The Emissions Gap Report 2012

Where do we need to be in 2020 and beyond? What do countries need to do to make it happen?

CO P 18 Doha 2012

John Christensen UNEP



Moving forward on global climate policy











Three policy developments ...

✓ A target (or limit) ...

Staying below an increase of 2 degrees Celsius (1.5°C)

✓ A means to get there ...

Country pledges to control emissions (pegged to 2020)

✓ Durban: A plan for a climate treaty ... Agreed to by 2015; into effect by 2020

Three questions ...

✓ Is there a gap between ...

What we are aiming for ... and where we are heading ?

- ✓ Can the gap be bridged and what will it take?
- ✓ Can we wait until 2020 to start stringent emission reductions?

The Emissions Gap reports

2010 Cancun Climate Summit UNEP "Emissions Gap" report

United Nations Environment Programme with the European Climate Foundation & National Institute of Ecology, Mexico

2011 Durban Climate Summit UNEP "Bridging the Emissions Gap" report

United Nations Environment Programme with the European Climate Foundation & Ministry of Environment, South Africa

2012 Doha Climate Summit UNEP "Emissions Gap 2012" report

United Nations Environment Programme with the European Climate Foundation

55 scientists, 43 institutions, 22 countries



What are we aiming for? Pathways to stay within the 2°C target

- 1. Meeting a temperature target depends largely on *cumulative* emissions
- 2. Different pathways of emissions correspond to same cumulative emissions



What are we aiming for? Post-2020 goals for staying within 2°C target





Is their a gap -- between what we are aiming for and where we are headed in 2020?





What happens if we don't close the gap in 2020?



- ✓ If ambition of pledges not increased: trajectory to \approx + 3.0 to 5.0°C
- ✓ What if we start later to meet the 2.0 °C target?

"Later action scenarios": Higher emissions over near term, require sharper reductions afterwards \rightarrow Lower short-term costs, but ...

A bigger gamble ...

- Higher costs of mitigation
- Greater climate impacts
- Reliance on non-proven technology \rightarrow Negative emissions



Negative emissions through Bioenergy + Carbon Capture and Storage

UNEP

How can the 2020 gap be bridged? Bottom-up sectoral studies



How can the 2020 gap be bridged? Some action on the ground









Transportation

Potential: - 1.7 to - 2.5 Gt CO₂e in 2020

Reduce energy use, increase energy security, reduce traffic congestion, security, reduce air pollution

Example policies:

Vehicle Performance Standards

- Japan, EU, USA, Canada, China, Australia and South Korea:
- Light-duty fleets: > 50% reduction in GHG emissions by 2025 rel to 2000.

Bus Rapid Transit

- 16 countries
- GHG emissions in Mexico City: 143 kt CO₂e/yr avoided due BRT (Metrobus) system

How can the 2020 gap be bridged? Some action on the ground









Buildings

Potential: -1.4 to - 2.9 Gt CO₂e in 2020

Reduce energy use and costs, energy security, safety

Example policy:

e.g. Appliance Standards and Labels

- > 75 countries
- Avoided GHG emissions \approx 125 $MtCO_2e/yr$ (2020) from SEAD* 17 states
- Potential global reductions GHG emissions: 0.7 Gt CO₂e (2020)

* Super Efficient Equipment and Appliance Deployment Initiative









Forestry – Reducing deforestation

Potential: - 1.3 to - 4.2 Gt CO₂e in 2020

Many countries, including Brazil and Costa Rica

Preservation of culture, ecotourism, biodiversity, watershed protection

Example policies:

- **Protected areas** Brazil: 46% of Amazon, Costa Rica: 24% of land area.
- Satellite-based monitoring Brazil: enforcement of deforestation policies
- Economic instruments: Costa Rica: Payments for ecosystem services

Brazil: Avoided GHG emissions: ~ 0.6 Gt CO₂e (2005-2009);

~ 2.8 Gt CO₂e (2006-2011)

Costa Rica: Currently: Near zero deforestation & related emissions

Losing opportunities ...



"Lock in" of high emission technologies, structures" and processes

- Currently produced energy-inefficient vehicles will still be on the road in 2020
- Energy-wasteful buildings now under construction will last 100 years
- Power plants are being constructed with fuel efficiency below what is technically feasible, and will have lifetime of >25 years



New in this report

 Looking beyond 2020, current global emissions, consequences of not closing the gap

For a climate agreement that begins in 2020 ...

To meet the two degree target:

- Global emissions in 2030 must return to around their 1990s level
- Global emissions in 2050, > 40% below 1990 levels, > 50% below 2010 levels

But cannot wait until 2020 for stringent emission reductions to begin.

To meet the two degree target:

- Global emissions already more than 10% above emissions level in 2020 consistent with 2°C target, and growing
- Global emissions must peak before 2020
- Pledges not enough, still gap in 2020 → <u>8 13</u> Gt CO₂e



The Gap can be narrowed ... with action in the negotiations

- Minimizing use of surplus emission credits & land use related credits
- Pursuing more ambitious ("conditional") pledges

The Gap can be bridged ... by realizing large potential in each sector

- Technical potential for reductions in 2020 (17 Gt CO₂e /yr) big enough to close the gap (14 Gt CO₂e /yr)
- Emission reductions by scaling up policies that fulfil local and national selfinterest: Saving energy, saving costs, reducing traffic congestion, reducing air pollution ...

But "lock in" of high emission technologies, structures and processes \rightarrow losing time + opportunities to close the gap.

The Emissions Gap Report 2012

Where do we need to be in 2020 and beyond? What do countries need to do to make it happen?

