |
| Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party, if applicable | >> | >> | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 3 of 28

| Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool | >> | >> | >> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|
| - Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage | >> | >> | >> |
| - Identification of the baseline scenario | >> | >> | >> |
| - Demonstration of additionality | >> | >> | >> |
| - Addressing non-permanence and risks of reversals | >> | >> | >> |
| - Estimation of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals | >> | >> | >> |
| - Monitoring plan | >> | >> | >> |
| Start date, crediting period type and duration | >> | >> | >> |
| Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts | >> | >> | >> |
| Monitoring plan of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators | | | |
| Local/subnational stakeholder consultation | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party approval | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party/other participating Party authorization | >> | >> | >> |
| Global stakeholder consultation | >> | >> | >> |
| Modalities of communication | >> | >> | >> |
| TOTAL | >> | >> | >> |

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for registration

D.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 4 of 28

D.3. Description of the project

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.4. Avoidance of double or revived registration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.5. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.5.1. Application of methodologies

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.6.1. Application of methodologies

| • • | |
|---------------------|----|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.2. Application of methodological tools

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 5 of 28

D.6.3. Application of standardized baselines

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party, if applicable

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.6. Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.7. Identification of the baseline scenario

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.8. Demonstration of additionality

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 6 of 28

D.6.9. Estimation of GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals

D.6.9.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.9.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.9.3. Ex ante calculation of emission reductions

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.9.4. Summary of ex-ante GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.10. Addressing non-permanence and risks of reversals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.6.11. Monitoring plan

D.6.11.1. Data and parameters to be monitored

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 7 of 28

D.6.11.2. Description of the monitoring plan

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.7. Start date, crediting period type and duration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.8. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.9. Monitoring plan of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

D.10. Local/subnational stakeholder consultation

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 8 of 28

| | A0.4-1 ONIII-A0-022 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| D.11. Host F | Party approval |
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |
| | Party/other participating Parties authorization |
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |
| D.13. Modal Means of validation | ities of communication >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |
| | |
| D.14. Globa | I stakeholder consultation |
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |
| | |
| D.15. Integr | ity safeguards |
| | |

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

>>

>>

Means of

validation

Findings

Conclusions

Version 01.0 Page 9 of 28

SECTION F. Validation opinion

>>

Version 01.0 Page 10 of 28

APPENDIX 1 – Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Full text |
|--------------|-----------|
| >> | >> |

APPENDIX 2 – Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>>

APPENDIX 3 - Documents reviewed or referenced

| No. | Author | Title | References to the document | Provider |
|-----|--------|-------|----------------------------|----------|
| >> | >> | >> | >> | >> |

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

| (| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----|-------|--------------|--|
| CL ID: | >> Section number: >> | | | n number: >> | |
| Description | >> | | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | |
| Responses | >> | | | | |
| from activity participants: | Evidences provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | |
| DOE | >> | | | | |
| assessment: | | | Date: | Enter a date | |

Table 2. CARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

| (| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----|-------|--------------|--|
| CAR ID: | >> Section number: >> | | | n number: >> | |
| Description | >> | | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | |
| Responses | >> | | | | |
| from activity participants: | Evidences provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date | |
| DOE | >>V | | | | |
| assessment: | | | Date: | Enter a date | |

Version 01.0 Page 11 of 28

Table 3. FARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

| FAR ID: | >> | | | n number: >> |
|---------------|---------------|----|-------|--------------|
| Description | >> | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |

Version 01.0 Page 12 of 28

ATTACHMENT. Instructions for completing this form

1. General instructions

1. Compliance with requirements, regulations and standards

- 1.1 When completing this form, comply with the "Article 6.4 validation and verification standard for projects".1
- 1.2 Include, if necessarily, additional information other than that indicated in this form in order to support how the designated operational entity (DOE) has arrived at its validation conclusions. This information may include, but not limited to, tables, graphs and annexes such as a validation protocol.
- 1.3 List all the abbreviations used in this validation report in Appendix 1 below.

2. Working language

- 2.1 Complete this form in English.
- 2.2 Prepare all attached documents in English, or provide full translation to English of relevant sections of documents if their originals were prepared in other language,

3. Format and lay-out of the form

- 3.1 Complete this form using the same format without modifying its font, headings or logo, and without any other alteration to the form.
- 3.2 Do not modify or delete tables and their columns in this form. Add rows of the tables and copy/paste tables as needed. Add additional appendices as needed.

