				Si	tatus rep	ort for									
					Austr	ria									
	5. 6.1	12	0.2												
tion	Date of submission: Format:	12 April 2002 Electronic:													
General information	Base year or period*:	1990													
l info	CRF provided for years:	1990-2000													
nera	Gases covered:	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NOx	СО	NMVOCs	SO ₂				
ž			V	<u> </u>	V	4	v	V	V		✓				
al ry t	Description:									s and activity ublished at a		and changes to			
National Inventory Report											g				
In N	Language:	English													
PART I:															
		Provision of	f informat	tion for the			torv vear i	n the CRF:	2000						
		i rovision o	i illivi illa	ion for the	latest repo	n tea miven	iory year i	in the CKF.	2000						
		Ene	rgy	Industrial	Processes	Solve	nt Use	Agric	ulture	Land-Use C		Waste			
	Sectoral report tables:	1	7	2(I)	V	3 🗸		4 🗸			√	6 ☑			
				2(II)	V										
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	V	2(I).A-G		3.A-D	7	4.A		5.A**		6.A 🗸			
		1.A(b)	V	2(II).C,E				4.B(a) 🗸		5.B** 🗸		6.B 🗹			
şç		1.A(c)		2(II).F	V			4.B(b)		5.C** 🗸		6.C 🗹			
Tables		1.A(d)	<u> </u>					4.C 🗹		5.D** ✓					
-		1.B.1	<u> </u>					4.D							
		1.B.2	7					4.E 🗸		1					
	Summary tables (emission totals):	1.C Summary 1.A			V	Summary 1	R	4.F 🗸		Summary 2					
	Other tables:	Summary 3			<u> </u>	Table 7 (Ov			<u> </u>	· ·	Table 9 (Completeness)				
	outer motor.	Table 10 (Tr	rends)		<u> </u>	Table 11 (C			<u> </u>	Table 7 (Co.	preteness)				
	Comments:														
							_								
Trends	Totals provided for:	CC		C		N E		HFCs		PFCs		SF ₆ ✓			
Tro	Totals provided for years:	1990-			-2000	1990-2000		1990-		1990-		1990-2000			
		I			ı			Diff	oranga mar	a than	16 4;66	erence is more than			
CO2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion:	Refe	erence appro	oach	Sectora	l (national) approach		Difference more 2 per cent			2 per cent				
J			V			V		T		Explanation provi		provided 🗸			
			Н	FCs			PI	FCs .			F ₆				
Cs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species:		[V			[V							
Cs, PF	Reporting of Actual and/ or Potential estimates in the consumption of	Act	ual	Pote	ential	Actual		Potential		Act	tual	Potential			
臣	Halocarbons and SF ₆ :		7		7		V	[V		<u> </u>			
Notation keys	Used in:	Summary tal	bles 1A & 1	IB [√	Sectoral rep	ort tables		7	Sectoral bac	kground data	a tables			
Nots ke	Comments:														
		L													
					PART		,								
			Provi	sion of info	ormation r	elated to re	calculation	1							
	Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	√]		Comments:										
	Recalculation for years:	1990-1999				<u> </u>									
Recalculation	Recalculated sectors/gases:	Energy		Industrial Processes		Solvent Use		Agriculture		Land-Use Change and Forestry		Waste			
	CO ₂ :									Fore	· ·	V			
	CH ₄ :	·					1					2			
	N ₂ O:]	[· ·			
Recal	HFCs:														
_	PFCs:														
	SF ₆ :			2											
	Table 8(b) (Explanatory information):	7		[2				V				7			
	Full CRF for the recalculated base year:	7			Percentage	difference i	n aggregate	ear estimate	- with LUC		0.66% 0.58%				
										 without L 	LICH	11 5 X V/a			

LUCF: Land-Use Change and Forestry

Note:
This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2002 as originally submitted by the Party.

^{*} Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF_6 in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

^{**} According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. The development of alternative formats for these tables is awaiting the outcome of the ongoing work of the IPCC in developing good practice guidance for the land use, land-use change and forestry sector.

