			Stati	us repor	t for										
			BI	ELGIU	M										
		I													
ıtion	Date of receipt:	15 April 2004 CRF Provided ☑ NIR Provided ☑													
General information	Base year or period ^a :	1990		✓ NIR Provided ✓ Emissions without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade											
al inf	CRF provided for years:	1990 - 2002									_				
ener	Gases covered:	CO ₂ CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs SF ₆		NOx CO		NMVOCs SO ₂						
9		V V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V					
	Description:	The organization of the NIR, in general, follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines (decision 18/CP.8).													
onal ntory oort		However, the Executiv	e Summary an												
National Inventory Report	Language of NIR:	guidance) are not pro	rided.												
	Language of NIK	English													
	n			PART I			d CDE	2002							
	P	rovision of informati	on for the late	est report	ed invento	ry year in	the CRF:	2002							
		Energy	Industrial Pro	ocesses	Solven	t Use	Agrico	ılture	Land-use Cl Fores		Waste				
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I)	V	3	✓	4	✓		V	6 ☑				
			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	V											
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)		V	3.A-D	✓	4.A		5.A ^b		6.A 🗸				
		1.A(b)					4.B(a)		5.Bb		6.B ☑				
es		1.A(c)	2(II).F				4.B(b)		5.C ^b ☑		6.C ✓				
Tables		1.A(d)					4.C 4.D		5.D ^b ✓						
		1.B.2 🔽					4.E								
		1.C 🗸	Bunkers separa	itely	✓		4.F								
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1.A		✓ S	Summary 1.1	В		✓	Summary 2		V				
	Other tables:	Summary 3			Γable 7 (Ove	erview) c	✓		Table 9 (Completeness)		✓				
		Table 10 (Trends)		✓ T	Γable 11 (Ch	necklist)		✓							
	Comments:														
ds	Totals provided for:	CO ₂	CH ₄		N_2	0	HFCs		PFCs		SF ₆				
Trends	-	✓	✓		V		V		✓		V				
	Totals provided for years:	1990 - 2002	1990 - 20	002	1990 -	2002	1990 -	2002	1990 -	2002	1990 - 2002				
2						l (national) approach		Difference more							
	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion:	Reference appr	oach	Sectoral ((national) ap	proach	Diff		than	If diffe	rence is more than				
CO2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion:	Reference appr	oach	Sectoral ((national) ap	pproach	Diff	2 per cent	than	If diffe	2 per cent				
	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion:	V		Sectoral (2 per cent	than	Explanation	2 per cent provided				
		H	FCs	Sectoral (PF	CS	2 per cent	than		2 per cent provided				
	Disaggregation by species:	H				PF		2 per cent	Actu	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided				
HFCs, PFCs, CC SF ₆		Н	FCs		V	PF [Cs Potes	2 per cent		Explanation SF	2 per cent provided				
	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	H Actual	FCs V Potentia		Actu	PF [Cs Poter	2 per cent	Acti	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ .	H Actual	FCs Potentia	al	Actu	PF [ual	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Acti	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	H Actual	FCs Potentia	al S	Actt	PF [ual	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actr	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A &	FCs Potentia	al S	Actt	PF [ual	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actr	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is	FCs Potentia Potentia I.B	s e tables.	Actu	PF [ual]	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actr	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is	FCs Potentia 1.B	s e tables.	Actu	PF [ual]	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actr	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is	Potentia Potentia Potentia In Imited in some	s e tables.	Actu	PF [ual]	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actr	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia In Imited in some	al S e tables. PART II ation rela	Actu	PF [ual]	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actr	Explanation SF	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia In Imited in some	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Actu	PF	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actural back	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided 6 Potential				
Notation HFCs, PFCs, keys SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Active Control of the	PF [[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actu	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided Potential V tables Waste				
Notation HFCs, PFCs, keys SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Industrial Processing Proc	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Acti	PF [[] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []	Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actural back	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided Potential U tables V				
Notation HFCs, PFCs, keys SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Industrial Processor Potentia Pote	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Active Control of the	PF [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Cs Poter	2 per cent	Actu	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided Potential V tables Waste				
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ :	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia Potentia Industrial Pro Potentia	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Actual Control of the	PF [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Cs Poter [Agriculation of the content of the cont	2 per cent	Actural back Sectoral back Land-use Cl Forese	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided Potential V tables Waste V				
Notation HFCs, PFCs, keys SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia Industrial Pro Industrial Pro V V V V	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Actual Control of the	PF [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Cs Poter [Agriculation of the content of the cont	2 per cent	Actural back Sectoral back Land-use Cl Forese	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided Potential V tables Waste V				
Notation HFCs, PFCs, keys SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: PFCs:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Potentia Industrial Pro Industrial Pro V V V V V V V	s e tables. PART II ation rela	Actor C	PF [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [Agrice C	2 per cent	Acti Sectoral back Land-use Cl Forese Z	Explanation SF ual ground data	2 per cent provided Potential V tables Waste V V				
Notation HFCs, PFCs, keys SF ₆	Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: PFCs:	H Actual Summary tables 1.A & Use of notation keys is Provi	Potentia Potentia Industrial Pro Industrial	al Se tables. PART II ation relation relations relation	Acti	PF [Agrice V	2 per cent	Actural back Sectoral back Land-use Cl Forese	Explanation SF Ital ground data hange and stry	2 per cent provided Potential V tables Waste V				