4. Sections not applicable

4.1 If a section of this form is not applicable, explicitly state that the section is left blank intentionally.

5. Other instructions

- 5.1 Use an internationally recognized format for presentation of values. For example, use digits grouping in thousands and mark a decimal point with a dot (.), not with a comma (,).
- 5.2 Complete this form removing this Attachment.

6. Acronyms and abbreviations

- 6.1 The following acronyms and abbreviations are referred to in this form:
 - (a) PDD: project design document;
 - (b) Activity standard: Article 6.4 activity standard for projects;
 - (c) Activity cycle procedure: Article 6.4 activity cycle procedure for projects.

Version 01.0 Page 13 of 28

¹ The "Rules and Regulations" section of the UNFCCC Article 6.4 mechanism website (https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/bodies/constituted-bodies/article-64-supervisory-body/rules-and-regulations) contains all regulatory documents for the Article 6.4 mechanism, such as standards (including methodologies and standardized baselines), procedures, methodological tools, guidelines, clarifications and forms that are applicable to the A6.4 activities.

2. Specific instructions



VALIDATION REPORT FORM FOR ARTICLE 6.4 PROJECTS (Version 01.0)

| | (version 01.0) | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| BA | ASIC INFORMATION OF THE P | ROJECT DESIGN DOCUMEN | т | | |
| Project title: | >> Provide the title of the proposed project as in the PDD. | | | | |
| UNFCCC reference number: | | C unique reference numbed after publishing the no | | | |
| Version number of the PDD to which this validation report applies: | >> Provide the version reapplied. | number of the PDD to wh | ich this validation report is | | |
| Participating Parties and activity participant(s): | Type of Party | Name of activity participant(s) | Party that provided authorization | | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | Choose a Party. | | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | Choose a Party. | | |
| | Choose a type of Party. | >> | Choose a Party. | | |
| | | ng Party and indicate the | is authorized by the host type of the Party (i.e. | | |
| Applied methodologies and standardized baselines, and their versions: | >> Provide the UNFCCC reference numbers, titles and versions of all applied methodologies, and where applicable, all applied standardized baselines, using one line per applied methodology or standardized baseline. | | | | |
| Sectoral scope(s): | >> Provide all sectoral scopes of the proposed project based on the applied methodologies, using one line per sectoral scope. | | | | |
| Estimated annual emission reductions/net removals over the crediting period (tCO ₂ e/year): | >> Provide the estimated average annual amount of emission reductions or net removals to be achieved by the proposed project. | | | | |
| | BASIC INFORMATION OF T | HE VALIDATION REPORT | | | |
| Version number and date | version number: >> Provide the version number of this validate | | | | |
| of completion of the validation report: | | | | | |
| Name and UNFCCC reference number of the DOE: | >> Provide the UNFCCC reference number and the name of the validating DOE. | | | | |
| Name, position and signature of the approver of the validation report: | >> Provide the name an validation report in the D | | ature of the approver of the | | |

Version 01.0 Page 14 of 28

SECTION A. Executive summary

>> Provide a summary of the project (including the purpose and general description and location), the scope of the validation, the validation process and its conclusion.

SECTION B. Validation team, technical reviewer and approver

B.1. Validation team members

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | | | | | | lr | volv | /ed i | n |
|-----|---------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------------|
| No. | Role | Type of resource¹ | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) | Desk/document review | On-site inspection | Interviews | Validation findings |
| >> | Chose an item | Choose an item. | >> | >> | >> | | | | |

Provide the details of the validation team members.

B.2. Technical reviewer and approver of the validation report

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No. | Role | Type of resource | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation (e.g. name of central or other office of DOE or outsourced entity) |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| >> | Choose an item. | Choose an item. | >> | >> | >> |

Provide the details of the technical reviewer and approver of the validation report.

Version 01.0 Page 15 of 28

¹ Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to the "Standard: Article 6.4 accreditation":

⁽a) IR (Internal Resource);

⁽b) El (External Individuals);

⁽c) OR (Outsourced Resource).

SECTION C. Means of validation

C.1. Desk/documents review

>> Explain how the desk/document review process was conducted, including summarizing the different types of documents reviewed as part of the validation process. List all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation in Appendix 3 below.

