				St	atus repo	ort for									
					CROAT										
					ckom										
ю	Date of receipt: 15 April 2004 (resubmission: 28 May 2004)														
General information	Format:	CRF	Provided	\checkmark	Ν	IR Provided									
nfor	Base year or period ^a :	1990			Emissions w	ithout adjust	ments for clin	nate variation	s or electricit	ty trade		\checkmark			
eral	CRF provided for years:	1990-2002													
Gen	Gases covered:	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NOx	CO	NMVOCs	SO ₂				
				V	V	V		V	V		V				
National Inventory Report	Description: The organization of chapters in the NIR in general follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8). However, chapter 2 "Trends in greenhouse gas emissions", chapter 10 "Recalculations and improvements" as well as some sub-chapters of the introduction and some of the recommended annexes are not provided. Language of NIR: English														
	Language of NIR:	English													
	P	rovision of in	formatio	on for the la	PART atest repo		ory year ii	n the CRF:	2002						
		Energy	/	Industrial	Processes	Solve	nt Use	Agric	ulture	Land-use C		W	aste		
	C							Agriculture		Fore					
	Sectoral report tables:			2(I) 2(II)						5 🗹			i 🗹		
	Sectoral background data tables:			2(I).A-G		3.A-D		4.A		5.A ^b			. 🗹		
		. (.,	☑ ☑	2(II).C,E				4.B(a)		5.B ^b					
les		. ,	☑ ☑	2(II).F		J		4.B(b) ☑ 4.C ☑			5.C ^b				
Tables										3.D	-	J			
			<u> </u>					4.E							
			<u> </u>	Bunkers sep	arately	V	1			1					
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1.A				Summary 1	.B		V	Summary 2			V		
	Other tables:	Summary 3			\checkmark	Table 7 (Ov	Table 7 (Overview) ^c			Table 9 (Con	npleteness)		V		
						Table 11 (Checklist)									
		Table 10 (Tren	ıds)		V	Table 11 (C	Checklist)								
	Comments:	Table 10 (Tren	ıds)			Table 11 (C	Checklist)								
s		Table 10 (Tren CO ₂	ıds)	CI			20	HF		PF	Cs	S	5F ₆		
rends	Comments: Totals provided for:		ıds)	CI	H ₄	N		HF	Cs	PF(5F ₆		
Trends		CO ₂			H ₄	N [20	1	Cs	-					
	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO₂ ☑ 1990-20	02	√ 1990-	H ₄] 2002	N [1990	20 √ -2002	1995-	Cs	√ 1990-	1991				
CO ₂ Trends	Totals provided for:	CO₂ ☑ 1990-20	02 nce appro	√ 1990-	H ₄] 2002	N [1990 l (national) a	20 √ -2002	1995-	Cs] -2002 ference more 2 per cent	✓ 1990- e than	1991 If diffe	erence is mo	pre than		
	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO₂ ☑ 1990-20	02	√ 1990-	H ₄] 2002	N [1990	20 √ -2002	1995-	Cs] -2002 ference more	✓ 1990- e than	1991	erence is mo			
c0 ²	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO₂ ☑ 1990-20	02 nce appro ✓ HF	vach Cs	H ₄] 2002	N [1990 l (national) a	20 2 -2002 approach	Vertical Control Cont	Cs] -2002 ference more 2 per cent	✓ 1990- e than	1991 If diffe	erence is mo 2 per cent a provided	ore than		
c0 ²	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO ₂ ✓ 1990-20 Refere	02 nce appro ✓ HF		H4 2002 Sectora	N [1990 l (national) a V	20 2 -2002 approach P]	C I 1995- Dif FCs	Cs .2002 ference mor 2 per cent	✓ 1990- e than	1991 If diffe Explanation SF	erence is mo 2 per cent a provided	ore than		
c0 ²	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	CO₂ ✓ 1990-20 Refere	02 nce appro ✓ HF	√ 1990- xach Cs ✓ Pote	H4 2002 Sectora	N [1990 l (national) a [2	20 2 -2002 hpproach Pl tual	FCs Pote	Cs -2002 ference mor 2 per cent I I I I I I I I I I I I I	e than	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	2 per cent 2 per cent a provided 7 ₆ Pote	ore than		
FCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species:	CO ₂ ✓ 1990-20 Refere	02 nce appro ✓ HF	√ 1990- xach Cs ✓ Pote	H4 2002 Sectora	N [1990 l (national) a [2	20 2 -2002 approach P]	FCs Pote	Cs .2002 ference mor 2 per cent	✓ 1990- e than	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	2 per cent 2 per cent a provided 7 ₆ Pote	ore than		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual	02 nce appro ✓ HF E I		H ₄ 2002 Sectora ntial	N [1990 l (national) a [2	20 2 -2002 approach Pl tual	FCs	Cs -2002 ference mor 2 per cent I I I I I I I I I I I I I	e than	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	erence is more than a provided	ore than		
n HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	CO2 ✓ 1990-20 Refere Actual	02 nce appro ✓ HF E I		H ₄ 2002 Sectora ntial	N [1990 l (national) a Z	20 2 -2002 approach Pl tual	FCs	Cs -2002 ference mor 2 per cent initial	e than Act	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	erence is more than a provided	ential		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual	02 V HI E 1 S 1.A &		H4 2002 Sectora ntial 2 PART	N 1990 1 (national) :: Actional Sectoral rep	20 2 -2002 approach pp tual	Cs	Cs -2002 ference mor 2 per cent initial	e than Act	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	erence is more than a provided	ore than		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation	02 V HI E 1 S 1.A &		H4 2002 Sectora ntial 2 PART	N I (national) a Sectoral rep II: Iated to ree	20 2 -2002 approach pp tual	Cs	Cs -2002 ference mor 2 per cent initial	e than Act	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	erence is more than a provided	ore than		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6: Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation	02 V HI E 1 S 1.A &		H4 2002 Sectora ntial 2 PART	N I (national) a