

2 October 2006

ENGLISH ONLY

UNITED NATIONS FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE

**CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES SERVING AS THE
MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE KYOTO PROTOCOL**

Second session

Nairobi, 6–17 November 2006

Item 11 of the provisional agenda

Review of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to its Article 9

Review of the Kyoto Protocol pursuant to its Article 9

Submissions from Parties

Addendum

1. In addition to the 10 submissions contained in document FCCC/KP/CMP/2006/MISC.3, two further submissions have been received.
2. In accordance with the procedure for miscellaneous documents, the submissions are reproduced* in the language in which they were received and without formal editing.

* These submissions have been electronically imported in order to make them available on electronic systems, including the World Wide Web. The secretariat has made every effort to ensure the correct reproduction of the texts as submitted.

FCCC/KP/CMP/2006/MISC.3/Add.1

GE.06-64384

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
1. SOUTH AFRICA (Submission received 14 September 2006).....	3
2. CANADA (Submission received 24 September 2006).....	4

PAPER NO. 1: SOUTH AFRICA

South Africa's views on the first review of the Kyoto Protocol under its Article 9 at COP/MOP2

South Africa welcomes the opportunity to submit its views on how best to carry out the first review of the Kyoto Protocol under its Article 9 and on what scientific, technical, social and economic information and assessments could be available, for compilation by the Secretariat, to help maximize the effectiveness of the upcoming review.

1. Introduction

Article 9 of the Kyoto Protocol provides for the first review of the Protocol (Article 9 review) to take place **at** (emphasis added) the second session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to this Protocol (COP/MOP2), to enable appropriate action to be taken.

A review at COP/MOP2 must be based on the best available scientific information and assessments on climate change and its impacts, as well as relevant technical, social and economic information. A COP/MOP decision could also indicate the timeframe for further periodic reviews, based on an agreement on what the regular intervals shall be.

Given the fact that important new scientific, technical, social and economic information with relevance to the Article 9 review will become available during the year following COP/MOP2 (including the IPCC AR4), it is desirable to limit the scope of the 1st Review.

2. South Africa's initial views

The Article 9 review at COP/MOP2 should be limited in scope and focus on (i) up-scaling adaptation actions, (ii) addressing barriers to the transfer of and access to technology, with specific reference to article 10(c), and (iii) improving elements of the architecture of the carbon market and related flexibility mechanisms.

There has been significant, even if short experience gained by implementing the Protocol which will inform this review of the architectural elements that are of particular concern to developing countries, more specifically (i) the duration of commitment periods, (ii) sectors and sources of emissions, (iii) the project-by-project approach of the CDM and possible alternatives such as programmatic or sectoral approaches to the CDM, (iv) adjustments that would stimulate greater technology transfer, and (v) ensuring a more predictable stream of funding for adaptation by considering, for example, the extension of the 'share of proceeds' levy from CDM to JI and emissions trading.

A decision on the next review should be taken at COP/MOP2.

To maximize the effectiveness of the upcoming review the Secretariat should compile a technical paper addressing the focus areas outlined above for consideration by the Parties in time for COP/MOP2. The technical report could draw on, inter alia, the IPCC's TAR, the 2005 Exeter Conference Report on "Avoiding Dangerous Climate Change", the Stern Review of the Economic of Climate Change, the IEA Energy Outlook 2006, the 2006 World Bank report on "Managing Climate Risk", the 2003 Vulnerability and Resource Group's report on "Poverty and Climate Change", research by academic institutions such as TERI and the ERC, and the ongoing work under various technology initiatives, including, inter alia, the Asia Pacific Partnership and the Gleneagles Action Plan.

PAPER NO. 2: CANADA

Canadian Submission on Article 9 Review

General Comments

Canada welcomes the opportunity to provide its views on the nature of a process to review the Kyoto Protocol as called for under Article 9 of the protocol. It is Canada's view that the review should be conducted within an ongoing process to be established at COP/MOP 2.

The work conducted within this review process will be necessary for informing the work of the AWG on Article 3.9. The absence of relevant analysis under the Article 9 review will make it difficult for the work of the AWG to proceed in a timely manner.

In order to be of the greatest benefit to all Parties the results of the review must contribute to forward looking discussions. This work will be essential for informing consideration of future international cooperation to address climate change and should therefore move forward in a timely way.

Topics/Elements of the Review:

The Article 9 review should be a thorough process covering all aspects of the Kyoto Protocol, including its decisions and be informed by all relevant scientific information. Specific areas of work could include such topics as the architecture of future commitments, the treatment of land use, land use change and forestry, differentiation and burden-sharing, the potential for streamlining the process for joining Annex B and the adequacy of the Kyoto Protocol's contribution to the ultimate objective.

Structure/forum for the review:

In order to ensure that the review process moves forward in a focused manner it should be conducted within an Ad Hoc Working Group.
