			Status r	port for										
			Icel	and										
		20 4												
tion	Date of submission: Format:													
General information	Base year or period*:	**												
l info	CRF provided for years:													
neral	Gases covered:	CO ₂ CH ₄	N ₂ O HFCs	PFCs SF ₆	NOx CO	NMVOCs SO ₂								
Gei		 ✓ ✓ ✓ 	√ √	✓ ✓		✓ ✓								
- 2	Description:	A national inventory	report has not been s	ıbmitted.										
National Inventory Report														
Na Inv Ro	Language													
Language:														
PART I:														
]	Provision of informa	ation for the latest re	ported inventory year	in the CRF: 2000									
		Energy	Industrial Processe	s Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land-Use Change and	Waste							
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I) 🗸	3 🗸	4 🗸	Forestry 5 🔽	6 🗸							
	Sectoral report tables.		2(II)											
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D 🗹	4.A 🗹	5.A** 🗸	6.A 🔽							
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B**	6.B 🗹							
		1.A(c)	2(II).F	-	4.B(b)	5.C**	6.C 🗹							
Tables		1.A(d)			4.C 🗹	5.D**								
Tal		1.B.1 🗹			4.D 🗹									
		1.B.2 🗹			4.E 🗹									
		1.C 🗹			4.F 🗸									
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1A		Summary 1B		Summary 2	v							
	Other tables:	Summary 3	V	Table 7 (Overview)	V	Table 9 (Completeness)	v							
		Table 10 (Trends)	7	Table 11 (Checklist)	V									
	Comments:													
		CO ₂	CH_4	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆							
spu	Totals provided for:			N20		⊡ ⊡								
e	· ·	v	✓											
Trends	Totals provided for years:	√ 1990-2000	✓ 1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000							
	Totals provided for years:	1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000									
		1990-2000 Reference app	1990-2000	1990-2000 oral (national) approach	1990-2000 Difference more 2 per cent	e than If diffe	erence is more than 2 per cent							
CO ₂ Tre	Totals provided for years:	1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000	1990-2000 Difference more	e than If diffe	erence is more than 2 per cent							
CO ²	Totals provided for years:	1990-2000 Reference app	1990-2000	1990-2000 oral (national) approach	1990-2000 Difference more 2 per cent	e than If diffe Explanation	erence is more than 2 per cent							
CO ²	Totals provided for years:	1990-2000 Reference app	1990-2000 roach Sect	1990-2000 ral (national) approach ✓ P.	1990-2000 Difference more 2 per cent	e than If diffe Explanation	2 per cent							
CO ²	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential	1990-2000 Reference app	1990-2000 roach Sect IFCs	1990-2000 ral (national) approach ✓ P.	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent	e than If diffe Explanation	2 per cent							
FCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species:	1990-2000 Reference app	1990-2000 roach Sector IFCs	1990-2000 vral (national) approach Image: Comparent of the second sec	1990-2000 Difference more 2 per cent	e than If diffe Explanation Sl	rence is more than 2 per cent provided F ₆							
FCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of	1990-2000 Reference app	1990-2000 roach Sector IFCs ✓ Potential ✓ ✓	I 1990-2000 I approach I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1990-2000 Difference mon 2 per cent □ FCs □ Potential	e than If diffu Explanation SI Actual	rence is more than 2 per cent provided F ₆ Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ :	1990-2000 Reference app	IP90-2000 Troach Sect TFCs TFCs TPOtential TC	I 1990-2000 I approach I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1990-2000 Difference mon 2 per cent □ FCs □ Potential	e than If diffu Explanation SI Actual	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2000 Reference app P Actual Summary tables 1A &	I 1990-2000	1990-2000 vral (national) approach Image: Image of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mon 2 per cent FCs Potential	Explanation Explanation SI Actual	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
FCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2000 Reference app P Actual Summary tables 1A &	I 1990-2000	1990-2000 vral (national) approach Image: Image of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mon 2 per cent FCs Potential	Explanation Explanation SI Actual	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2000 Reference app P Actual Summary tables 1A &	I 1990-2000	1990-2000 val (national) approach Image:	1990-2000 Difference mon 2 per cent FCs Potential	Explanation Explanation SI Actual	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2000 Reference app Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys	1990-2000 roach Sect IFCs Z Potential IB Z Nas limited in some ta	1990-2000 val (national) approach Image:	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Image: Potential Image: Potential Image: Potential Image: Potential	Explanation Explanation SI Actual	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	1990-2000 Reference app T Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys Prov	I 1990-2000 roach Sect roach Potential IB Potential Recurstory Potential Potentia	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: Constraint of the second se	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential	than If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2000 Reference app Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys	I 1990-2000 roach Sect roach Potential IB Potential Recurrence Potential Potentia	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: Constraint of the second se	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential	than If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	1990-2000 Reference app T Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys Prov	I 1990-2000 roach Sect roach Potential IB Potential Recurrence Potential Potentia	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: Constraint of the second se	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential	e than If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6: Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	1990-2000 Reference app T Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys Prov	I 1990-2000 roach Sect roach Potential IB Potential Recurrence Potential Potentia	I 1990-2000 ral (national) approach	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential	e than If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	rence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recolculation for years:	1990-2000 Reference app Reference app Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys Prov	IP90-2000 roach Sect roach Potential IFCs IPOtential IB Potential IB Potential Comment Comment	I 1990-2000 ral (national) approach	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential	e than If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential a tables							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO. SF6	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	1990-2000 Reference app Reference	1990-2000 roach Sect roach Sect IFC's IFC's <tr< td=""><td>1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o</td><td>1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential </td><td>Ethan If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data rovided.</td><td>a tables</td></tr<>	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential	Ethan If diffe Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data rovided.	a tables							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO. SF6	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2:	1990-2000 Reference app Reference	I 1990-2000 roach Sect Troach Sect IFCs IFCs IFCs Potential IB IB IB IB IB IB IB I	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent C Potential Image: Comparison of the second s		erence is more than 2 per cent 1 provide F6 Potential a tables Kase Kase Kase Kase Kase Kase Kase K							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO. SF6	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ :	1990-2000 Reference app Reference	I 1990-2000 roach Sect Troach Sect IFC's IFC's Potential Potential IB Potential Potential IB IIB IIB IIB IIB IIII IIIIII	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent C Potential C Q Image: Comparison of the second		erence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20:	1990-2000 Reference app Reference	I 1990-2000 roach Sect Troach Sect IFC's IFC's Potential Potential IB Potential Potential IB Industrial Processe Industrial Procese Processe Industrial Procese Processe In	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent C Potential C Q Image: Comparison of the second		erence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2; CH4; N20; HFCs:	1990-2000 Reference app Reference	1990-2000 roach Sect IFCs 2 Potential 3 IB 2 IB Comment vision of information Comment Industrial Processe 2	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent C Potential C Q Image: Comparison of the second		erence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO. SF6	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6; Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2; CH4; N20; HFCs; PFCs;	1990-2000 Reference app Reference	1990-2000 roach Sect IFCS 2 Potential 2 IB 2 IB Comment rision of information Comment Industrial Processe 2 Industrial Processe 2 ID 1	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image: state of the state o	1990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent C Potential C Q Image: Comparison of the second		erence is more than 2 per cent provide F6 Potential							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO. SF6	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: PFCs: PFCs: SF6:	1990-2000 Reference app Actual Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys Prov Energy Energy Energy	1990-2000 roach Sect IFCS Sect IFCS Potential IFC Image: Sect state st	1990-2000 val (national) approach I I I Actual I Actual I Sectoral report tables I <	I990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential C Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture	Ethan If diffs Explanation Sectoral background data Sectoral background data rovided.	erence is more than 2 per cent provide provide Potential Potential							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO. SF6	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs: PFCs: Table 8(b) (Explanatory information):	1990-2000 Reference app Actual Actual Summary tables 1A & Use of notation keys Prov Energy Energy Energy	1990-2000 roach Sect IFCS Sect IFCS Potential IFC Image: Sect state st	1990-2000 yral (national) approach Image:	I990-2000 Difference mor 2 per cent FCs Potential C Agriculture Agriculture Agriculture	Ethan If diffs Explanation Sectoral background data Sectoral background data rovided.	erence is more than 2 per cent provide provide Potential Potential							

