

Mitigation options in a temperate agricultural and forestry economy

Roger Lincoln

Ministry for the Environment

New Zealand







Uniqueness Factors

- No agricultural production subsidies
- Outdoor grazing of ruminants all year round
- Significant hill land (50% is sloping terrain)
- 26% of land area in conservation forest
- 7.5% of land area in planted forest
- 50% of total GHG emissions from agriculture







































NZ GHG Emissions in 2004 - By Sector







Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium Strategy

- Established in 2002
- Purpose to develop GHG mitigation solutions
- Solutions must be:
 - Practical and safe
 - and produce sustainable results that are accepted by the international regulatory authorities and our customers







Mitigation research approach

Split into three areas

- methane
- nitrous oxide
- GHG measurement, improved national inventories and process/systems modeling

Projects separated into:

- Discovery
- Proof of concept
- Development/on farm testing
- Technology transfer/commercialisation







Mitigation of ruminant methane emissions









Methanogen



New Zealand Clinicite Change Office To Make Restational Assess





Nitrous oxide mitigation options - Reduction potential by 2010 (%)





Forest Sinks

- Some examples
 - Regional Planting Policy for Hill Erosion Control
 - Permanent Forest Sinks Mechanism







East Coast Forestry Project

- Aim to afforest 65,000 ha of worst erosion prone hill country 32,000 ha to date
- Use of competitive tender model
- Planted forest, space planting of poplar and willows and retirement of land into indigenous vegetation.







Hill Erosion Control - Before









Hill Erosion Control - After









Permanent Forest Sinks Initiative

- Voluntary scheme
- Landowners opt to establish new permanent forests to gain tradable **Kyoto emission units**
- **Rights and obligations** formalised in contracts between landowners/Crown
- Contracts registered on **land titles** and bind future landowners (*legislation under development*)
- Limited harvesting (continuous canopy)







Conclusions

- At present, practical mitigation options for grazing ruminants and grazed pastures are limited.
- More research is required, however, this is of low priority in most developed countries.
- Economic opportunity will be the key driver.
- Co-benefits from actions to reduce emissions can be substantial e.g. more efficient production, water quality, biodiversity, flood protection, bioenergy.







Further collaboration

 For more information please contact the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry hayden.montgomery@maf.govt.nz

• We welcome discussions with any party to share experiences and discuss approaches to deal with agricultural emissions







Thank you!







