

Q1: How would you define success of the High-Level Champions and the Marrakech Partnership in terms of enhancing ambition in the next 5 years and beyond?

- **I would define success in terms of parties and non-party stakeholders creating clear pathways toward 1.5 degrees and taking concrete actions along those pathways- including transparent reporting of actions.**
- **this should involve integration with existing initiatives like SBTi and CDP if possible (preference for utilizing existing initiatives rather than creating new ones).**

Q2: How can the High-Level Champions and the Marrakech Partnership add the most value and complement existing efforts to drive ambition and transformation in the next 5 years and beyond?

- **The HLC and MP can add value by pushing existing initiatives like CDP, SBTi, and TCFD to include carbon credit trading as an allowable avenue for reducing emissions. Furthermore, they can provide platforms and forums for stakeholders to convene, and clear guidance on best practices. HLC and MP can add further value by providing or endorsing guidance around what constitutes a high-quality carbon credit, building off of existing guidance such as the CORSIA Emissions Unit Criteria or an analogous pre existing document.**
- **They can also highlight the synergies that exist between forest & LU and Af & Food tracks. The current draft seems too rigidly divided along mitigation and adaptation lines.**

Q3: How can the High-Level Champions enhance collaboration between Parties and non-Party stakeholders to further catalyze global climate action?

i. How can Parties benefit from the action resulting from the Global Climate Action movement and how can this action best be reflected in the work of the High-Level Champions?

- **One key way to ensure that party and non-party efforts are complementary is to ensure that accounting frameworks for each are standardized. That way, Parties could benefit from non-party action that takes place in their countries. HLC can facilitate this by helping non-parties to agree to a standardized and central forum for reporting on GHG emissions, broken down by country of operations.**

ii. How can the COP and the UNFCCC process be more effective in the collaboration between Parties and non-Party stakeholders to further catalyze global climate action?

- **Providing space for side-conversations is crucial. More cafes where attendees can sit privately and discuss (with shorter lines and more seating) would be helpful.**
- **Given COVID-19, format will necessarily change. It would be ideal if there were dedicated fora for safe networking with extra masks and less density than usual...**

iii. How could the High-Level Champions through their work in accelerating action and ambition of non-Party stakeholders most effectively contribute to the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement?

- **They should work with existing initiatives like CDP, SBTi, TCFD and the GHG Protocol to ensure that accounting is standardized and transparent, and that it allows for a "bridge period" where certain types of carbon credits can be traded to allow for collaboration between parties and non-parties.**

Q4: On the basis of experience so far, how can the Marrakech Partnership be improved for enhancing ambition, including through new and existing tools (regional climate weeks, NAZCA platform, climate action trackers)?

- **the MP needs to build its visibility and accessibility. It could also provide guidance and lists of firms and consultants that can provide assistance for implementing plans. NAZCA is a good start, but could be stronger if it provided clear milestones tracking progress (and lack thereof).**