C.2. On-site inspection

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| | Duration of on-site inspection: | Enter a date. to Enter | r a date. | |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| No. | Activity performed on-site | Site Location | Date | Team member |
| >> | >> | >> | Enter a date | >> |

Summarize all on-site inspections performed during the validation in the table.

If the DOE have not conducted an on-site inspection, describe the alternative means used and justify that they are sufficient for the purpose of validation in accordance with applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

C.3. Interviews

(Copy/paste rows as necessary)

| No. | | Interviewee | | | Subject | Team Member | |
|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|--|
| NO. | Last Name | First Name | Affiliation | Date | Subject | realli Welliber | |
| >> | >> | >> | >> | Enter a date. | >> | >> | |

Summarize all interviews (i.e. in-person interviews, web/teleconferences, etc.) conducted during the validation in the table.

C.4. Sampling approach

>>

- Where a sampling approach is used for the validation, summarize the sampling approach used during the validation (e.g. random sampling).
- Where a sampling approach is used for the on-site inspection, include a description of how the sample size was determined and field check was carried out.

C.5. Clarification requests (CLs), corrective action requests (CARs) and forward action requests (FARs)

| Area of validation findings | No. of CLs | No. of CARs | No. of FARs |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism | >> | >> | >> |
| Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve | >> | >> | >> |
| Description of the project | >> | >> | >> |
| Avoidance of double or revived registration | >> | >> | >> |

Version 01.0 Page 16 of 28

| Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines | >> | >> | >> |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|
| Application of methodologies and standardized baselines | | | |
| - Application of methodologies | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of methodological tools | >> | >> | >> |
| - Application of standardized baselines | >> | >> | >> |
| Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality, specified by the host Party, if applicable | >> | >> | >> |
| Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool | >> | >> | >> |
| Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage | >> | >> | >> |
| - Identification of the baseline scenario | >> | >> | >> |
| - Demonstration of additionality | >> | >> | >> |
| Addressing non-permanence and risks of reversals | >> | >> | >> |
| - Estimation of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals | >> | >> | >> |
| - Monitoring plan | >> | >> | >> |
| Start date, crediting period type and duration | >> | >> | >> |
| Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts | >> | >> | >> |
| Monitoring plan of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators | >> | >> | >> |
| Local/subnational stakeholder consultation | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party approval | >> | >> | >> |
| Host Party and other participating Party authorization | >> | >> | >> |
| Global stakeholder consultation | | | |
| Modalities of communication | >> | >> | >> |
| TOTAL | >> | >> | >> |

Indicate the numbers of CLs, CARs, and FARs raised for each of the items above. Provide the details (description, responses from activity participants and outcome from the DOE) in Appendix 4.

Version 01.0 Page 17 of 28

SECTION D. Validation of compliance with specific requirements for registration

Complete the tables in this section to validate the compliance with each specific requirement for registration in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard by describing:

- (a) Means of validation: describe how the compliance was validated;
- (b) <u>Findings</u>: provide a brief description of the findings. Include in Appendix 4 below details of any CLs, CARs and FARs, if raised;
- (c) <u>Conclusion</u>: provide a conclusion on the compliance based on the findings.

D.1. Demonstration of prior consideration of the Article 6.4 mechanism

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain when the notification of prior consideration was submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.2. Compliance with the host Party's indication of activity types that it would approve

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain whether the type of the proposed project is within the types of activities indicated by the host Party that it would approve. Refer to the information contained in the form 'Host Party participation requirements for Article 6.4 mechanism' submitted by the host Party to the secretariat and uploaded in the UNFCCC website in accordance with the applicable requirements of the activity cycle procedure, the activity standard and the validation and verification standard.

D.3. Description of the project

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description of the proposed project was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, including:

- Technology/measures to be deployed and/or implemented by the project;
- Precise geographic reference or other means of identification;
- Start date of the proposed project, and start date, type and duration of the crediting period as approved by the host Party when it approved the project;
- Estimated amount of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals during the crediting period;

Version 01.0 Page 18 of 28

D.4. Avoidance of double or revived registration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the compliance with the requirement relating to double or revived registration contained in the activity standard was assessed based on the publicly available information and/or the information provided by the activity participants.

D.5. Selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

- Explain how the selection of methodologies, methodological tools and standardized baselines to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- Confirm that the selected versions of methodologies, methodological tools and standardized baselines are valid at the time of submission of the proposed project for registration.

