				St	tatus rep	ort for									
					Switzer										
					Switzer	land									
u	Date of submission:	12 April 200	2												
General information	Format:	Electronic:													
rlorr	Base year or period*:														
ral i	CRF provided for years:	2000													
ene	Gases covered:	CO <sub>2</sub>	$CH_4$	$N_2O$	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>	NOx	CO	NMVOCs	SO <sub>2</sub>				
0		✓	7	7	<b>v</b>	7	7	<b>v</b>	7		<b>v</b>				
National Inventory Report	Description: A National Inventory Report has not been submitted.														
Language:															
	P	rovision of i	nformati	on for the	PART latest rep		tory year	in the CRF	F: 2000						
		Energy		Industrial	Processes	Solvent Use		Agriculture		Land-Use Change and Forestry		Waste			
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸		2(I) 2(II)		3 🗸		4 🗸		5 🗸		6 🗸			
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	7	2(I).A-G	<b>v</b>	3.A-D	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	4.A		5.A**		6.A 🗸			
		1.A(b)	<b>V</b>	2(II).C,E				4.B(a)		5.B**		6.B 🗹			
\$		1.A(c)		2(II).F	<b>v</b>			4.B(b)		5.C**		6.C 🗹			
Tables		1.A(d)						4.C 4.D 4.D		5.D**		]			
T		1.B.1	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>												
		1.B.2	<b>V</b>					4.E 🗌		_					
		1.C	<b>V</b>					4.F	<b>V</b>						
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1A			<b>v</b>	Summary 1B			<b>v</b>	Summary 2					
	Other tables:				<b>V</b>	Table 7 (Overview)		<b>v</b>		Table 9 (Completeness)		) 🗸			
		Table 10 (Trends)   Image: Table 11 (Checklist)													
	Comments:														
s		CO <sub>2</sub>	,	C	$H_4$	N <sub>2</sub> O		HFCs		PFCs		SF <sub>6</sub>			
pu	Totals provided for:														
ž		$\checkmark$		G	7	5	0	V	]		2	2			
Trends	Totals provided for years:	✓ 1990-2	000	۔ 1990-		<b>1990</b>		1990-		1990-		✓ 1990-2000			
		1990-20		1990-	-2000	1990	2000	1990-		1990-	-2000				
	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:	1990-20	ence appro	1990-	-2000	1990 l (national) a	2000	1990-	2000 erence more 2 per cent	1990- e than	-2000 If diffe	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent			
CO <sub>2</sub> Tre	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel	1990-20		1990-	-2000	1990	2000	1990-	2000 erence more	1990- e than	-2000	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent			
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel	1990-20	ence appro	1990- oach	-2000	1990 l (national) a	2000 pproach	1990- Diff	2000 erence more 2 per cent	1990- e than	-2000 If diffe Explanation	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided			
PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel	1990-20	ence appro	1990- pach	-2000	1990 l (national) a	2000 pproach PH	1990-	2000 erence more 2 per cent	1990- e than	-2000 If diffe	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided			
PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential	1990-20 Refere	ence appro	1990 pach	-2000	1990 l (national) a	2000 pproach PH [	1990- Diffe	2000 erence more 2 per cent	1990- e than	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided			
CO2	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of	1990-20 Refere	ence appro	1990 Dach Cs 2 Pote	-2000 Sectora	I (national) a	2000 pproach PH [	1990. Diffe FCs ✓ Pote	2000 erence more 2 per cent	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided			
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :	1990-24 Refere	ence appro HE [ al	1990- oach Cs Z Pote	-2000 Sectora	I (national) a	2000 pproach PH [ uual	1990.     Diff     FCs     ✓     Pote	2000 erence more 2 per cent 1	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl tual	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :	1990-20 Refere	ence appro	1990. oach CCS 2 Pote [ 1B	Sectora ntial	1990. I (national) a Acc Sectoral rep	2000 pproach PH [ uual	1990.     Diff     FCs     ✓     Pote	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl tual	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in:	1990-20 Refere Actua Summary tab	ence appro	1990. oach CCS 2 Pote [ 1B	Sectora ntial	1990. I (national) a Z Acci Sectoral rep Des.	2000 pproach PH [ uual	1990.     Diff     FCs     ✓     Pote	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl tual	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in:	1990-20 Refere Actua Summary tab	HF	1990- oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ vas limited i	-2000 Sectora intial 	1990. I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Sectoral rep	2000 pproach PI ( aual C port tables	1990- Diffi ⊂Cs 2 Pote	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl tual	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in:	1990-20 Refere Actua Summary tab	HF	1990- oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ vas limited i	-2000 Sectora intial 	1990. I (national) a Z Acci Sectoral rep Des.	2000 pproach PI ( aual C port tables	1990- Diffi ⊂Cs 2 Pote	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl tual	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in:	1990-20 Refere Actua Summary tab	HF	1990- oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ vas limited i sion of info	-2000 Sectora intial 	1990. I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Sectoral rep	2000 pproach PI ( aual C port tables	1990- Diffi ⊂Cs 2 Pote	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	e than Act	-2000 If diffe Explanation Sl tual	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments:	1990-20 Reference Actua Summary tab Use of notati	HF	1990- oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ vas limited i sion of info	-2000 Sectora intial	1990. I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Sectoral rep	2000 pproach PI ( aual C port tables	1990- Diffi ⊂Cs 2 Pote	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	1990- e than a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Ckground da	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	1990-20 Reference Actual Summary tab Use of notati	ence appro	1990. oach CS 2 Pote 1B [ vas limited i sion of info	-2000 Sectora intial	I (national) a (national) a C Acc Sectoral rep bles. II: elated to re	2000 pproach PI ( aual C port tables	I 1990-	2000 erence mor 2 per cent ntial	1990- e than Acti Sectoral bac	-2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F <sub>6</sub> Potential			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	1990-20 Referent Actua ✓ Summary tab Use of notati	ence appro	1990. oach CS 2 Pote 1B [ vas limited i sion of info	2000 Sectora Intial Intial PART PART PART Comments:	1990.  