

17 July 2000

ENGLISH ONLY

UNITED NATIONS FRAMEWORK CONVENTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE

SUBSIDIARY BODY FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNOLOGICAL ADVICE

Thirteenth session

Lyon, 11–15 September 2000

Item 9 (b) of the provisional agenda

## METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES

### GUIDELINES UNDER ARTICLES 5, 7 AND 8 OF THE KYOTO PROTOCOL

#### Views from Parties on national systems, adjustments and guidelines under Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

##### Submissions from Parties

##### Note by the secretariat

##### Addendum

1. At its tenth session, the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) requested the secretariat to organize a workshop on national systems and issues relating to adjustments, referred to in Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol, before its twelfth session (FCCC/SBSTA/1999/6, para. 34 (d)).
2. At its eleventh session, the SBSTA requested the secretariat to include in the agenda of this workshop the consideration of the methodological and technical aspects of guidelines related to Articles 7 and 8 (FCCC/SBSTA/1999/14, para. 51 (a)). It also requested the secretariat to provide a report on the workshop, a second draft of the guidelines under Article 5.1, an initial draft on modalities for adjustments under Article 5.2 and possible elements for inclusion in the guidelines under Articles 7 and 8, taking into consideration information from the workshop, for consideration by the SBSTA at its twelfth session. The SBSTA invited Parties to submit additional views on methodological issues related to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, in the light of the outcome of the workshop, by 15 April 2000 (FCCC/SBSTA/1999/14, para. 51 (d) and (f)).
3. In addition to the submissions already received and included in document FCCC/SBSTA/2000/MISC.1 and Add.1, a further submission has been received. In accordance with the procedure for miscellaneous documents, this submission is attached and reproduced in the language in which it was received and without formal editing\*.

---

\* In order to make this submission available on electronic systems, including the World Wide Web, this contribution has been retyped. The secretariat has made every effort to ensure the correct reproduction of the text as submitted.

GROUP OF 77 AND CHINA  
(received on 16 June 2000)

**G-77 and China position on elements to be included in the preparation of Proposed Elements of draft guidelines under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol**  
(Informal paper No. 8 (2000) dated June, 11<sup>th</sup> 2000)

The following note will outline the G-77 and China views to assist the secretariat in preparing the above-mentioned document for discussions in the upcoming SB-13. The views draw upon the above document and key questions given during the session by the secretariat.

**GENERAL COMMENTS**

The G-77 and China believes that the decision by COP-6 on reporting and guidelines (Articles 7, and 8) must take into account the following provisions of the protocol: Article 2, 3.2, 3.14, 10, and 11.

All sections of the guideline mentioned in the above referenced document must be fully developed. The guideline should elaborate on all the commitments in the Kyoto Protocol including the above-mentioned provisions. Further elaboration may be required following further requirements resulting from COP-6 decisions on the elements included in the Buenos Aires Plan of Action.

As for what part of the guidelines need distinction between FCCC and KP commitments? We believe that all parts of the guidelines should show distinct clarification between the FCCC and KP review of commitments. Article 7 of the protocol requires supplemental information for the commitments under the protocol. Therefore Article 8 review is a supplemental review of the information under the protocol.

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS:**

The following comments are specific elements to be added to the element paper mentioned above (Informal paper No. 8 (2000) dated June, 11<sup>th</sup> 2000)

- The document and the guideline to be elaborated by the secretariat for the SB-13 session must clearly show that the review is intended for Parties included in Annex I as mandated by Article 8 of the Protocol. Therefore, all references must be made to Annex I Parties through out this and future documents.
  - Page 1, Part I (general Approach to review), section A (objective), add the following element: **“To ensure that the [compliance body] has the information necessary to carry out the functions assigned to it”**“
  - Page 1, Part I (general Approach to review), section B (General Approach), amend, the second sentence of the third bullet point: **“Review teams will not determine whether or not a party included in Annex I is in compliance with its commitments”**.
  - Page 2, Part I (general Approach to review), section D (Timing and procedures to be undertaken), paragraph 1 (review prior to the commitment period) add the following elements:
-

- under (1.a), the bullet related to National Communications add the following elements:
  - **Information related to Article 3.2,**
  - **Information related to Article 3.14**
- Under (1.a) as a new bullet add the following element: **“Any eligibility criteria as determined by COP-6”**.
- Page 4, Part I (general Approach to review), section D (Timing and procedures to be undertaken), paragraph 4 (Periodic review) add the following new elements:
  - **Information regarding Article 2**
  - **Information regarding Article 3.2**
  - **Information regarding Article 3.14**
  - **Information regarding Article 10 and 11.**
- Page 4, Part I (general Approach to review), section E (Composition and institutional arrangement), bullet 1, line 3, after Geographic balance add: **“in a manner that ensures the participation of non-Annex I experts”**.
- Page 12, Part VI, Section A (purpose), add the following bullet:
  - **“To ensure the implementation of Articles 2, 3.2, 3.14, 10 and 11.”**

Further comments may be elaborated.

-----