Building socio-biophysical resilience: learning from smallholder farming community for adaptation

CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND AGRICULTURE

R. Selvaraju

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore – 641 003, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

AIM

To utilize the local coping strategies (including indigenous knowledge) and modern technologies (climate prediction, system analysis and participatory methods) to improve decision making for climatic risk management in agriculture

To utilize the ability to predict climate variability and change on range of scales to improve decision making using climatic risk management strategies in agriculture

Targeted Agricultural Systems

- Shifting cultivation slash and burn (4 25 years cycle)
- Fallow systems (3 4 years cycle)
- Ley systems (grain grass/legume long term rotations)

Dominant systems in SAT

- Rainfed upland cultivation (drylands)
- Arable upland irrigated farming (garden land)
- Arable intensive irrigated systems (wet lands)









Methods and Tools

- Seasonal Climate Forecasting: Probabilistic, ENSO indices, analogues
- Agricultural Systems: Management responses, Mechanistic Cropping System models, Simple Crop Water Balance, Whole Farm Economic Modelling etc.,
- **Socio-economics** : Physical description, User needs, Farm economics, Market price, Participatory Decision Making, Discussion Support Tools and Farmer's risk perception



Traditional Climate Prediction Methods

- Only 10% of the traditional knowledge are related to seasonal rainfall prediction (n = 90)
- Rainfall on Sep.17-18 : Above average rainfall during Oct-Dec
- Lightning and thunder during April-May: Less chance of normal monsoon
- Heavy and steady wind during May lead to good rainfall in Oct-Nov.
- Rainbow over south east direction in June: Good monsoon
- Moon's crescent equal on both side during January Good rainfall during the year
- Termite fly appears in the evening: Heavy rainfall
- Many local knowledge on seasonal climate has the recreational value than the potential value



Value of climate application and Management Level

The Information Value increases with the level of management

Cropping Systems has **greater resilience** at low level of management, enabling sustainability

Conflicting objectives – a core issue affecting the systems' ability to maintain the resilience due to over exploitation

Profit Maximization Vs Sustainability

Adaptation Options Changes with Farmers' Risk Preference Crop diversification increases with risk averseness making the system more resilient

For a highly risk averse farmer climate knowledge has no meaning; always he will diversify the enterprises

Adaptation options (eg.input application) may create greater inequality due to varied level of management by the farmers irrespective of the agricultural systems

Rich farmer gains marginally; poor farmer is affected greatly

Intensive systems offers little scope for adaptation with respect to management practices – requires genetic manipulations