D.6. Application of methodologies and standardized baselines

D.6.1. Application of methodologies

| • • • | 5 |
|---------------------|----|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

- Explain how the application of the methodologies to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodologies with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.6.2. Application of methodological tools

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

• Explain how the application of the methodological tools to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

Version 01.0 Page 19 of 28

• Explain how the compatibility of the application of the methodological tools with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.6.3. Application of standardized baselines

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

- Explain how the application of selected standardized baselines to the proposed project was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.
- Explain how the compatibility of the application of the standardized baselines with the methodological requirements that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(a) of the RMPs, if applicable, was validated.

D.6.4. Application of baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party, if applicable

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

- Explain how the compatibility of the baseline approach identified for the project with the baseline approaches specified by the host Party, if applicable, was validated in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard. If a standardized baseline is applied to the proposed project, just indicate so by referring to section D.6.3.
- Explain how the compatibility with other methodological requirements specified by the host Party, if applicable, was assessed in accordance with applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.6.5. Deviation from, or revision of, the selected methodology or methodological tool

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

If there is a deviation from the selected approved methodology or methodological tool, determine whether the activity participants had obtained a clarification from the Supervisory Body that such deviation is acceptable in accordance with the "Procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools".

Version 01.0 Page 20 of 28

D.6.6. Project boundary, sources, sinks and GHGs, and identification of leakage

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how sources and GHGs included in the project boundary for the purpose of calculating project emissions/removals and baseline emissions/removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.6.7. Identification of the baseline scenario

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the baseline scenario identified for the proposed project was assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.6.8. Demonstration of additionality

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the demonstration of additionality of the proposed project was assessed in accordance with the applied methodologies, the applied standardized baselines and the applied methodological tools for demonstration of additionality, as well as baseline approaches and other methodological requirements, including additionality specified by the host Party and in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.6.9. Estimation of GHG emission reductions and net GHG removals

D.6.9.1. Equations and parameters applied to calculate emission reductions or net removals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the equations and parameters applied to calculate GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard.

Version 01.0 Page 21 of 28

D.6.9.2. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how each of the ex ante parameters applied for the GHG calculations were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements of the applied methodologies, standardized baselines and the other applied methodological regulatory documents to calculate baseline emissions/removals, project emissions/removals and leakage as well as GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.6.9.3. Ex ante calculation of emission reductions or net removals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the data and parameters fixed ex ante that are used in the equations to calculate GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.6.9.4. Summary of ex ante emission reductions or net removals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the ex-ante estimates of baseline, project and leakage GHG emissions as well as GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals were assessed, including whether the estimates can be replicated, in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.6.10. Addressing non-permanence and risks of reversals

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the risk of non-permanence of GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals and the measures to address risks of reversals were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard, activity standard and Standard "Requirements for activities involving removals under the Article 6.4 mechanism".

Version 01.0 Page 22 of 28

D.6.11. Monitoring plan

D.6.11.1. Data and parameters to be monitored

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the data and parameters to be monitored or estimated upon implementation of the proposed project that are used in the equations to calculate GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the the validation and verification standard and the activity standard, and where applicable, the standard for sampling and surveys for Article 6.4 mechanism activities to be developed by the Supervisory Body.

D.6.11.2. Description of the monitoring plan

| Dion hiz. Docompaion of the monitoring plan | |
|---------------------------------------------|----|
| Means of validation | >> |
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the description of the monitoring plan for the proposed project were assessed in accordance with the applicable requirements in the validation and verification standard and the activity standard.

D.7. Start date, crediting period type and duration

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether the type and the length of the crediting period of the proposed project are in line with those that may be specified by the host Party in accordance with paragraph 27(b) of the RMPs, if applicable, and whether the start date of the crediting period was determined as per the requirements of the activity standard.

D.8. Environmental impacts, social impacts and sustainable development impacts

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State how the assessment of the environmental and social impacts and sustainable development impacts was conducted as per the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool.

Version 01.0 Page 23 of 28

D.9. Monitoring plan of activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State how it was assessed that the monitoring plan of the activity-level environmental and social indicators and activity-level SD indicators is in compliance with the requirements of the Article 6.4 sustainable development tool.

D.10. Local/subnational stakeholder consultation

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State how the local/subnational stakeholder consultation was conducted as per the requirements of the validation and verification standard and activity standard.

