			Status	report for									
			SW	EDEN									
_	Date of receipt:	16 April 2004											
General information	Format:	CRF Provided	<b>V</b>	NIR Provided	V								
ıforn	Base year or period <sup>a</sup> :	1990	Emiss	sions without adjustr	nents for clim	ate variations or ele	ectricity to	rade		✓			
ral ir	CRF provided for years:	1990-2002											
Gene	Gases covered:	CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>4</sub>		FCs PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>			NMVOCs	$SO_2$				
		<b>V V</b>	<b>V</b>		✓	✓	1	✓	✓				
National Inventory Report			wever, some of th	NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines ever, some of the recommended annexes are not provided (e.g., tables 6.1 and 6.2 of the ance).									
PART I:  Provision of information for the latest reported inventory year in the CRF: 2002													
		Energy	Industrial Proce	esses Solver	nt Use	Agriculture	:	Land-use Cha Forestr		Waste			
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I) 🗸 2(II) 🗸	3	✓	4 🗸		5 [	₹	6 ☑			
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D	<b>V</b>	4.A ☑		5.A <sup>b</sup>		6.A 🗸			
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E ☑			4.B(a) ✓		5.Bb [		6.B 🗹			
s		1.A(c)	2(II).F			4.B(b) ✓		5.Cb [		6.C ☑			
Tables		1.A(d)				4.C ☑		5.D <sup>b</sup>	✓				
-		1.B.1 ☑				4.D ☑							
		1.B.2	Bunkers separatel	ly 🗸		4.E ☑ 4.F ☑							
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1.A	Bunkers separater  ✓	Summary 1	В	4.F V	Sı	ummary 2		✓			
	• , , ,	Summary 3		Table 7 (Ov		✓		able 9 (Comple	leteness)	✓			
		Table 10 (Trends)	✓	Table 11 (C	hecklist)	<b>V</b>							
	Comments:												
		CO <sub>2</sub>	$CH_4$	N-	.0	HFCs		PFCs		SF <sub>6</sub>			
	Totals provided for:	442	4			HFCs ☑		PFCs ☑		<b>✓</b>			
spus	Totals provided for.	✓	✓			✓				lacktriangle			
Trends	Totals provided for years:	1990-2002	1990-2002			1990-2002		1990-20	002	1990-2002			
	Totals provided for years:	1990-2002	1990-2002	1990-	2002		e more th	1990-20	l	1990-2002			
CO <sub>2</sub> Trends		1990-2002  Reference appro	1990-2002	1990- ectoral (national) a	2002	1990-2002 Differenc 2 pc	er cent	1990-20	If diffe	1990-2002 rence is more than 2 per cent			
	Totals provided for years:	1990-2002	1990-2002	1990-	2002	1990-2002 Differenc 2 pc		1990-20	l	1990-2002 rence is more than 2 per cent			
CO <sub>2</sub>	Totals provided for years:	1990-2002  Reference appro	1990-2002	1990- ectoral (national) a	2002	1990-2002  Differenc 2 po	er cent	1990-20	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided			
CO <sub>2</sub>	Totals provided for years:	Reference appro	1990-2002	1990-ectoral (national) a	pproach  PF	1990-2002  Difference 2 per [ [ Cs 2 ]	er cent	1990-200	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided			
CO <sub>2</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	Reference appro	1990-2002 Dach S FCs FOr Potential	ectoral (national) a	pproach PF	Difference 2 pc [	er cent	han Es	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided  Potential			
3Cs, CO <sub>2</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:	Reference appro	1990-2002	ectoral (national) a	pproach  PF	1990-2002  Difference 2 per [ [ Cs 2 ]	er cent	1990-200	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	$Totals \ provided \ for \ years:$ $Comparison \ of \ CO_2 \ from \ fuel \ combustion:$ $Disaggregation \ by \ species:$ $Reporting \ of \ Actual \ and/or \ Potential \ estimates$ $in \ the \ consumption \ of \ Halocarbons \ and \ SF_6:$	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  CCs  Potential	ectoral (national) a	pproach  PF	Difference 2 pc [	er cent	han Es	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided   Potential			
CO <sub>2</sub>	$Totals \ provided \ for \ years:$ $Comparison \ of \ CO_2 \ from \ fuel \ combustion:$ $Disaggregation \ by \ species:$ $Reporting \ of \ Actual \ and/or \ Potential \ estimates$ $in \ the \ consumption \ of \ Halocarbons \ and \ SF_6:$	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  CCs  Potential	ectoral (national) a	pproach  PF	Difference 2 pc [	er cent	1990-20	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided   Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	$Totals \ provided \ for \ years:$ $Comparison \ of \ CO_2 \ from \ fuel \ combustion:$ $Disaggregation \ by \ species:$ $Reporting \ of \ Actual \ and/or \ Potential \ estimates in \ the \ consumption \ of \ Halocarbons \ and \ SF_6.