



Accreditation Procedure revision 9

EB 46

21-25 March 2009

Revised accreditation procedure

- AP 40 reviewed procedure considering multi-site accreditation
 - Requested AE/DOE to submit a list of all sites where the CDM functions are undertaken
 - AP to decide which sites shall be included in the on-site assessment and regular surveillance
 - EB to decide which sites shall be included in spot-check
- Input from EB members
 - Extended timelines
 - Spot-check hearing: next or one after next EB meeting

Thank You



Elaboration of CDM Accreditation Requirements

EB 46

21-25 March 2009

Background

At EB 45, the Board:

- Considered the draft document “elaboration of CDM accreditation requirements”.
- Discussed, in particular, sections relating to the use of external resources by the AEs/DOEs, subcontracting and possibility for multi-site accreditation; and
- Requested the CDM-AP to submit the revised document for adoption by the Board at its forty-sixth meeting.

Modifications

- Definitions of non-conformity, corrective and preventive action (**Para 16, 17 and 18**)
- Requirements for an DOE to establish legal contractual arrangements with its branches or offices belonging to the same group, if the entity decides to delegates some of its functions. Functions that can be delegated to other sites are listed in Annex A (**Para 20 (d) and Annex A**)
- Requirements for an AE/DOE to have internal resources for core functions (**Para 23**)
- Requirements for DOEs to demonstrate its commitment to the implementation of the CDM validation and/or verification/certification functions (**Para 31**)

Modifications

- Requirements for DOEs to establish procedures for engaging individuals (validators, verifiers and/or technical experts) on a contract basis **(Para 55)**
- Requirements for DOEs who may subcontract to another legal entity and who shall be responsible to the outcomes of the subcontracted work **(Para 60 and 61)**
- Relevant changes made during the last meeting.

Thank You