
Reporting back from the break out groups: Review issues of cross-cutting nature and related to FTC support

8th BR/NC lead reviewers meeting
24–26 February 2021

Co-Facilitators: Thelma Krug (Brazil)
and Caroline Tagwireyi (Zimbabwe)



United Nations
Climate Change Secretariat



How to assess information on support for endogenous capacities and technologies of non-Annex I Parties

How to review resubmissions of the BR and BR CTF tables

How to assess information on public financial support provided to Annex I Parties in BR CTF tables 7 and 7(b)

The ERT should assess BR CTF tables 7 and 7(b) and determine whether any contributions to Annex I Parties are included. If so, the ERT should **provide a recommendation on transparency recommending to the Party to exclude the support to Annex I Parties in BR CTF tables 7 and 7(b).**



How to assess how the support provided is “new and additional”

In order to assess the information reported by the Party to show how the support is “new and additional”, the ERT should assess whether **the Party’s** definitions include the necessary parameters to determine this. For example, if the definition is “Funds in excess of current” spending ~~(whether climate or ODA)~~, a clarification would be required as to what is understood by “current” levels of support. Furthermore, the question of additionality requires that the Party define a baseline or base year against which additionality can be assessed.



How to assess the reference to external documents within the BR/NC

When a Party provides a reference to external sources of information, the ERT should assess:

- whether the reference (on its own) is sufficiently transparent for the reader to understand the content of the reference and how it relates to the reporting requirement. Note that this should also include the public availability of the reference material, the ease of finding the relevant information within the external information, and the language(s) in which the external information is available;
- whether a summary of the information contained in the reference is needed in order to allow the reader to understand the content and its relevance;
- or, whether the information contained in the reference material should be reflected in full within the BR.

~~If the ERT determines that the referenced material does not contain relevant information to fully satisfy the reporting requirement, the ERT should give a recommendation / encouragement (as appropriate) on completeness.~~

~~If the ERT determines that the referenced material is relevant, but is difficult to locate or understand how it relates to the reporting requirement, the ERT should give a recommendation/ encouragement (as appropriate) on transparency.~~



Thank you!