$ $Used \ in:$	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential  PA  PA	ectoral (national) a	pproach  PF  ual  v	Difference 2 pc [	er cent	1990-20	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided   Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential  Pation of information	sectoral (national) a	pproach  PF  ual  v	Difference 2 pc [	er cent	1990-20	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided   Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential  Pation of information	1990- ectoral (national) a  Act Sectoral rep	pproach  PF  ual  v	Difference 2 pc [	er cent	1990-20	If diffe	rence is more than 2 per cent provided   Potential			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential  Pation of information	ectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to recuments:	pproach  PF  □  ual  ✓  ort tables	Difference 2 px [  Cs  Potential  V	See See	Actual  Actual	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided   Potential			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, Reys SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:	Reference appro	1990-2002  Oach S  Potential  V  1.B V  PA  ion of informati	sectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to rec  sesses Solver	pproach  PF  uual  ort tables  alculation	Difference 2 pc [	See See	Actual  Actual  Land-use Charles	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided  Potential  tables			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, Reys SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:  Recalculated sectors/gases:	Reference appro	1990-2002 Dach S Potential Potential  Industrial Proces	ectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to recuments:	pproach  PF  uual  ort tables  alculation	Difference 2 pc [ [ Cs ] Potential	See See	Actual  Actual	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided  Potential  tables  Waste			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:  Recalculated sectors/gases:	Reference appro	1990-2002 Dach S Dach S Potential V  Industrial Proce	sectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to rec  sesses Solver	pproach  PF  valual  ort tables  alculation	Difference 2 pc [ [ Cs ]	See See	Actual  Actual  Land-use Cha Forestr	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided  Potential  tables  Waste			
HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:  Recalculated sectors/gases:  CO <sub>2</sub> :  CH <sub>4</sub> :  N <sub>2</sub> O:  HFCs:	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential  V  Industrial Proce  V  Industrial Proce	sectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to rec  sesses Solver	pproach  PF  valual  ort tables  alculation	Difference 2 pc [ [ Cs ] ]  Potential	See See	Actual  Actual  Land-use Cha Forestr	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided    Potential  tables    Waste			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:  Recalculated sectors/gases:  CO <sub>2</sub> :  CH <sub>4</sub> :  N <sub>2</sub> O:  HFCs:	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential    V	sectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to rec  sesses Solver	pproach  PF  valual  ort tables  alculation	Difference 2 pc [ [ Cs ] ]  Potential	See See	Actual  Actual  Land-use Cha Forestr	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided    Potential  tables    Waste			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:  Recalculated sectors/gases:  CO <sub>2</sub> :  CH <sub>4</sub> :  N <sub>2</sub> O:  HFCs:  PFCs:  SF <sub>6</sub> :	Reference appro	1990-2002  Dach S  Potential    V	sectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to rec  sesses Solver	pproach  PF  Qual  out tables  alculation	1990-2002  Difference 2 pc [  Cs  Potential   Agriculture	See See	Actual  Actual  Land-use Char Forestr   I	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided    Potential  tables    Waste			
Notation HFCs, PFCs, Reys SF <sub>6</sub>	Totals provided for years:  Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:  Disaggregation by species:  Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> :  Used in:  Comments:  Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):  Recalculation for years:  Recalculated sectors/gases:  CO <sub>2</sub> :  CH <sub>4</sub> :  N <sub>2</sub> O:  HFCs:	Reference approx  Reference approx  HF  Actual  Summary tables 1.A &  Provis  Provis  2  1990-2001  Energy  2  2  2  2  2  3  4  5  6  7  7  7  7  7  7  7  7  7  7  7  7	1990-2002 Dach S	sectoral (national) a  Act  Sectoral rep  RT II: ion related to rec  sesses Solver	pproach  PFF  Cuual  Ort tables  acalculation	1990-2002  Difference 2 px (100 cm m) 1990-2002  Ccs 2  Potential 2  Agriculture 2  V	Set	Actual  Actual  Actual  Land-use Char Forestr	If diffe explanation SF <sub>0</sub>	rence is more than 2 per cent provided  Potential  tables  Waste			

