			Status rep	ort for											
			Polar	nd											
	Data of autoriaria	15 A													
General information		15 April 2002 Electronic:			Hardcopy:										
orms		12													
ıl inf	, ,	2000													
nera	Gases covered:	CO ₂ CH ₄	N ₂ O HFCs	PFCs SF ₆	NOx CO	NMVOCs SO ₂									
ğ		V V	V	V V	V V	V V									
nal rt	Description:	A national inventory report has not been submitted.													
National Inventory Report															
Z J Z	Language:														
	PART I: Provision of information for the latest reported inventory year in the CRF: 2000														
		10,101011 01 111011111	ion for the intest rep	orteu inventory year	11. 11.0 0211 1 2000										
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land-Use Change and Forestry	Waste								
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I) 🗸	3 🗸	4 🗸	5 🗸	6 ☑								
			2(II) 🗸												
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G 🔽	3.A-D 🗆	4.A 🗹	5.A** ✓	6.A 🗸								
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E 🗹		4.B(a)	5.B** ✓	6.B ✓								
şş		1.A(c)	2(II).F 🗸	_	4.B(b)	5.C** ✓	6.C 🗆								
Tables		1.A(d) 🗹			4.C 🗆	5.D** ✓									
1		1.B.1 ☑			4.D 🗹	-									
		1.B.2 🔽	_		4.E 🗆	_									
	Summary tables (emission totals):	1.C 🗸		Cummorr, 1D	4.F ✓	Summore 2									
	·	Summary 1A Summary 3	<u></u>	Summary 1B Table 7 (Overview)		Summary 2 Table 9 (Completeness)) 🗆								
	Other tables.	Table 10 (Trends)		Table 11 (Checklist)		Table 7 (Completeness)	,								
	Comments:														
		•													
spu	Totals provided for:	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆								
Trends	-	V	V	V	V	V	V								
Trends	Totals provided for years:				1995-2000	✓ 1995-2000	1995-2000								
	-	V	1988, 1990-2000	V	V	✓ 1995-2000 e than	V								
CO ₂ Trends	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	1988, 1990-2000	1988, 1990-2000	1988, 1990-2000	1995-2000 Difference more	✓ 1995-2000 e than	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent								
CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	1988, 1990-2000 Reference app	1988, 1990-2000 roach Sectora	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach		1995-2000 e than If diffe	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided								
CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel	✓ 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app	1988, 1990-2000	Y 1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Y P	1995-2000 Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided								
Cs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential	✓ 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app	1988, 1990-2000 Toach Sectora	Y 1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Y P	✓ 1995-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If diffe	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided								
CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species:	✓ 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app.		V 1988, 1990-2000 (national) approach V P	Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If diffe Explanation Si	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided								
Cs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of	▼ 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app. H Actual	V 1988, 1990-2000 Toach Sectora FCs Potential Potent	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual	Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If difference Explanation Silver Actual	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F6								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ :	▼ 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app. H Actual	V 1988, 1990-2000 1988, 1990-2000	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual	Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If difference Explanation Silver Actual	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	V 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app	V 1988, 1990-2000 1988, 1990-2000	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual	Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If differ Explanation SI Actual	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
Cs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ :	V 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app	V 1988, 1990-2000 1988, 1990-2000	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual	Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If differ Explanation SI Actual	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	V 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app	V 1988, 1990-2000 1988, 1990-2000	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables	Difference more 2 per cent	1995-2000 e than If differ Explanation SI Actual	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	Page 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app H Actual Summary tables 1A &		1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II:	Potential	1995-2000 e than If differ Explanation SI Actual	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation	Potential	1995-2000 e than If diffe Explanation Si Actual Sectoral background da	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	Page 1988, 1990-2000 Reference app H Actual Summary tables 1A &	Potential PART ision of information r	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II:	Potential	1995-2000 e than If diffe Explanation Si Actual Sectoral background da	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation	Potential	1995-2000 e than If difference than Explanation Solution Sectoral background da Provided.	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation	Potential	1995-2000 e than If diffe Explanation Si Actual Sectoral background da	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	Prov	Potential PART ision of information r	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation	Potential Potential Potential Potential Potential	In 1995-2000 The than If difference than Explanation Explanation Actual Improvided. Sectoral background da Description: Land-Use Change and	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential V ta tables								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	Provi	Potential PART Sision of information r Industrial Processes	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation Information on recalculation Solvent Use	Potential Potential Agriculture	Isolation If different Isolation Iso	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential ta tables Waste								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r Comments Industrial Processes	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation Information on recalculation Solvent Use	Potential Potential Agriculture	In 1995-2000 The than If difference than If differ	1995-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided Potential Tatables Waste								
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs:	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r Comments Industrial Processes	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation Information on recalculation Solvent Use	Potential Potential Agriculture	In 1995-2000 The than If difference than If differ	Potential Tatables Waste								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs:	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r Comments Industrial Processes	1988, 1990-2000 I (national) approach Pl Actual Sectoral report tables II: elated to recalculation Information on recalculation Solvent Use	Potential Potential Agriculture	In 1995-2000 The than If difference than If differ	Potential Tatables Waste								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: SF ₆ :	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r Comments Industrial Processes	II: elated to recalculation Solvent Use	Potential Potential Agriculture	In 1995-2000 The than If difference than If differ	Potential								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: PFCs: SF ₆ : Table 8(b) (Explanatory information):	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r Comments Industrial Processes	Information on recalculation Solvent Use Control of the cont	Potential Potential Agriculture	In 1995-2000 The than If difference in the image of the	Potential Tatables Waste								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ Reys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: SF ₆ :	Provi	Potential PART ision of information r Comments Industrial Processes	II: elated to recalculation Solvent Use	Potential Potential Agriculture	In 1995-2000 The than If difference in the image of the	Potential								