Sectoral rep II: Iated to ree	20 2 -2002 approach pp tual	Cs	Cs -2002 ference mor 2 per cent initial	e than Act	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual	erence is more than a provided	ore than		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6; Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	CO2 CO2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation CO2 CO2 CO2 CO2 CO2 CO2 CO2 CO2	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs Pote 1.B ion of infor	H4 22002 Sectora ntial 2 2 PART 1 mation re Comments:	N 1990 1 (national) a C Ac Sectoral rep 1: lated to rec	20 2 -2002 approach tual culation	CS Pote	Cs	e than	1991 If diffe Explanation SF ual Ground data	arence is model 2 per cent provided 76 Pote tables	ential		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Control Control Contr	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs Cs Cs I.B Current Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs	H4 2002 Sectoral Initial I PART Tenation record Comments: Processes	N (national) a (national) a Ac Sectoral rep II: lated to re Solve	20 2 -2002 approach PI tual culation calculation nt Use	ECs Pote Agrice	CS -2002 -2002	Image: Sectoral back Image: Sectoral back Image: Sectoral back	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data Ground data Change and stry	tables	ential		
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs Cs Cs I.B Current for Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs	Ha 2002 Sectora Initial 2 Initial 2 Initial Comments: Processes I	N (national) a (national) a Ac Sectoral rep II: lated to re Solve	20 2 -2002 approach tual culation	ECs Pote Agrice	CS -2002 -2002	Control Contr	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data Ground data Change and stry	tables	ential		
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CO4: CO5: CO4: CO5: CO4:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs Cs Cs I.B Cs ion of infor	A4 2002 Sectora Intial 2 PART Comments: Processes 1 1	N (national) a (national) a Action Sectoral rep II: dated to rec Solve	20 2 -2002 approach PI tual culture poort tables calculation nt Use	ECs Pote Agrice	CS -2002 ference mor 2 per cent ntial □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Control Contr	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data	tables	ential ential aste y		
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs CS CS CS CS CS CS CS CS CS CS	A4 2002 Sectora Intial 2 PART : PART : Processes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	N (national) a (national) a Ac Sectoral rep II: lated to re Solve	20 2 -2002 approach PI tual culture poort tables calculation nt Use	ECs Pote Agrice	CS -2002 ference mor 2 per cent ntial □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Control Contr	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data	tables	ential		
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs CS CS CS CS Pote CS I.B C Very limited ion of infor Industrial	A4 2002 Sectora Intial 2 PART : PART : Processes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	N (national) a (national) a Action Sectoral rep II: dated to rec Solve	20 2 -2002 approach PI tual culture poort tables calculation nt Use	ECs Pote Agrice	CS -2002 ference mor 2 per cent ntial □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Control Contr	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data	tables	ential ential aste y		
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs: PFCs:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs Cs Cs I.B Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs	H4 2002 Sectora ntial 2 PART 1 PART 1 PART 1 Processes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	N (national) a (national) a Action Sectoral rep II: dated to rec Solve	20 2 -2002 approach PI tual culture poort tables calculation nt Use	ECs Pote Agrice	CS -2002 ference mor 2 per cent ntial □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Control Contr	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data	tables	ential ential aste		
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs: PFCs: SF ₆ :	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs CS CS CS CS Pote CS I.B C Very limited ion of infor Industrial	H4 2002 Sectora ntial 2 PART 1 mation re Processes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I (national) a Sectoral rep I sectoral rep I : Sectoral rep I : Solve	20 2 -2002 approach Pl vort tables calculation ant Use 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ECs Pote Agrice	Cs -2002 -2002	Control Contr	1991 If diffi Explanation SF ual Ground data	tables	ential ential state		
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs: PFCs:	CO2 2 1990-20 Refere Actual Actual Summary table Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation Use of notation	02 nce approved HH E 1 Provis	Cs Cs Cs I.B Cs I.B Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs	H4 2002 Sectora ntial 2 PART PART Processes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I (national) a I (nat	20 2 -2002 approach Pi rual culation calculation ant Use 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	C 1995 Image: Difference of the second	Cs -2002 -2002		1991 If diffe Explanation SE ual Ground data Change and stry	tables	ential ential aste 2		