Abbreviations

CRF: common reporting format NIR: national inventory report

LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2004 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2004, where appropriate.

Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF<sub>6</sub> in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/2002/8), these tables should be completed by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. Revised tables of the CRF for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry following the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry, have been adopted by decision 13/CP.9 and will have to be used by Annex I Parties for inventory submissions due in 2005 (FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.1).

This table refers to the table 7 of the CRF as contained in decision 3/CP.5 (FCCC/CP/1999/7), given that Parties are using the CRF software application corresponding to these guidelines.

## Status report for SWEDEN

## Part III: Provision of CRF tables for years reported

		Provision of CRF tables for years reported																
			D.								Information gaps	_						
			Base	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	related to	Comments
			year <sup>a</sup>														reporting <sup>b</sup>	
		Sectoral report - Table 1		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>									
Energy		Table 1.A(a)		✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		
		Table 1.A(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.A(c)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	SBDT	Table 1.A(d)		~	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	~	<b>√</b>	~	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	~	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓		
	SI	Table 1.B.1		~	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	~	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.B.2		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>		For 1999 no data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NO,
																		NE, IE) are used.
		Table 1.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Sectoral reports - Table 2(I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
rial		Table 2(II)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	Potential emissions have been reported for only 1995-2002.
dust	Т	Table 2(I). A-G		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Industrial Processes	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓		
	S	Table 2(II).F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓		
Ħ		Sectoral report - Table 3		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Solvent Use	DT	Toble 2 A D		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		
S	SBDT	Table 3.A-D		·	·	٧	·	•	•	·	~	·	·	·	·	·		
		Sectoral report - Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.A		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		
		Table 4.B(a)		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>									
l ä		Table 4.B(b)		<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>_</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>								
E E	1										_	_				-		
Agriculture	SBI	Table 4.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
٧.		Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓		No data and more distributed by the Arthur box (NO) is used
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in these tables, but notation key (NO) is used.
ınç		Sectoral report - Table 5		✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>✓</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
eg S		m 11 5 40		<b>√</b>											/	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	For 1990 no data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is
use Chang Forestry		Table 5.Ac		ľ											Ľ	ľ	•	used.
e C	SBDT	Table 5.B <sup>c</sup>		✓											✓	✓	✓	No data are reported in these tables, but notation key (NO) and "0"
F.	SB			<b>✓</b>											<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	are used.
Land-use Change and Forestry		Table 5.C°		<b>∨</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	V ✓	<b>∨</b>	<b>√</b>	
I		Table 5.D <sup>c</sup>		,	, ,	,		•	•	,	,	,	,	,	,		· ·	
		Sectoral report - Table 6		<b>√</b>	<b>_</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>_</b>	<b>_</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>_</b>	<b>_</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	ı	
				<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	· /	·	<b>√</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	· /		
Waste	Т	Table 6.A		<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>	<b>∨</b>		
Wa	SBD	Table 6.B		_			-		٧		٧			_				
	9,	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (IE) is used.
	•				-					-			-		-			1
	Sur	nmary 1.A		<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>√</b>		
	-	nmary 1.B		√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<del> </del>	
<u>8</u>	-	nmary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions)		√	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	<del> </del>	
ta de	_	nmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)		·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	<i>'</i>	·	·	·	· /	<del>                                     </del>	
her	_	ole 7 (Overview) <sup>d</sup>		·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	·	<b>√</b>	
1 ot		ble 8(a) (Recalculation -		_			_					_		_		Ļ		
ä		calculated data)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	
ary		ble 8(b) (Recalculation -		<b>✓</b>	/	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>√</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	/			
E	_	planatory information)																
Summary and other tables	Tab	ole 9 (Completeness)		✓	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	✓	<b>√</b>	✓	<b>\</b>	<b>\</b>	✓	<b>\</b>	✓	✓		
	Tab	ole 10 (Trends)														✓	✓	
	Tab	ole 11 (Checklist)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	_			_	-	-	-			-	-		-			•		

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/2002/8), these tables should be completed by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. Revised tables of the CRF for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry following the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry, have been adopted by decision 13/CP.9 and will have to be used by Annex I Parties for inventory submissions due in 2005 (FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.1).

<sup>d</sup> This table refers to the table 7 of the CRF as contained in decision 3/CP.5 (FCCC/CP/1999/7), given that Parties are using the CRF software application corresponding to these guidelines.