I (national) a  C  Acc  Acc  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep	2000 pproach PH [ aual ort tables ecalculation at Use	I 1990-	2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1990- e than Act Sectoral bac	2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual ✓ Change and estry	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided F6 Potential 2 ta tables Waste			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ 1B [ 1B [ 10] Cs	2000 Sectora Intial I In some tal PART PART Comments: Processes I	I (national) a (national) a C Acc Sectoral rep bles. II: elated to re	2000 pproach PH [ aual ort tables ecalculation at Use	1990     Diff     Cs     Z     Pote     I	2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1990- e than Act Sectoral bac	2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry ]	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F6 Potential  C ta tables Vaste			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> :	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ 1B [ 1B [ 10] Cs 10] CS Cs 10] Cs 10] Cs 10] Cs 10	2000 Sectora Intial In some tal PART PART Comments: Processes ] ]	I (national) a I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Des. II: elated to re Solver C	2000 pproach PH (aual ort tables realculation at Use ]		2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Land-Use C Fore	-2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry J J	1990-2000  rence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F6  Potential  T ta tables  Waste  Waste  T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> :	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ 1B [ 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	-2000 Sectora Intial In	1990.  I (national) a  C  Acc  Acc  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep	2000 pproach PH (aual ort tables realculation at Use ]		2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1990- e than Act Sectoral bac	-2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry J J	1990-2000 erence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F6 Potential  C ta tables Vaste			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O:	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ 1B [ 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2000 Sectora intial in some tal pART rmation r Processes I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	I (national) a I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Des. II: elated to re Solver C	2000 pproach PH (aual ort tables realculation at Use ]		2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Land-Use C Fore	-2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry J J	1990-2000  rence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F6  Potential  T ta tables  Waste  Waste  T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFCs:	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ 1B [ 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2000 Sectora intial	I (national) a I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Des. II: elated to re Solver C	2000 pproach PH (aual ort tables realculation at Use ]		2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Land-Use C Fore	-2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry J J	1990-2000  rence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F6  Potential  T ta tables  Waste  Waste  T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Comments: Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CCh <sub>2</sub> : C	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote [ 1B [ 1B [ 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 1B [ 1] 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	2000 Sectora intial	I (national) a I (national) a C Sectoral rep Sectoral rep Des. II: elated to re Solver C	2000  pproach  PI  aual  ort tables  calculation  at Use  ]		2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Land-Use C Fore	2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry Change and estry Change and estry Change and estry	1990-2000  rence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F6  Potential  T ta tables  Waste  Waste  T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CCh <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFCS: PFCS: SF <sub>6</sub> :	1990-20 Refer	ence appro	1990. oach Cs 2 Pote 1B Carlor 1B Carlor Carl	2000 Sectora atial atial atial atial atian	I (national) a I (national) a Sectoral rep bles. II: elated to re Solvei C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2000  pproach  PH  aual  ort tables  cealculatio  at Use  ]  auau  ]  ]		2000 erence mor 2 per cent intial i int	Land-Use C Fore	2000 If diffe Explanation SI tual Change and estry Change and estry 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1990-2000  erence is more than 2 per cent n provided  F  Potential  V  ta tables  Waste  Waste			

