



**STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE GROUP OF 77 AND CHINA
BY AMBASSADOR RENE ORELLANA, CHAIR OF THE GROUP
OF 77 AND CHINA, AT THE CLOSING PLENARY OF THE
RESUMED SIXTH SESSION OF THE AD HOC WORKING
GROUP ON THE DURBAN PLATFORM FOR ENHANCED
ACTION (ADP 2-6), BONN, GERMANY, 25 October, 2014.**

Mr. Co-Chairs,

1. We need to keep in mind three core tasks for this session and Lima agreed by the Warsaw Decision: 'elaborating the elements', 'identifying the information' and 'accelerating the pre-2020 ambition' and negotiate in a formal and focused mode under the Contact Group around these three tasks and be in full accordance with the mandate from Durban. The text on Lima outcomes on the ADP should be collectively constructed by Parties, based on the inputs from Parties and reflect various views and options in a balanced, objective, inclusive, comprehensive and Party-driven manner.
2. We are concerned about the fact that the Draft Text on ADP 2-6 Agenda Item 3 Implementation of Decision 1/CP.17, "Information on Intended Nationally Determined Contributions" lacks of central elements that are part of the principles and provisions of the Convention, which are among others the principles of Equity and Common But Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities. These elements have been removed from the second version of Draft Text. This sends a signal that the documents produced by the Co-Chairs are not balanced and fall short from reflecting the positions of all Parties.
3. The Co-Chairs should facilitate the negotiation identifying the areas of convergence and divergence in a balanced manner and promote negotiation among Parties. We consider it is urgent that we have the opportunity to interact among Parties on specific issues with focused negotiations, and trust that we will move towards such an organization of work in Lima.

4. Central issues repeatedly stated by the Group like the appropriate balance in the core elements, are not reflected in the last document presented by the Co-Chairs.
5. The Draft Text on ADP 2-6 Agenda Item 3 Implementation of all the elements of Decision 1/CP.17, "Information on Intended Nationally Determined Contributions" does not reflect other constructive proposals by Parties.

Mr. Co-Chairs,

6. We have concerns on the lack of progress on drafting the text on the elements of the Agreement. If we leave this session without clarity then we will repeat this very same process in Lima and this can put at risk the process for the Agreement.
7. We do not want to be in a situation of "take it or leave it" or to have huddles in the last minute, due to the lack of interaction in the previous sessions. We have to modify the dynamic and the format of the sessions turning it into a negotiating Parties interaction. We are beginning to have huge political pressure from civil society, in order to have an outcome that brings solutions to climate change challenges. We need to start with a process with a text that reflects all proposals and allow us to negotiate. We need to have a clear arrangement on the organization of work in Lima, in order to avoid lack of progress and ensure the accomplishment of the agreed tasks from Warsaw. We propose to establish focused groups to enter into formal, focused and Party-driven negotiation in order to prepare draft text around all the core elements of the Agreement based on inputs from Parties in a collective, constructive and Party-driven manner. In accordance with paragraph 5 of Decision 1/CP.17.

8. We need a draft negotiation text on all the elements of ADP. INDCs must promote enhanced actions in accordance with principles of Equity and Common But Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capacities.

Mr. Co-Chairs,

9. We recognize the progress being made so far under WS2 to enhance mitigation ambition, including the TEMs sessions and high-level discussions on options for enhancing pre-2020 mitigation action. For the pre-2020 ambition, the follow-up arrangements on accelerating the implementation of the paragraph 3, 4, 5(a) and 5(b) of the Warsaw Decision should be dealt with in the draft decision as a matter of urgency and priority. Also, the draft decision should be collectively constructed by Parties built on the inputs from Parties.

Thank you.