

19 July 2010

English only

UNITED NATIONS FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE

**Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments
for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol**
Thirteenth session
Bonn, 2–6 August 2010

Item 3 of the provisional agenda

Consideration of further commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol

**Views on document FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/Add.1
for consideration by the Ad Hoc Working Group on
Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the
Kyoto Protocol at its thirteenth session, with a view
to facilitating the updating of the document at least
two weeks before the fourteenth session of the Ad
Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for
Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol**

Submissions from Parties

1. The Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol (AWG-KP), at its twelfth session, invited Parties to submit to the secretariat, by 12 July 2010, their views on document FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/Add.1 for consideration by the AWG-KP at its thirteenth session, with a view to facilitating the updating of the document at least two weeks before its fourteenth session (FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/7, para. 31).
2. The secretariat has received three such submissions. In accordance with the procedure for miscellaneous documents, these submissions are attached and reproduced* in the language in which they were received and without formal editing.

* These submissions have been electronically imported in order to make them available on electronic systems, including the World Wide Web. The secretariat has made every effort to ensure the correct reproduction of the texts as submitted.

Contents

	<i>Page</i>
1. Bolivia (Plurinational state of) (Submission received 12 July 2010)	3
2. Japan (Submission received 2 July 2010)	7
3. Sri Lanka (Submission received 12 July 2010)	8

Paper no. 1: Bolivia (Plurinational State of)

PLURINATIONAL STATE OF BOLIVIA

SUBJECT: VIEWS ON THE DOCUMENTATION TO FACILITATE NEGOTIATIONS AMONG PARTIES CONTAINED IN DOCUMENT FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/ADD.1 FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE AWG-KP AT ITS THIRTEENTH SESSION.

The Plurinational State of Bolivia welcomes the opportunity to submit their views on issues relating to paragraph 30 of the document FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/7.

This submission is based on the outcome of the World People's Conference on Climate Change and the Rights of Mother Earth held in Cochabamba, on 19-22 of April, with the participation of more than 35000 delegates from social movements and organizations from 140 countries.

In accordance with Article 3.9, further mitigation commitments for Annex I Parties shall be established in amendments to Annex B of the Kyoto Protocol. They must be sufficient to deliver effective and equitable levels of emission reductions, in line with developed countries' historical responsibilities and their climate debt for the causes of climate change and for their associated contribution to its consequences.

In accordance with Decision 1/CMP.1 which established the AWG-KP, the process shall aim to complete its work and have the results adopted as early as possible and in time to ensure that there is no legal gap between the first and second commitment periods.

Consequently, in accordance with its mandate, the work of the AWG-KP must focus on the adoption of further commitments for Annex I Parties according to Article 3.9 of the Kyoto Protocol. There is no mandate for work on other issues which dilute the focus of its attention nor other amendments to the Kyoto Protocol for not being under its mandate..

Article 3, paragraph 1, establishes the total emission reductions required of Annex I Parties (and the associated "assigned amount" of emissions). This amount is calculated to include the full extent of the historical responsibility of developed countries and the rights/needs of developing countries to a fair share of remaining atmospheric space to achieve their right to development. This amount is calculated on the basis of a methodology reflecting historical responsibility and the needs of developing countries, and is referred to as the total "assigned amount".

Article 3, paragraph 1bis, establishes the minimum emission reductions that Annex I Parties are to achieve domestically (and the associated maximum "assigned domestic amount" of emissions). This amount is calculated to reflect the deep physical emission reductions that are necessary and possible in developed countries, to liberate physical atmospheric space required by developing countries. This amount is calculated on the basis of a methodology reflecting the actual emission reductions technically possible in developed countries, and is referred to as the "assigned domestic amount".

The difference between these total and domestic amounts (i.e. between what developed countries must do and what they actually can/will do) provides the basis for dedicated and assured funding for adaptation and mitigation in developing countries, which can be provided via enhanced finance and technology mechanism(s) under the Conference of Parties.

In this sense, the proposal of the Plurinational State of Bolivia is:

A. Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol

Replace Article 3.1 with:

1. The Parties included in Annex I shall, individually or jointly, ensure that their aggregate anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions of the greenhouse gases listed in Annex A do not exceed their total assigned amounts, calculated pursuant to their quantified emission reduction commitments inscribed in Annex B and determined by applying the principle of historical responsibility, their emissions debt and addressing the needs of developing countries¹ in accordance with the provisions of this Article, with a view to ensuring a fair allocation of the global atmospheric space to all Parties.