Abbreviations

CRF: common reporting format

NIR: national inventory report

LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2004 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2004, where appropriate.

^a Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

^b According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCC/CP/2002/8), these tables should be completed by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. Revised tables of the CRF for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry following the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry, have been adopted by decision 13/CP.9 and will have to be used by Annex I Parties for inventory submissions due in 2005 (FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.1).

^c This table refers to the table 7 of the CRF as contained in decision 3/CP.5 (FCCC/CP/1999/7), given that Parties are using the CRF software application corresponding to these guidelines.

	_									_	5	Statu	s rep	ort fo	r				
													ROA						
										Provi	sion of		art I tables		ears re	porte	d		
										Year	s							Information gaps	
				Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	related to reporting ^b	Comments
AS		Sectoral report - Table 1			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	
		Table 1.A(a)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	For the years 1990-2000, no disaggregated data according to sub- sources have been provided for categories 1.A.1 and 1.A.2.
		Table 1.A(b)			✓	√	✓	√	√	✓	~	√	√	~	~	✓	✓	~	
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.A(c) Table 1.A(d)			✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	√ √	
-	S				• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	• √	 √	For 2000-2002 no data are reported in this table, but notation key
	Table 1.B.1				• ✓	• ✓	• •	• ✓	• ✓	* ~	• ✓		• ✓	• ✓	• ~	• ✓	• ✓	 √	(NO) is used.
		Table 1.B.2 Table 1.C			× ✓	v √	▼ ✓	v √	v √	v √	▼ ✓	\checkmark	▼ ✓	▼ ✓	× ✓	▼ ✓	v √	√	
		Table I.C																	
_		Sectoral reports -	Table 2(I)		√	√	\checkmark	~	~	√	✓	√	√	✓	√	✓	✓	√	
stria esses		1	Table 2(II)		√ √	√	~	~	~	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	√ √	
Industrial Processes	SBDT	Table 2(I). A-G Table 2(II).C, E			✓ ✓	✓ ✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	V	~	~	√ √	
1 1	SB	Table 2(II).C, E Table 2(II).F			† ·	F												•	
															·				L
Solvent Use		Sectoral report - 7	Table 3		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	An additional table has been included to provide data on NMVOC estimates.
Solv	SBDT	Table 3.A-D																	
		Sectoral report -	Table 4		\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	
		Table 4.A			~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	Only activity data and implied emission factors are reported.
		Table 4.B(a)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	Only activity data, emission factors and allocation to climate region
aru		Table 4.B(b)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	√	are reported.
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.C			✓	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	√	~	✓	1	No information is reported in this table, except for one sub-source which notation key (NO) is used.
v	•	Table 4.D			~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	~	
		Table 4.E			\checkmark	\checkmark	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	\checkmark		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
		Table 4.F			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	Except for 1990, no data are reported in this table, but notation ke (NO) is used.
-		Sectoral report -	Table 5		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
try e		Table 5.A ^c			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
Change and Forestry	SBDT	Table 5.B ^c			✓ ✓	✓	\checkmark	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	√	✓	✓	√			√ √	No data or notation keys are reported in these tables; "0" has bee
	S	Table 5.C ^c Table 5.D ^c			v √	\checkmark	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark			 ✓	used for filling in the table.
		Table 5.D																	
Waste		-	fable 6		\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	T	Table 6.A			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	~	✓	~	✓	~	
	SBDT	Table 6.B Table 6.C			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	\checkmark	~	~	\checkmark	
		radie 0.C		I	1	1	l	1	1	l	1		1	1		1			1
ary and other tables	Sun	nmary 1.A			\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	
	_	nmary 1.B			✓	√	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓		
	-	Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	 ✓ 	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	
	_	nmary 3 (Methods/Emiss ble 7 (Overview) ^d	sion factors)		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	l
	Tab Rec	ble 8(a) (Recalculation - calculated data)			~	v √	~	v √	v √	v √	~	~	v √	~	~	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	¥	Recalculations for 2001 are included in the CRF for 2002. In the 1 2000 CRFs only the column referring to the "Latest submission" h been filled in.
		Table 8(b) (Recalculation - Explanatory information)															\checkmark		
IUI		blanatory information) ble 9 (Completeness)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~		
		ble 10 (Trends)			~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	✓	\checkmark	Trend data covering the entire time series are provided in the 200 CRF (tables in the 1990-2001 CRFs contain data up to 2001 only).
	1				1		1	1	1	1	1								

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^a This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^a According to the UNFCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/2002/8), these tables should be completed by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. Revised tables of the CRF for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry following the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry, have been adopted by decision 13/CP.9 and will have to be used by Annex I Parties for inventory submissions due in 2005 (FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.1).