

ADP 2.4 (Bonn, 10.-14. March 2014)

EIG ADP opening statement

Mr. Co-Chair,

This statement is made on behalf of the Environmental Integrity Group, the EIG, comprising Liechtenstein, Mexico, Monaco, the Republic of Korea and Switzerland.

Mr Co-Chair

First of all, the EIG would like to thank you and your Co-Chair for the informal note reflecting progress made during our last meeting and laying out your vision for this decisive year of ADP. The note captures very well the progress achieved and draws our attention on the next steps needed. The EIG supports your arrangements to continue in a informal setting with a focused technical expert discussion on areas with high mitigation potential, in parallel to a more political discussion on ADP agenda Item 3. We think that this discussion should focus on ADP WS 1 to allow maximum progress in identifying elements which will later this year be crystalized into draft text.

Mr Co-Chair

The ADP here in **Bonn must take a significant step towards the 2015 Agreement**. This meeting should achieve at least three objectives:

- It should **advance our common understanding of core elements of the 2015 outcome**, including that it may include three levels: a legally binding instrument, COP decisions including guidelines, and nationally determined contributions.
- It should **advance our confidence that all Parties will undertake the efforts needed to submit** well in advance of COP21, by the first quarter of 2015 by those Parties ready to do so, their intended

- nationally determined contributions, and that most Parties will be able to do so.
- It should also advance our common understanding **which information will have to be provided** together with the intended nationally determined contributions,
 - And finally, it should help each of us and all of us together to **unlock opportunities for increasing efforts** to reduce emissions before 2020.

Mr Co-Chair

The discussions throughout this week will allow us to focus constructively on the central elements of the 2015 outcome. Let me highlight some of the elements which the EIG considers to be key for a success in Paris:

The 2015 must provide a robust and credible framework for the global climate change regime post-2020. As agreed in Durban, it must ensure that all Parties formulate commitments, including targets or actions, based on the same legal form and on the same internationally agreed rules, at differentiated depth according to CBDR and RC. We need a rules-based – yet dynamic and flexible – and a committing regime with the participation of all Parties reflecting the changing economic realities, national circumstances, common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities.

With the same priority, we must address adaptation under the 2015 Agreement - in a differentiated manner as required. The 2015 Agreement shall promote cooperation in adaptation in view to increase adaptive capacity and resilience of all Parties in the context of sustainable development.

Furthermore, the 2015 Agreement must be underpinned by adequate, integrated and transparent means of implementation. Based on a clear global vision on support, effective financial mechanism should be duly reflected in the 2015 Agreement and be operated from contributions from developed Parties and those in the position to do so according to their responsibilities, capacities and equity. The EIG is very concerned about the lack of progress of the work within the GCF Executive Board. We urge all the members of the GCF Executive Board to be fully committed and finalize the essential requirements of the GCF business model framework by the May meeting as scheduled.

Mr Co-Chair

Pre-2020 Mitigation Ambition is another urgent workstream under the ADP. The current global mitigation ambition falls short from the recommendations by science to hold global temperature increase below two degrees Celsius – we have to strengthen international cooperation on immediate additional actions. (Here in Bonn we will be able to engage in a focused and technical work under the ADP workstream 2 examining concrete options to increase ambition on renewable energy and energy efficiency. At the same time,) we should further foster initiatives additional to the current mitigation commitments, such as those announced in Warsaw.

Thank you, Mr Co-Chair.

If process issues around contact groups will happen:

The EIG is strongly committed to engage on substantial matters under the ADP. It is the groups view that at this stage the continuation of the informal setting in two workstreams is most beneficial - the EIG does not support the creation of contact groups at this stage.

Reference: M214-1713