

10th meeting of the Adaptation Committee

Agenda item 4 (c), (d) & (e)

Supporting the implementation of the Paris outcomes

Update on the work of the Adaptation Committee and the Least Developed Countries Expert Group in addressing the mandates contained in decision 1/CP.21, paragraphs 41 and 45

Bonn, Germany, 13 to 16 September 2016



I: Introduction and background

As part of the Paris outcomes on adaptation, the COP, by its decision 1/CP.21 (paragraphs 41 ad 45) requested:

- The AC and the LEG to jointly develop **modalities** to recognize the adaptation efforts of developing country Parties, as referred to in Article 7, paragraph 3, of the Agreement, and make recommendations for consideration and adoption by **CMA 1**;
- The AC and the LEG, in collaboration with the SCF and other relevant institutions, to develop **methodologies**, and make recommendations for consideration and adoption by **CMA 1** on:
 - Taking the necessary steps to facilitate the mobilization of support for adaptation in developing countries in the context of the limit to global average temperature increase referred to in Article 2 of the Agreement;
 - Reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of adaptation and support referred to in Article 7, paragraph 14(c), of the Agreement.



II: Progress so far

- ❖ A **background paper** was prepared by the secretariat in May;
 - ❖ A **joint meeting** between the LEG and AC members was held on **27 May 2016**. The meeting developed a workplan which is contained in the report of the meeting;
 - ❖ LEG and AC members held a **discussion during the NAP Expo** in July 2016;
 - ❖ A **call for submissions** to Parties and non-Party stakeholders was issued on 1 August 2016. Deadline for the submissions is 30 September 2016;
 - ❖ A **website** on the mandates is available at <unfccc.int/9785>;
 - ❖ The secretariat has prepared a paper documenting progress and a desk review of existing modalities and methodologies as input to the discussions (**the update paper**).
-



Modalities to recognize the adaptation efforts of developing country Parties, as referred to in Article 7, paragraph 3, of the Agreement

- Are the modalities listed sufficiently comprehensive, and if so, can a shortlist be prepared, and if not, how can existing modalities be further developed or new modalities be identified or developed?
- Is there value in looking into a case study or worked example to test the modalities and approaches suggested?



III: Current possible considerations

Methodologies on taking the necessary steps to facilitate the mobilization of support for adaptation in developing countries in the context of the limit to global average temperature increase referred to in Article 2 of the Agreement

- Are there methodologies presented in the paper that are sufficiently well developed that could be considered for a short list, and if not, are there additional methodologies that could be identified or further developed?
- How can the support for adaptation be framed/articulated in the context of the global temperature limit of < 2 degrees in order to help in answering this mandate?
- How could existing methodologies be adjusted to reflect the temperature goal and if not how could new ones be developed and by whom?



III: Current possible considerations

Methodologies for reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of adaptation and support referred to in Article 7, paragraph 14(c), of the Agreement (1/2)

- What could be considered adequate adaptation at different levels (local, sectoral, national and global level)? Similarly for effectiveness.
 - What could be considered adequate and effective support for adaptation?
 - What M&E methodologies presented in the paper are suitable in addressing the answers to (a) and (b) above?
 - Are any new tools and frameworks needed to be developed to measure adequacy/effectiveness of adaptation and support at national or global levels? If so, which and how could they be developed and by whom?
-



III: Current possible considerations

Methodologies for reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of adaptation and support referred to in Article 7, paragraph 14(c), of the Agreement (2/2)

- What would be the role of other constituted bodies in selecting/developing these methodologies? What linkages or approaches could be effective in collaborating with the SCF, the TEC, the CTCN and the Paris Committee on Capacity Building, particularly related to adequacy and effectiveness of support?
- How will the selected methodologies help in providing information to the global stocktake and assisting in reviewing the overall progress made in achieving the global goal on adaptation?



IV: Next steps

- Discuss desk reviews and consider:
 - In addition to upcoming submissions, additional inputs needed and modalities to receive them, e.g. expert meetings, surveys etc.
 - High-level principles for the selection of relevant modalities/ methodologies (e.g. flexible, comprehensive etc.)
 - The need for developing new modalities/methodologies vs. adjusting existing ones
 - Scope of recommendations (single or set of modalities/ methodologies)
- Decide on information to be shared through AC report to COP and at AC-LEG side event at COP 22
- Consider a joint AC-LEG meeting at the margins of COP 22 and establishing a joint working group to take work forward intersessionally
- Request the secretariat, guided by the AC and LEG, to synthesize the submissions building upon the desk reviews