Abbreviations
CRF: common reporting format NIR: national inventory report

LUCF: Land-use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2004 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2004, where appropriate.

a Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

b According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCC/CP/2002/8), these tables should be completed by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. Revised tables of the CRF for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry following the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry, have been adopted by decision 13/CP.9 and will have to be used by Annex I Parties for inventory submissions due in 2005 (FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.1).

c This table refers to the table 7 of the CRF as contained in decision 3/CP.5 (FCCC/CP/1999/7), given that Parties are using the CRF software application corresponding to these guidelines.

Status report for BELGIUM

Part III: Provision of CRF tables for years reported

				Vocas										X 0					
			Base	Base Years							Information gaps related to								
			year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	reporting ^b		
		Sectoral report - Table 1		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Energy		Table 1.A(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.A(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.A(c)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	SBD	Table 1.A(d)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	S	Table 1.B.1		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.B.2		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 1.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
																	-		
Industrial Processes		Sectoral reports - Table 2(I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	<u> </u>	Table 2(II)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	E	Table 2(I). A-G		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
급조	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E					<u> </u>												
	-	Table 2(II).F																	
		G 1 1 7 7 11 2	ı				1 /			√	√	√	√	√	/	\	· /		
Solvent Use	_	Sectoral report - Table 3		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	· ·		·	·	V	·	·	✓		
Solv	BDJ	Table 3.A-D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	S			l	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
		Sectoral report - Table 4		√	_	/	✓	V	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓	/	✓		
	-	Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	·	✓	✓	✓			
		Table 4.B(a)		√	/	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			
a n		Table 4.B(b)		✓	√	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√		
Agriculture	Ţ			√	√	√	√	·	√	√	√	√	·	✓	√	√			
ing	SBDJ	Table 4.C																No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.	
7		Table 4.D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
		Table 4.E		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in these tables, but notation key (NO) is us	
		Table 4.F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		110 data are reported in these tables, but notation key (110) is used.	
9	Sectoral report - Table 5																		
E F	-	Sectoral report - Table 5 Table 5.Ac		√	· /	√	·	·	√	·	√	<i>\</i>	· /	√	<i>'</i>	<i>\</i>	· ·		
S ta	١.	Table 5.B ^c		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	<i>'</i>	√	√	√	√	,		
use Fo	SBDT	Table 5.C ^c		· ✓	·	·	·	·	·	·	· ✓	·	·	·	·	·		No data are reported in these tables, but notation keys (NO, NE) are	
Land-use Change and Forestry	S			_		_	1								_	-		used.	
Ľ		Table 5.D ^c		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
	_																		
Waste	<u> </u>	Sectoral report - Table 6		✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	√	√	√		
	Ŀ	Table 6.A			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	7 100	
	SBDT	Table 6.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For 1990 no data are reported in this table, but notation key (NE) is used.	
	S	Table 6.C		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	~	√	√	√	√	uscu	
					<u> </u>														
	Sur	mmary 1.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Sur	mmary 1.B		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
bles	Sur	mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Summary and other tables	Sur	mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
othe		ble 7 (Overview) ^d														✓	✓	Only information on completeness of estimates is provided.	
pu		ble 8(a) (Recalculation -		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	Recalculation tables for the years 1990-2001 are included in the CRF	
Ę.		calculated data) ble 8(b) (Recalculation -			-		1	-		-					-	-		for 2002.	
ma		planatory information)			l		1	l	l	l	l	l				✓	ĺ	Explanations covering the entire recalculated time series are provided in the CRF for 2002.	
i i		ble 9 (Completeness)														✓		provided in the CRE for Moon	
S	_	ble 10 (Trends)					\vdash									√	√		
	_	ble 11 (Checklist)		√	√	√	/	/		√	√	√	✓	✓	✓	·	1		
	1 dl	ole 11 (Checklist)					L .	,		Ļ		·	Ļ	•		· ·			

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^a This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

^c According to the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (FCCC/CP/2002/8), these tables should be completed by Parties that use the IPCC default methodology. Revised tables of the CRF for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry following the IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land-use Change and Forestry, have been adopted by decision 13/CP.9 and will have to be used by Annex I Parties for inventory submissions due in 2005 (FCCC/CP/2003/6/Add.1).

^d This table refers to the table 7 of the CRF as contained in decision 3/CP.5 (FCCC/CP/1999/7), given that Parties are using the CRF software application corresponding to these guidelines.