

Special event on the transparency framework and relevant MRV experiences

CTF tables to track progress

19 June 2019 – 13:15–14:45

UNFCCC Secretariat

Bonn



Davor Vesligaj, UNFCCC Secretariat, Programme Officer, Transparency Framework

Current MRV: Targets and progress to targets

Developed country Parties

Target: Quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets

Reporting: effects of mitigation actions, LULUCF contribution, use of MBMs

Technical review: detailed examination of progress made

Developing country Parties

Target: No emission reduction targets; some Parties have voluntary targets (e.g. BAU, RES, EE)

Reporting: implementation of mitigation actions and results achieved

Technical analysis: analysis of information provided and identification of CB needs



Current MRV: Common Tabular Formats (CTF)

Example: CTF table 3 on mitigation actions and their effects

I'm a BRC - Notifications Welcome, Davor Vestigaj

N16

	A	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
2	Progress in achievement of the quantified economy-wide emission reduction target: information on mitigation actions and their effects											
3												
4	<i>Name of mitigation action^a</i>	<i>Sector(s) affected^b</i>	<i>GHG(s) affected</i>	<i>Objective and/or activity affected</i>	<i>Type of instrument^c</i>	<i>Status of implementation^d</i>	<i>Brief description^e</i>	<i>Start year of implementation</i>	<i>Implementing entity or entities</i>	<i>Estimate of mitigation impact (not cumulative, in kt CO₂ eq)</i>		
5										2020		
6	Mitigation action 1*	Energy	CO2	Objective 1	Economic, Fiscal	Implemented	Description 1	2015	Entity 1	100.00		
7	Mitigation action 2*	Transport	CO2	Objective 2	Fiscal	Implemented	Description 2	2016	Entity 2	90.00		
8	Mitigation action 3*	Industry/industrial processes	N2O	Objective 3	Regulatory	Implemented	Description 3	2017	Entity 3	80.00		
9	Mitigation action 4	Agriculture	CO2, CH4	Objective 4	Research	Adopted	Description 4	2018	Entity 4	70.00		
10	Mitigation action 5	Waste management/waste	CH4	Objective 5	Voluntary Agreement	Planned	Description 5	2019	Entity 5	60.00		
11												
12	<i>Note: The two final columns specify the year identified by the Party for estimating impacts (based on the status of the measure and whether an ex post or ex ante estimation is available).</i>											
13	<i>Abbreviations: GHG = greenhouse gas; LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry.</i>											
14	<i>^a Parties should use an asterisk (*) to indicate that a mitigation action is included in the 'with measures' projection.</i>											
15	<i>^b To the extent possible, the following sectors should be used: energy, transport, industry/industrial processes, agriculture, forestry/LULUCF, waste management/waste, other sectors, cross-cutting, as</i>											
16	<i>^c To the extent possible, the following types of instrument should be used: economic, fiscal, voluntary agreement, regulatory, information, education, research, other.</i>											


Ready

Table 2(a) Table 2(b) Table 2(c) Table 2(d) Table 2(e)I Table 2(e)II Table 2(f) **Table 3** Table 4 Table 4(a)I_2017 Table 4(a)I_2018 Table 4(b) ...

110%



Current MRV: Tracking progress



Lessons from current MRV: CTF for tracking progress

Uniform and robust MRV system

Significant experience gained in reporting and reviewing

No comprehensive accounting framework

**Current MRV is a sound starting point for developing new
ETF**



Opportunities: CTF for tracking progress

New ETF is an evolution of current MRV

Embraces all Parties with built-in flexibilities for developing country Parties

New CTF should enable effective and accurate tracking of progress

Stronger need for training, sharing experience and best practices owing to diversity of NDCs

