

## Section J, Paragraphs 181, 185, 186, 188<sup>1</sup>

Version of 5 June 2015 at 23.00

Output of facilitated meeting

*Consolidation of paragraph 181 options 2 and 3:*

- No agreed consolidation.

*Consolidation of paragraph 185:*

- No agreed consolidation.

*Observations:*

- Some Parties noted that this should be in the agreement, with modalities set out in decisions.
- A Party noted this should be in decisions, to be adopted once the agreement comes into force.
- A Party noted the interlinkages with the establishment of new arrangements and the six elements set out in decision 1/CP.17 and that Parties should not prejudge whether this matter is to be included in agreement or in a decision, adopted either at Paris or after Paris. It was also noted that this matter could be included in decisions adopted after Paris.

*Consolidation of paragraph 186:*

- No agreed consolidation.

*Observations:*

- Some Parties noted that this matter should be in the agreement.

*Consolidation of paragraph 188 options 2 and 3:*

- No agreed consolidation.

*Observations:*

- Some Parties noted that this matter should be in the agreement.
- A Party noted a concern on the use of the word 'strategic'.

*Discussion on re-ordering of paragraphs within the section:*

- Parties noted that the section was complex and could benefit from reordering/clustering of similar ideas.
  - o To this end, submissions were made by EU, LMDC and AILAC.
  - o Due to the differences presented in the 3 submission, the co-facilitators were asked to do a technical exercise to amalgamate these three submissions, underlining that this input would merely be a tool to facilitate further discussion and had no status or standing.

---

<sup>1</sup> Sections and paragraphs refer to those in document FCCC/ADP/2015/1.

*A general exchange of views on the role of differentiation within the section:*

- Some Parties noted that the issue of differentiation occurred throughout the section. While it was acknowledged that common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDRRC) will be in the agreement, there was a discussion on how differentiation impacted on timeframes.
    - o Some Parties noted the need to look at differentiation in the context of:
      - Timeframes and processes, such as reporting/review, as well as in content, e.g. commitments/contributions.
      - Aggregate and individual consideration.
    - o Other Parties noted review arrangements were already in the Convention that reflected differentiation.
    - o A Party also noted that differentiation had been reflected in different ways in previous COP decisions, e.g. CBDRRC, in light of different national circumstances.
  - Some Parties noted that there may be different/parallel cycles of commitments/contributions for different thematic areas, with some suggestions for including such cycles in the sections on mitigation, adaptation and finance. Other Parties noted that the aggregate assessment is a holistic process.
-