

ABU - 1st IC GGA

7.6.23

Thank you cofac.

Argentina speaking on behalf of the A-B-U.

Let me express our full support for the intervention made by Suriname on behalf of the G77 and China.

ABU position has been captured in two submissions developed this year in the context of the GlaSS,

We also understand that our work is based on Decision 3/CMA.4 and in particular para 10.

We see the GGA framework developed from a **mixed approach**: a top-down approach by developing a common set of targets and indicators using existing processes and based on the APC (current dimensions in para 10 decision 3/CMA.4). This manageable list of targets and indicators should include balance between action and support with targets and indicators for every dimension of APC.

We acknowledge that top-down approaches **have limitations**, including its distance with local realities and context specific policies and priorities. For this reason, we suggest the framework to be complemented by a **bottom up approach**. This part of the approach relies on the adaptation related documents that parties are already submitting to the Convention as well as to take the opportunity that A-BTR provides for 2024. From our view the consideration of the adaptation related documents is critical in order to feed a **granular approach** that let us move from the first to the second cycle of the GST as a learning by doing exercise that would need to be reviewed and improve from cycle to cycle in order to reflect the progress made by countries in their own APC.

We understand that extra work may be needed, and for that reason we see some constituted bodies could provide guidance and prepare materials for doing so. And we are happy to continue engaging with other parties in this regard.

We also understand there is room in order to continue improving the framework, including by adding enabling conditions such as: data and information; governance, institutional and regulatory frameworks; needs, barriers, challenges and gaps related to adaptation implementation, including finance, technology and capacity building; cooperation, best practices, experiences and lessons learned; and education, public awareness, training, public participation, public access to information and international cooperation.

Due to the bottom up logic that explained, another **element that could be added is the link with the existing reporting and communication instruments, in order to demonstrate through which current vehicles this information is communicated or reported.**

We understand it is critical to provide a mandate in this session in order to make progress on reviewing the progress made in achieving the GGA as one of the main points of adaptation in the GST. Thus, we are of the view that the UNFCCC Secretariat could to prepare a report by September 2023 collecting and synthesizing information submitted through the communication and reporting adaptation instruments on progress related to aspects already adopted in Decision 3/CMA.4, in order to assess how they contribute to each of the GGA components.

Aligned with G77 and China coordinator, we request that in your first text you could include the proposal of headings already tabled as a way to structure our work during next informal consultations.