

Human influence on extreme events: new approach by Probabilistic Event Attribution (PEA)

Masato Mori Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute The University of Tokyo

7th meeting of the research dialogue @UNFCCC 06/06/2015.

-2 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 28 [°C]

Probabilistic Event Attribution (PEA)



Public : "Did the global warming cause this extreme event?" Scientist: "It is difficult to answer

because extreme events can occur without anthropogenic forcing".

but

Comparisons between observations and large-ensemble simulations (*) are making it possible to evaluate how anthropogenic forcing affected probability of occurrence of individual extreme events.

This is called *probabilistic event attribution* (PEA)

(*) running two types of simulations on atmospheric circulation by supercomputer 100 times each

Probabilistic Event Attribution (PEA)



SST: sea surface temperature



- Large ensemble makes it possible to quantify a probability of occurrence of individual events.
- <u>The global warming increased</u> <u>the risk of the severe event by</u>
 <u>XX %</u> (red – blue area).

Attribution Studies in Our Group

Probabilistic Event Attribution (PEA)

- 2010 Russian heat wave (Watanabe et al. 2013, SOLA)
- 2010 drought in Amazon (Shiogama et al. 2013, ASL)
- 2012 heavy rainfall in Japan (Imada et al. 2013, BAMS) REME EVENTS

-0.3

1960

1970

1980

1990

2000

2010

F 2013

From A Climate Perspective

- 2013 heat wave in USA (Shiogama et al. 2014, SOLA)
- •2013 heat wave in Japan (Imada et al. 2014, BAMS)

Attribution of recent Arctic sea-ice loss

• Recent Eurasian cold winters (Mori et al. 2014, Nature Geo.)

Attribution of long-term climate changes

- Global warming hiatus (Watanabe et al. 2014, Nature Clim.)
- NH heat waves (Kamae et al. 2014, GRL)
- Increasing biomass burning in Sumatra (Lestari et al. 2014, ERL)



g-terr

Case 1: Heat Wave in the South Japan in Jul-Aug 2013



- Anthropogenic forcing played a significant role in increasing the risk of the heat wave.
- The value of quantified percentiles may have some sensitivity to assumed SST & sea ice boundary conditions in NAT-run.

Imada et al. (2014, BAMS)

Case 2: Impacts of Arctic Sea-Ice Loss on Recent Eurasian Severe Winters (Introduction)

Differences in average winter (Dec-Feb) temperature between 1994-2003 and 2004-2013 (10-year means).



Background

Observational studies

The cold Eurasian winters were induced by Arctic sea-ice decline.

Modelling studies

A robust atmospheric response has not been found yet.

Is the cause of recent cold winters natural fluctuations? Or response to the sea-ice reduction?

> large-ensemble simulations: the extent of sea ice is Large (HICE) vs. small (LICE)

> > Mori et al. (2014, Nature Geo.)

Case 2: Results

 Arctic sea-ice loss tends to increase the probability of occurrence of the atmospheric circulation pattern shown below.
Future projections by CMIP5:

SAT@central Eurasia Pattern of surface air temperature anomalies associated with sea-ice loss (Dec-Feb) 60 •40 freg. of severe winter Warm 20 **Probability of occurrence** 80N 2060 1980 2080 **⊗**40vear - HICE 60N Intensity of the pattern – LICE orobability 00 00 00 40N ā 2060 2080 2020 2040 6ÓE 90E 120E 150E 180 15⁰W 10 3ÓE year near-surface air temperature (°C) 3.0 -3.0 -1.8 -0.90.9 1.8 __3 _2 _1 0 1 2 3 Intensity of the pattern 4 -20 40 Sea ice anomaly @BKS -60-

- As a result, over the central Eurasia, the probability of severe winter is more than doubled when the extent of sea ice is small (LICE) (14.4%), compared to when it is large (HICE) (6.2%).
- However, an influence from natural variability is also important for the occurrence of severe winters observed over the central Eurasia.
- The frequent occurrence of severe winters may be a temporary phenomenon in a transitional phase of eventual global warming.

year

2060

2080

2000

2020

1980

Mori et al. (2014, Nature Geo.)

Summary

- Our group in SOUSEI program has actively worked on attribution of individual extreme weather events as well as recent climate changes
- The outcomes from PEA have been and will be beneficial for:
 - ✓ Physical understanding of ever-changing climate
 - ✓ Risk assessment of future extreme weather events
 - ✓ Better adaptation for a possible climate change
- The PEA could be useful and informative for public and UNFCCC
- Challenges remain:
 - The amount of change in risk by anthropogenic forcing may depend on how we evaluate the world without global warming (Method & Model performance)