D.11. Host Party approval

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether the DNA of the host Party has provided an approval of the proposed project through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

D.12. Host Party/other participating Parties authorization

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether each activity participant listed in the PDD has been authorized to participate in the proposed project by the host Party or other participating Party through the dedicated interface on the UNFCCC website in accordance with the activity cycle procedure.

Version 01.0 Page 24 of 28

D.13. Modalities of communication

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the modalities of communication (MoC) statement was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.14. Global stakeholder consultation

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

Explain how the global stakeholder consultation process was assessed in accordance with the applicable validation requirements in the validation and verification standard.

D.15. Integrity safeguards

| Means of validation | >> |
|---------------------|----|
| Findings | >> |
| Conclusions | >> |

State whether the activity participants prepared a declaration that the development, implementation or operation of the project does not involve any illegal activities, including money laundering, tax evasion, fraud, bribery and criminal activities.

SECTION E. Internal quality control

>>

Provide information on the quality control within the validation team and in the validation process.

SECTION F. Validation opinion

- >> Provide a validation opinion that includes:
 - (a) A summary of the validation method and the process used and the validation criteria applied.
 - (b) A summary of the validation conclusions.
 - (c) A statement on the validation of the estimated GHG emission reductions or net GHG removals.

Version 01.0 Page 25 of 28

(d) A statement on whether the proposed A6.4 project meets all applicable Article 6.4 mechanism rules and requirements, including reasons.

Version 01.0 Page 26 of 28

APPENDIX 1 - Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Full text |
|--------------|-----------|
| >> | >> |

Use the table above to list all the abbreviations used in this report.

APPENDIX 2 - Competence of team members and technical reviewers

>> Provide documentation to substantiate the required competence of validation team members and technical reviewers.

APPENDIX 3 - Documents reviewed or referenced

| No. | Author | Title | References to the document | Provider |
|-----|--------|-------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| >> | >> | >> | >> | >> Choose an item. |

Use the table above to list all documents reviewed or referenced during the validation including Article 6.4 mechanism regulatory documents. For each document, indicate the following:

- (a) Title: provide the title of the document. Include the version number, if applicable.
- (b) Author: provide the name(s) of the author(s). Where the author(s) belong(s) to the organization(s) that issue the document, provide only the name(s) of the organization(s).
- (c) References to the document: where applicable, provide the relevant reference to the document such as the dates of completion/publication, versions of documents and URL.
- (d) Provider: choose 'Activity participants' or 'Others' to indicate who provided the document to the DOE for its review.

APPENDIX 4 – Clarifications requests, corrective action requests and forward action requests

Table 1. CLs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CL)

| (| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----|--------------|--------------|
| CL ID: | >> Section number: >> | | n number: >> | |
| Description of the issue: | >> | | | |
| | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| Responses | >> | | | |
| from activity participants: | Evidence provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| DOE assessment: | >> | | | |
| | | | Date: | Enter a date |

Version 01.0 Page 27 of 28

If needed, copy the table above for each CL, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed:

- (a) Responses from the activity participants.
- (b) DOE assessment.
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CL corresponds.

Table 2. CARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new CAR)

| CAR ID: | >> Section number: >> | | n number: >> | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----|--------------|--------------|
| Description of the issue: | >> | | | |
| | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| Responses | >> | | | |
| from activity participants: | Evidence provided: | >> | Date: | Enter a date |
| DOE assessment: | >> | | | |
| | | | Date: | Enter a date |

If needed, copy the table above for each CAR, and copy the following rows until the finding is closed.

- (a) Responses from the activity participants;
- (b) DOE assessment;
- (c) In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report to which each CAR corresponds.

Table 3. FARs from this validation

(Copy/paste tables for each new FAR)

| FAR ID: | >> | | Sectio | n number: | >> |
|---------------|---------------|----|--------|-------------|----|
| Description | >> | | | | |
| of the issue: | Requirements: | >> | Date: | Enter a dat | е |

If needed, copy the table above for each FAR. In each table, indicate the section number of the validation report to which each FAR corresponds.

Document information

| Version | Date | Description |
|---------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 01.0 | 11 December 2024 | Initial publication of form template. |

Decision Class: Regulatory Document Type: Form

Business Function: A6.4 activity cycle

Keywords: A6.4 mechanism, A6.4 projects, project implementation, validation report

Version 01.0 Page 28 of 28