LUCF: Land-Use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2002 as originally submitted by the Party.

\* Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF<sub>6</sub> in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol. \* \* According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology.

Status report for																
Switzerland																
											Part 1	III:				
								Prov	vision	of CR	F table	es for y	years 1	report	ed	
			Base	1	1	1	1	Yea	rs		1				Information gaps	
				1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	related to reporting*	Comments
	1	Sectoral report - Table 1	***											~	reporting	
		Table 1A(a)												~		
		Table 1A(b)												~		Differences between the Reference Approach and Sectoral approach
Energy	ЪТ	Table 1A(c)												~		were explained in the documentation box, although they were less than 2 per cent.
En	SBDT	Table 1A(d)														No data were provided in this table. The documentation box was used for providing information.
		Table 1B1												~		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 1B2 Table 1C												~		
		Table IC												•		
a s		Sectoral reports - Table 2(I) Table 2(II)			<u> </u>					<u> </u>				~ ~	~	
Industrial processes	L	Table 2(I). A-G												~		
pud pro	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E												~ ~	<b>v</b>	
		Table 2(II).F												V	~	
, ut		Sectoral report Table 3												~		This table was extended for reporting NO <sub>x</sub> , CO and SO <sub>2</sub> .
Solvent use	SBDT	Table 3.A-D												~		No data were reported in this table, but notation key (NO) was used.
	1	Sectoral report - Table 4		l	r	1	1	r	l	1	1			~		
		Table 4.A												~		
		Table 4.B(a)												۲	~	
ure		Table 4.B(b) Table 4.C												~		Notation key (NO) was reported in the documentation box.
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.D												~		
Agı		Table 4.E														Notation key (NO) was reported in the documentation box. An additional table was included for reporting N incorporated with
		Table 4.F												~	V	crop residues, dry matter production, N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from crop residues, N fixed per kg crop, N fixed and N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from N fixation.
		Sectoral report - Table 5		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1			~	~	
Land-use change and forestry	-	Table 5.A* *												~		
and- inge	SBDT	Table 5.B* *														Notation key (NO) was reported in the documentation box.
fc Cha	S	Table 5.C* * Table 5.D* *														Notation key (IE) was reported in the documentation box. Notation key (NE) was reported in the documentation box.
te		Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A												< <	~	
Waste	SBDT	Table 6.B												~	v v	
	S	Table 6.C												~	V	
	Sur	nmary 1A			1			1		1				~		
	Sur	nmary 1B												~		
		mmary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions)												~		
bles		nmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors) ble 7 (Overview)												~ ~		
Summary and other tables	Tab	ole 8(a) (Recalculation - calculated data)	2	~	r	r	~	r	~	~	~	2	۲			It was specified that percentage differences between the latest and previous submission for total CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions with and without LUCF do not include HFCs, PFCs and SF <sub>6</sub> .
Summary		ole 8(b) (Recalculation - planatory information)												2		Explanation on the recalculation of HFC, PFC, SF <sub>6</sub> was given, but no values were reported in Tables 8(a). The complete time series for these gases were included in table 10s4.
		ble 9 (Completeness)												~		
		ble 10 (Trends) ble 11 (Checklist)												~ ~		
L		(/														(

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

\* This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited or lack of use of notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C, 0).
\* \* According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology.
\* \* This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.