

**G77 Inputs to Building Blocks for GST**  
Submitted by the Philippines on behalf of the G77 and China  
13 November 2017

- Design principles – simple, practical, pragmatic, facilitative, collective, Party-driven

Elements to be considered	Main common ideas in G77 group and party submissions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overarching elements               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Mandate and objective of GST</li> <li>○ Context and linkages and guiding principles</li> <li>○ Coverage</li> <li>○ Institutional arrangements (governance)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>Provide appropriate references to Art. 2 and 14 PA and para. 99 and 101, 1/CP.21, including all operative articles of the PA as the mandate</p> <p>Identify the linkages between GST and other provisions of the PA that would be relevant to be considered to get a complete global picture of implementation of PA and LD and RM and collective progress to achieving PA purpose and LTGs; and reiterate role of GST in Art. 14.3 to inform Parties re their PA actions and support and enhance international cooperation; process is in the light of equity and best available science as important considerations to be reflected;</p> <p>Reflect equity as cross-cutting and overarching principle that applies to MA+MOI and other operational articles of the PA in the GST process, and will inform Parties in nationally determined manner with respect to their actions and support</p> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>is this where principles like equity, CBDRRC, party-driven and facilitative nature, collective aggregate consideration (not individual Party consideration) to guide GST process can be placed?</i></li> <li>• <i>is this where overarching questions to be addressed by GST can be placed?</i></li> </ul> <p>Comprehensive and facilitative per Art. 14.1 PA (MA+MOI)</p> <p>LD and RM are key issues for consideration in the GST and should be key features in the outcome</p> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>how to reflect LD and RM appropriately as among issues that fall within coverage of GST?</i></li> <li>• <i>Do LD and RM come in Activity A, B, and/or C?</i></li> </ul> <p>CMA has overall oversight over entire GST process CMA to mandate SBs to set up joint contact group (JCG) to oversee implementation of GST Activities A and B, and be the venue for negotiation on the output under Activity C (implying</p>

	<p>that JCG reports to SB chairs and for SB chairs to jointly report to CMA on progress and output of JCG)</p> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>CMA to set up structured technical expert dialogue process guided by SBs (similar to Review) to implement Activities A and B and report to JCG its output for consideration by JCG under Activity C? What would be arrangements for SED – similar to Review?</i></li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sources of input</li> </ul>	<p>Listing of sources of input by copying or reference to para. 99, 1/CP.21</p> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>various groups also suggest reports submitted by Parties under UNFCCC/PA processes, information on how to understand collective progress, information on equity (including synthesis reports on aggregate effects of INDCs FCCC/CP/2016/2, other analysis on equity that comes from various regions), guidance from SBs on criteria for comprehensive analysis under GST in order to analyse all the workstreams and focus on aggregate synthesis report as output of Activity B, information on regional impacts from regional agencies, LD information, RM information, economic and social science information, information on development gaps and poverty eradication, report from next Review, reports from other international organizations, other peer-reviewed information from scientific community not considered by IPCC, inputs from non-state actors. How should these be considered?</i></li> <li>• <i>How to identify the information needed for the GST?</i></li> <li>• <i>make list of sources of input non-exhaustive – i.e. use “inter alia” or “including” rather than make it closed list? What criteria for additional sources of input not included in the list?</i></li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Modalities for GST <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Activity A – Information gathering, compilation, consolidation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p>This refers to the activities to be undertaken under GST process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Activity A</u>: Information gathering, compilation, consolidation – process under joint contact group for getting information from the sources of inputs for Parties to consider under Activity B</li> </ul> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>timeline – start in 2021, July 2022, or mid-2023?</i></li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Activity B – technical expert consideration of the inputs</li>   <li>○ Activity C – Political consideration of the output</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>How to synthesize the information and how Parties can interact in a facilitative manner with those who will have synthesized the information?</i></li> <li>• <i>Should this be undertaken by a structured expert dialogue?</i></li> <li>• <i>How to reflect and operationalize equity under this activity?</i></li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Activity B</u>: Technical expert consideration of the inputs – process under joint contact group by which Parties undertake a structured technical exercise to consider and exchange views on the information obtained under Activity A</li> </ul> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>timeline – when to start? end in mid-2023?</i></li> <li>• <i>How can a comprehensive approach in this activity be ensured?</i></li> <li>• <i>Should this be undertaken by a structured expert dialogue?</i></li> <li>• <i>How to reflect and operationalize equity under this activity?</i></li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Activity C</u>: Political consideration of the output – process by which Parties through a joint contact group, on the basis of the results of Activity B, undertake a political consideration to make recommendations on the conclusions and form of the output of the GST for adoption by CMA</li> </ul> <p><b>Questions for Parties:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>timeline – when to start?</i></li> <li>• <i>How can outcome of GST inform Parties and international cooperation?</i></li> <li>• <i>How to reflect and operationalize equity under this activity?</i></li> </ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Output</li> </ul>	<p>The options in the cofacs’ revised table covers the various options for output suggested by Parties; and how Parties can enhance international cooperation (reflection of Art. 14.3)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nature of outcome</li> </ul>	<p>Highlight that when GST output informs Parties under Art. 14.3, the updating and enhancement of climate actions and support shall be done by Parties in a nationally determined manner, while recognizing obligations and leadership by developed countries</p>