ELEVENTH MEETING OF INVENTORY LEAD REVIEWERS 3–6 March 2013

UN Campus, Altes Abgeordnetenhochhaus, Platz der Vereinten Nationen 1, 53113 Bonn, Germany

Agenda¹

<u>Day 1</u>

8:30 - 9:00	Registration
9:00 - 9:15	Welcome (Donald Cooper)
	Introduction (Astrid Olsson, Sergey Kononov)
	<i>Outcome of SBSTA 39/COP 19/CMP 9 and "expectations" of negotiations in 2014</i>
9:15 - 10:00	Outcomes related to inventories in Warsaw (Lisa Hanle, Lornaliza Kogler, Vitor Gois) Expectations of negotiations in 2014 (Lisa Hanle, Vitor Gois)
10:00 - 10:30	Coffee break
	Training of experts
10:30 - 11:00	 Training of experts (<i>Kyoko Miwa</i>) Information on reporting activities during the 2013 review cycle Future developments on training on greenhouse gases inventories
	Status of preparation of review tools for the 2014 review
11:00 - 12:15	Update on review tools for the 2014 reviews (<i>Tomoyuki Aizawa</i>) Experience with the inventory virtual team room (iVTR) during the 2013 review cycle and use of the iVTR in the 2014 review cycle (<i>Vitor Gois</i>)
	"Statistics" of the 2013 expert review process and follow-up of 10 th meeting of lead reviewers
12:15 - 13:00	"Statistics" of the reviews of the 2013 inventory submissions under the Convention and the Kyoto Protocol (<i>Roman Payo</i>) Information on Saturday papers (Tomoyuki Aizawa, Vitor Gois) Follow-up of 10 th meeting of lead reviewers (<i>Roman Payo</i>)
13:00 - 14:00	Lunch break
	Experience with the use of the documents supporting the review
14:00 - 14:45	Experience with the 2013 ARR template, the stepwise guide and the QC Checklist (<i>Lisa Hanle, Lead Reviewers</i>) Improvements in the 2014 ARR template and the QC checklist (Lisa Hanle)

¹ Each agenda item can include a presentation or presentations, and a related discussion, including the formulation of conclusions if applicable.

Consistency in reviews

14:45 – 18:00	 Examples of possible inconsistencies (<i>Lisa Hanle, Roman Payo</i>) Issues for discussion: The use of tables in review reports Examples of inconsistent treatment of categories Main findings and recommendations at the end of review week
16:00 - 16:30	Coffee break
18:00	End day 1

18:00 – 19:00 Social activity

<u>Day 2</u>

Consistency issues in the LULUCF sector and KP-LULUCF activities

9:00 – 12:30	 Examples of possible inconsistencies (<i>Tomoyuki Aizawa, Vitor Gois</i>) Issues for discussion: Issues identified during the 2013 review cycle for Parties with annual and commitment period accounting Identified issues relating to KP-LULUCF for Parties with end of commitment period account that could potentially lead to an adjustment during the 2014 review cycle
11:00 - 11:30	Coffee break
12:30 - 13:30	Lunch break
	Improvements in the review process
13:30 - 14:00	 Introduction of the main topics (<i>Astrid Olsson, Sergey Kononov</i>) How can we get the draft ARRs submitted to Parties earlier? How can we improve the management and efficiency of the review week? How can we enhance consistency in reviews?
14:00 - 17:00	Discussion in break-out groups
16:00 - 16:30	Coffee break
17:00 - 18:00	Reporting by break-out groups and plenary discussion
18:00	End day 2

<u>Day 3</u>

	Planning for reviews of annual submissions in 2014
10:00 - 11:30	Overview of planning and preparation of 2014 annual reviews (Astrid Olsson, Sergey Kononov)
11:30 - 12:00	Coffee break
	Conclusions and recommendations
12:00 - 13:00	Presentation of the draft conclusions for reading and review
13:00 - 14:00	Lunch break
14:00 - 18:00	Discussion of draft conclusions and recommendations
15:30 - 15:45	Coffee break
18:00	End day 3

<u>Day 4</u>

Refresher seminar "Moving from CP1 to CP2"

9:00 - 10:30	 The 2014–2016 reviews under CP 1 (Astrid Olsson) Final review under CP1 in 2014 (Astrid) True-up period review in 2015/2016 (Jean-François Halleux)
10:30 - 11:00	Coffee break
11:00 - 12:00	 The second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol Overview of requirements and timeliness for the second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol (Astrid Olsson, Vitor Gois)

- - - - -