LUCF: Land-Use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2002 as originally submitted by the Party.

* Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF_6 in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

** According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. The development of alternative formats for these tables is awaiting the outcome of the ongoing work of the IPCC in developing good practice guidance for the land use, land-use change and forestry sector.

Status report for																
Iceland																
Part III:																
Provision of CRF tables for years reported																
Years Information gaps																
			year ***	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	related to reporting*	Comments
	-	Sectoral report - Table 1 Table 1A(a)												✓ ✓		
		Table 1A(b)												~		
Energy	DT	Table 1A(c) Table 1A(d)												✓ ✓		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
Er	SBDT	Table 1B1												~		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 1B2												1		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 1C												1	1	
_		Sectoral reports - Table 2(I)												1	1	
Industrial processes		Table 2(II)												<	↓ ↓	
ndue	SBDT	Table 2(I). A-G Table 2(II).C, E												~		
I	S	Table 2(II).F														
		Sectoral report - Table 3	r -	r	<u> </u>	1	1		1	r	1			1	1	
Solvent use	SBDT													1	1	
				1						1						
		Sectoral report - Table 4												1	1	Only population size data and implied emission factors were
		Table 4.A												~	1	reported.
0		Table 4.B(a)												1	1	No data were reported for the animal waste management systems,
Agriculture	T	Table 4.B(b)												1		but notation key (NE) was used.
Agric	SBDT	Table 4.C Table 4.D												<		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 4.E												~		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 4.F												~		No data were reported in this table, but notation keys (NO/NE) were
			·	I	L	I	I	L		I						used.
se nd		Sectoral report - Table 5												~ ~	1	
Land-use change and forestrv	Ĭ	Table 5.A* * Table 5.B* *												1	1	
Lan for	SBDT	Table 5.C* *														
Ŭ		Table 5.D* *														
		Sectoral report - Table 6	1	1	1					1				1	1	
Waste	T	Table 6.A												1	1	
	SBDT	Table 6.B Table 6.C												\ \		
	1	Table 0.C	I	I		I	I		I	I	I			۷		
		nmary 1A												1	1	
es		mmary 1B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)												< <		
· tab		mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)												✓ ✓		
ther	Tal	ble 7 (Overview)												1		
Summary and other tables		ble 8(a) (Recalculation - calculated data)														
ary a	Tat	ble 8(b) (Recalculation -														
um,		planatory information)			ļ									,		
Su		ble 9 (Completeness) ble 10 (Trends)												~	1	
		ble 11 (Checklist)												· ·		
						•	•		•							

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

* This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited or lack of use of notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C, 0). ** According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. The development of alternative formats for these tables is awaiting the outcome of the ongoing work of the IPCC in developing good practice guidance for the land use, land-use change and forestry sector. *** This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.