

What is the issue in 1.b.i ?

- 1.b.i deals with enhanced mitigation, including urgent mitigation, by AI Parties.
- This enhanced mitigation does not affect the legal status and continued effectiveness of the quantified emission reduction commitments of KP AI Parties.
- In a context of enhanced engagement, all AI Parties must adopt economy-wide quantified emission reduction commitments that are comparable.

Mitigation for Annex I Parties as a whole

- In a context of enhanced action, the economy-wide quantified emission reduction commitments for the KP second commitment period are the reference for mitigation commitments under 1.b.i, not the other way around.
- The concept of “nationally appropriate”, for AI Parties, must be compatible with economy-wide quantified emission reduction commitments for all AI Parties.

Comparability

- The BAP establishes a comparability requirement, not the AWG-KP.
- Comparability between all AI Parties must express itself in magnitude, form and compliance requirements.
- Magnitude of mitigation commitments must reflect historical responsibility for climate change and national capacities.
- Magnitude must ensure ambitious midterm mitigation for AI Parties as a whole and be compatible with an ambitious long term target.
- Form is the same for all: economy-wide quantified emission reduction commitments.

Measuring, reporting and verifying for 1.b.i

- MRV applies to compliance with an economy-wide quantified emission reduction commitment.
- Comparability also demands that KP rules be applied for all AI Parties. This includes articles 5 (estimation of emissions and removals), 7 (information on compliance with commitments), 8 (review by expert teams) and guidance offered by the COP.