Status report for Austria

Part III:

Provision of CRF tables for years reported																
			Base year	1990	1991	1992	1993	Yea	rs 1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	Information gaps related to reporting*	Comments
		Sectoral report - Table 1	***	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Energy		Table 1A(a)		1	₹	*	<i>'</i>	7	7	7	7	<i>'</i>	<i>'</i>	7		
		Table 1A(b)		1	✓	√	\	\	√	\	\	\	✓	\		
	SBDT	Table 1A(c)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		Differences between the reference and sectoral approach were
				1	1	1	1	1	· /	1	1	· /	1	1		explained in the documentation box.
		Table 1A(d) Table 1B1		1	1	√	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	1		
		Table 1B2		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
		Table 1C		1	✓	\	>	>	\	>	>	>	✓	\		
	,															
e s		Sectoral reports - Table 2(I)		1	1	√	1	√	✓	√	√ √	1	√	√		
Industrial processes	SBDT	Table 2(I). A-G		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	7		
ndu oroc		Table 2(II).C, E		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
	S	Table 2(II).F		1	✓	\	>	>	\	>	>	>	✓	\		
	,															
ent		Sectoral report - Table 3		1	1	1	✓	1	1	1	1	✓	1	1		
Solvent	SBDT	Table 3.A-D		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
•	S			l .												
	1	Sectoral report - Table 4		1	1	1	1	1	1	√	√	1	1	1		
		Table 4.A		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
		Table 4.B(a)		1	1	✓	1	✓	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Agriculture		Table 4.B(b)		1	1	~	1	1	~	1	1	1	1	\		Numerical information has only been given for livestock population sizes. Nitrogen excretion per animal waste management systems is reported as NE.
	SBDI	Table 4.C		1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓		No data were reported in this table but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 4.D		√	>	>	>	٧.	>	>	٧.	>	>	>		No data were reported in this table, but notation keys (NE/IE) were used.
		Table 4.E		1	\	\	>	\	\	\	>	>	\	\		No data were reported in this table but notation key (NO) was used.
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	1	Sectoral report - Table 5		1	./	1	1	1	1	√	1	1	1	1		
anc		Table 5.A* *		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7	1	1		
Land-use change and forestry		Table 5.B* *		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		No data were reported in this table but notation keys (NO/IE) were used.
ise chan forestry	SBDT	Table 5.C* *		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		No data were reported in this table, but notation keys (NO/NE/IE)
n-pi	S	Table 3.C.		–	–	~			•				–	<u> </u>		were used.
Lar		Table 5.D* *		1	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	1	1		No data were reported in this table, but notation keys (NO/NE) were used.
	_	Contanal manager Transfer				,	,	,	,		,	,		,		
te	-	Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A		1	1	1	√	1	1	√	√	√	√	1		
Waste	SBDT	Table 6.B		1	₹	*	7	7	7	7	7	7	₹	7		
Ľ	SE	Table 6.C		1	1	1	√	1	1	1	1	√	1	1		
Summary and other tables		nmary 1A		1	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	\	√	√		
		nmary 1B nmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		1	1	1	√	√	1	1	√	1	1	1		
	_	nmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) nmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
		ble 7 (Overview)			Ť	_	Ť	Ť	_	Ť	Ť	Ť	Ť	1		
	Tab	ele 8(a) (Recalculation -		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		For the year 2000 only the columns related to the ''latest submission' have been filled in.
	Tab	ole 8(b) (Recalculation - olanatory information)												1		A general explanation for the entire time series has been included in the CRF for 2000.
		ole 9 (Completeness)		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		uit CKF 101 2000.
		ble 10 (Trends)		7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7		
		ole 11 (Checklist)		1	1	1	√	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
	_		-													

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^{*} This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited or lack of use of notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C, 0).

** According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/1999/7), these tables should be filled in only by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. The development of alternative formats for these tables is awaiting the outcome of the ongoing work of the IPCC in developing good practice guidance for the land use, land-use change and forestry sector.

* * * This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.