LUCF: Land-Use Change and Forestry

Note:

This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2002 as originally submitted by the Party.

* Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that ** According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. The development of alternative formats for these tables is awaiting the outcome of the ongoing work of the IPCC in developing good practice guidance for the land use, land-use change and forestry sector.

Status report for Poland

Part III: Provision of CRF tables for years reported

Provision of CKF tables for years reported																
			Base year ***	1990	1991	1992	1993	Yea 1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	Information gaps related to reporting*	Comments
		Sectoral report - Table 1												✓	√	
Energy		Table 1A(a)												\	✓	
		Table 1A(b)														Only data for carbon stored are shown in the table.
	T	Table 1A(c)														As the reference approach has not been provided, only sectoral
	SBDT	Table 1A(d)												1	1	approach data are shown in the table.
	S	Table 1B1												7	7	
		Table 1B2												7	1	
		Table 1C												1	1	
							<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>			_	<u>I</u>
Industrial		Table 2(I)												✓	1	
		Sectoral reports - Table 2(II)												1	1	
[ndustrial processes	T	Table 2(I). A-G												/	✓	
Ind	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E												√	✓	
	S	Table 2(II).F												✓	✓	
ıı,		Sectoral report - Table 3												✓	✓	
Solvent	SBDT	Table 3.A-D														
S	SBI	Table S.A-D														
	•						•	•	•	•		•			•	•
		Sectoral report - Table 4												1	✓	
		Table 4.A												1	✓	
Agriculture		Table 4.B(a)												✓	✓	
	T	Table 4.B(b)												\	1	
	SBDT	Table 4.C														
Ag	S	Table 4.D												\	√	
		Table 4.E														
		Table 4.F												✓	✓	
4)	1	G. d		1											,	T
nge y		Sectoral report - Table 5												√	1	
cha		Table 5.A* *												1	1	
Se	SBDT	Table 5.B* *												✓	✓	
ng ng	SB	Table 5.C* *												✓	✓	Notation key "0" was used for proving information on estimates.
Land-use change and forestry		Table 5.D* *												1	1	
		Table 3.D					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		•	•	
		Sectoral report - Table 6		I			ı	ı	ı	ı		ı		√	-	
ę	-	Table 6.A												7	7	
Waste	SBDT	Table 6.B								<u> </u>				7	7	
^	SE	Table 6.C													-	
	-									•						•
	Sun	nmary 1A												✓	✓	
ables		nmary 1B												1		
	Sur	nmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)												1		
		nmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)												1		
the		ole 7 (Overview)												1		
g G		ole 8(a) (Recalculation -														
ä		calculated data)														
ary		ble 8(b) (Recalculation -														
Ĭ	Exp	planatory information)	<u> </u>													
Ä		ele 9 (Completeness)														
• •		ole 10 (Trends)												✓	✓	
	Tab	ole 11 (Checklist)												✓		

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^{*} This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited or lack of use of notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C, 0).

^{**} According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. The development of alternative formats for these tables is awaiting the outcome of the ongoing work of the IPCC in developing good practice guidance for the land use, land-use change and forestry sector.

*** This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.