1bis. In fulfillment of their obligations under Article 3, paragraph 1, the Parties included in Annex I shall, individually or jointly, ensure that their aggregate anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions from domestic sources of the greenhouse gases listed in Annex A do not exceed their assigned domestic amounts, calculated pursuant to their quantified domestic emission reduction commitments inscribed in Annex B and in accordance with the provisions of this Article, with a view to reducing their overall domestic emissions of such gases by more than 50 per cent below 1990 levels in the commitment period 2013 to 2017.²

Annex B

Party	Quantified emission limitation or reduction commitment (2008-2012)(percentage of base year or period)	Quantified domestic emission reduction commitment (2013-2017) (percentage of base year or period){i.e. minimum reductions required domestically}	Quantified emission reduction commitment (2013-2017) (percentage of base year or period){i.e. total reductions required, based on historical responsibility and needs of developing countries}
Australia	108		
Austria	92		

¹ In determining the commitments in this Article, the following criteria are taken into account in order to ensure consistency with the ultimate objective of the Convention and the principles of equity and common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities:

- (a) Responsibility of Annex I Parties, individually and jointly, for current atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases;
- (b) The historical and current per-capita emissions originating in developed countries;
- (c) Technological, financial and institutional capacities; and
- (d) The share of global emissions required by developing countries in order to meet their social and economic development needs, to eradicate poverty and to achieve the right to development.

Fulfillment by Annex I Parties of the commitments in paragraph 1 of this Article constitutes a contribution to the repayment of emissions debts reflecting excessive consumption of shared atmospheric space and the needs of developing countries.

² A Party included in Annex I may, with the agreement of other Parties, meet the difference between its total and domestic assigned amounts under Article 3, paragraph 1, through the financial mechanism operating under the authority and guidance of the Conference of Parties, in the context of their obligation to provide the agreed full incremental costs under the Convention.

Belgium	92		
Bulgaria*	92		
Canada	94		
Croatia*	95		
Czech Republic*	92		
Denmark	92		
Estonia*	92		
European Community	92		
Finland	92		
France	92		
Germany	92		
Greece	92		
Hungary*	94		
Iceland	110		
Ireland	92		
Italy	92		
Japan	94		
Latvia*	92		
Liechtenstein	92		
Lithuania*	92		
Luxembourg	92		
Monaco	92		
Netherlands	92		
New Zealand	100		
Norway	101		
Poland*	94		
Portugal	92		
Romania*	92		
Russian Federation*	100		
Slovakia*	92		
Slovenia*	92		
Spain 92	92		

Sweden 92	92		
Switzerland	92		
Ukraine*	100		
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	92		
United States of America	93		
Total		[50]	[XX]

B. Particular Considerations

This proposal should be added to the document CCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/Add1, therefore the following additions should be produced:

In Annex B:

Option 2, 3rd column: [50] in addition to [51].

In Option A:

Part B, Option 1, Option 1.1: [50] in addition to [49].

In Option A:

Part B, Option 2, 1 bis: [50] in addition to [49]

Japan's submission on views on the documentation FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/Add.1

Japan welcomes its opportunity to submit its views on the documentation FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/Add.1.

1. This documentation includes a draft CMP decision, entitled "Proposed amendments to the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to its Article 3, paragraph 9" which also refers to the adoption of the amendments to the Kyoto Protocol at page 2, paragraph 1. However, the establishment of a framework which imposes emission reductions obligations only on Annex I Parties that are Party to the Kyoto Protocol will not lead to a fair and effective global emission reduction. To promote the global emission reduction, the issues dealt with in this documentation need to be resolved in an integrated manner, i.e., not only in the context of the AWG-KP but also under the whole negotiation including the AWG-LCA. For this reason, we should not prejudge conclusion in the AWG-KP until we reach the conclusions in the whole negotiation including the AWG-LCA. Therefore, the entire draft CMP decision should be left bracketed as it is now. Moreover, Japan would like to recall that the proposed amendment to an annex shall follow the procedures pursuant to relevant provisions of Article 21 of the Kyoto Protocol.

2. From this perspective, Japan is not in a position to comment on the details of this documentation. Under this premise, however, Japan would like to stress that, among others, the amendments to the Kyoto Protocol as is suggested at page 2, paragraph 1, as well as the provisional application of the amendments to all Parties as is suggested at page 2, paragraph 2, are unacceptable.

Early Submission of Information and Views

This has reference to our letter ODES/ SB 32/10 dated 22nd June 2010 regarding the early submission and views. I am pleased to submit the following views of the Government of Sri Lanka.

Consideration of further commitments for Annex I Parties under Kyoto Protocol (AWG-KP)
Views on document FCCC/KP/AWG/2010/6/ADD.1

Sri Lanka supports for having the second Commitment period from 2013 without a gap between first and second commitment period. Sri Lanka does not encourage carrying over of assigned amount units to the second commitment period perhaps we request Annex I Parties to achieve target set for the first commitment period within that period.

The aggregate emission reduction targets by the Annex I countries should be 49 percent below 1990 level during second commitment period and, 95 percent below 1990 level by 2050.

Option A

Option 2

Referring the paragraph to be inserted after paragraph 1 of Article 3 of the protocol.

Sri Lanka encourages in fulfillment of their obligations under Article 3, paragraph 1, the Parties included in Annex I shall, individually or jointly, ensure that their aggregate anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions from domestic sources of the greenhouse gasses listed in Annex A do not exceed their assigned domestic amounts, calculated pursuant to their quantified domestic emission reduction commitments inscribed in Annex B and in accordance with the provisions of this Article, with a view to reducing their overall domestic emissions of such gases by at least 49 percent below 1990 levels in the second commitment period.
