

SUGGESTIONS FROM THE EUROPEAN UNION AND ITS 28 MEMBER STATES TO IMPROVE THE STRUCTURE OF THE GENEVA TEXT

Consideration of the mitigation section would be aided by the clustering of issues and similar concepts that appear scattered across the section itself, and also in other sections of the Geneva text.

Clustering issues in this way would allow for a more in depth discussion and understanding of the proposals of the table. It would allow us to further draw out and clarify options and divergences within the text, in line with the aims outlined in the co-Chairs' scenario note.

<i>Cluster of issues</i>	<i>Paragraph number</i>
Long term and global aspects	17, 18, 40, Section C
Individual commitments	
(i) Obligations	Chapeau 21, 27 (Options 1, 2, and 3);
(ii) Where commitments are housed	21.7, 29, 31, 33, 34. Section J
(iii) Joint fulfilment	30 32 Section L [215.3 and 215.4]
Characteristics	
(i) Quantifiability	21.1
(ii) Progression/higher efforts	19, 20, 21.4, 21.8, 21.9, 21.12, 21.13; Section J
(iii) UFI	21.2, 21.3, 22, 35; Section J
(iv) Conditionality	21.6
Dynamism/ durability/ updating of commitments	25, 26, 27 (options 4 and 5), 28, 44; Section J
Use of international carbon markets	39 – see separate EU suggestions
General accounting principles	39, 24, 49, 152 – see separate EU suggestions
Institutional arrangements	41, 42, 43, 45, 46

There is a broader, cross cutting issue of differentiation which is captured in options throughout the text, for example in paragraph 21.5. This should be treated separately because it is not a characteristic of the commitment, but part of a broader discussion on how commitments will be defined