Reviews and budget: challenge of 2017



Sergey Kononov, Manager; UNFCCC secretariat, MDA programme

Growing scale/intensity of MRV implementation

Review/analysis/assessment process	2012–2013	2014–2015	2016 only	More events
Reviews of GHG inventories from Annex I Parties (including reviews under KP)	87 reviews	43 reviews	44 reviews (some combined)	in 2016 than in the whole previous biennium; and <u>more</u> <u>experts</u>
Reviews of NCs/BRs from developed countries	8 reviews	87 reviews	43 reviews	
True-up period reviews under the KP	-	-	37 reviews	
Analyses of BURs from developing countries	0	15 analyses	20 analyses	
Assessments of forest reference levels	0	6 assessments	9 assessments	needed
MA sessions at SBI for developed countries	_	3 sessions, for 43 Parties	1 session, for 24 Parties	
FSV sessions for SBI developing countries	-	-	2 sessions, for 20 Parties	
Total review/analysis events	95 per biennium	154 per biennium	156 per one year only	/
Total number of experts needed	360 per biennium	394 per biennium	434 per one year only	

Number of events and supported experts increasing twice... the current budget cannot support it fully over the biennium



Budget becomes a planning constraint...

- To complete its essential work in 2016, the MDA programme had to borrow from its 2017 budget
- MDA must balance budget by end of 2017 (UN financial regulations)
- Implementing the review process of 2017 (GHG inventories) as BAU is not possible financially, using the core budget only
- Additional challenge: delayed contributions to the UNFCCC budget may impact the budget even more (the budget allocations on paper may not realize, with implications)
- Supplementary funding is an opportunity (was asked for 2016-2017)
 => but it may not come (no contributions at all were received for this purpose)
- Resource availability becomes decisive for planning GHG inventory reviews in 2017:
 - All 44 reviews as usual if there is supplementary funding
 - Only 22 reviews if only core funding is available
- If there is a general cash-flow problem at UNFCCC, only desk reviews may be possible

