			Status rep	ort for							
			LATV								
			2.11								
ion	Date of receipt:	15 April 2005 (resubmi				1					
rmat	Format:	CRF Provided		NIR Provided		4		d-		V	
linfo	Base year or period a: CRF provided for years:	1990 1990-2003	Emissions	without adjustm	ents for clima	tie variations o	r electricity t	rade			
General information	Gases covered:	CO ₂ CH ₄	N ₂ O HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆	NOx	СО	NMVOCs	SO_2		
Ğ		V V	V V		V	V	✓	✓	V		
	Description:	The organization of the	NIR in general follo	we the struct	ure as outli	and in the re	vised UNF	CCC reporting	a anidelines	(decision 18/	CP 8)
nal ory rt	Description.	However, some chapter	rs have been re-struct	ared and som							
National Inventory Report		tables 6.1 and 6.2 of the	e IPCC good practice	guidance.							
T II	Language of NIR:	English									
			PART	' I:							
	P	rovision of information			ry year in	the CRF:	2003				
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solve	nt Use	Agric	ulture	Land use,		Was	te
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I) 🗸	3	V	4	V	5		6 [√
	•	_	2(II) 🗹								
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G ☑	3.A-D	V	4.A	V	5.A		6.A	7
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E ☑			4.B(a)	Ø	5.B		6.B	
		1.A(c)	2(II).F 🗸			4.B(b)		5.C		6.C	7
		1.A(d) 🗹				4.C	<u> </u>	5.D			
Tables		1.B.1				4.D	<u> </u>	5.E			
Tal		1.B.2 🔽				4.E	<u> </u>	5.F			
		1.C 🔽	_			4.F	✓	5 (I)	_		
		Bunkers separately	✓					5 (II)			
								5 (III)			
									5 (IV)		
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1 A		Summary 1.	R		7	Summary 2			V
	Other tables:	Summary 3		Table 7 (Ov			<u> </u>	Table 9 (Com	nleteness)		<u>v</u>
	other abres.	Table 10 (Trends)		Table 11 (C			V	Tuble > (com	preteriess)		
	Comments:	Latvia has not provide	d the LULUCF report	ing tables as	required by	decision 13/					
Trends	Totals provided for:	CO₂ ✓	CH ₄ ✓	N:		HF		PFC	Cs	SF,	
Tr	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003	1990-2003	1990-		1995-				1995-2	
		I				Die	c	41	16 4:66		
CO2	Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion:	Reference appro	oach Sector	ral (national) a	pproach	Diff	ference mor 2 per cent		11 0111	erence is more 2 per cent	e unan
U		V		V			T		Explanation	provided	V
		HF	Cs		PF	Cs			SF	6	
FCs, PFCs, SF,	Disaggregation by species:	E	2]					
'Cs, Pl SF,	Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	Actual	Potential	Ac	tual	Pote	ntial	Actu	ıal	Poten	tial
田	in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE:	V		I		[V]
											_
Notation keys	Used in:	Summary tables 1.A & 1	.в 🗆	Sectoral rep	ort tables	L	✓	Sectoral back	ground data	tables	✓
Nots	Comments:										
		ъ.	PART								
		Provis	ion of information r	elated to rec	alculation						
	Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	✓	Comment	s:							
	Recalculation for years:	1990-2002									
								Land use,	Land-use		
	Recalculated sectors/gases:	Energy	Industrial Processes	Solve	nt Use	Agric	ulture	Change and		Was	te
u.	CO ₂ :	V	V]]				
Recalculation	CH ₄ :	V									
calcu	N ₂ O:	✓]	✓					
Rec	HFCs:										
	PFCs:										
	SF ₆ :		V								
	Table 8(b) (Explanatory information):	V				7					
	Full CRF for the recalculated base year	V	Percen	age difference	in aggregat	e GHG base	year estimat	te- with LULU		-31.88	
								- without LU	LUCF	-12.15	70

Abbreviations CRF: common reporting format NIR: national inventory report

LULUCF: Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2005 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2005, where appropriate.

a Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₈ in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

b For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

⁶ No recalculations of the LULUCF sector are requested this year, as 2003 is the first inventory year when Parties are reporting following the IPCC good practice guidance for Land use, Land-use change and Forestry and the new reporting format for LULUCF (FCCC/SBSTA/2004/8).

Status report for LATVIA

Part III:

-										Pro	ovision	of CI	RF tab	les for	years	repoi	ted			
										Ye	ears								Information gaps	
				Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	related to reporting ^b	Comments
		Sectoral report -	Table 1	7	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	reporting	
		Table 1.A(a)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	
		Table 1.A(b)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
<u>Ş</u>		Table 1.A(c)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.A(d)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	S	Table 1.B.1			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NO, NE) are used.
		Table 1.B.2			1	√	√	√	√	1	√	1	√	1	√	√	√	√	✓	useu.
		Table 1.C			1	✓	1	√	✓	√	√	1	✓	√	√	√	√	✓		
es			Table 2(I)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
ses		Sectoral reports -	Table 2(II)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For 1990-1994 no data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NO, NA, NE) are used.
Industrial Processes	-	Table 2(I). A-G			1	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓	(NO, NA, NE) are used.
İ	T				1	1	1	✓	1	1	1	√	1	1	√	✓	√	√		N- d-4 010\ :- d-4- d-4- d-4- d-4- d-4- d-4- d-4- d
dust	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E			_	٧	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	_	•	_	•		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
Ĕ		Table 2(II).F			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For 1990-1994 no data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NO, NA) are used.
			m.11. A			$\overline{}$										$\overline{}$				
Solvent Use		Sectoral report -	Table 3		√	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	*	٧	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Solve	SBDT	Table 3.A-D			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	S																		<u> </u>	
		Sectoral report -	Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.A			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
		Table 4.B(a)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
ıre		Table 4.B(b)			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
E I	Ţ	Table 4.C			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.D			1	✓	1	√	√	1	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√		
~					·	· /	·	·	·	·	1	·	·	·	·	<u>'</u>	<u>√</u>	<u>√</u>		No determine the district to be the section from (NO) is used
		Table 4.E			_	•		•			*	*			•	•	•	•		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
		Table 4.F			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NO, NE, NA) are used.
	_	6 1 1 1	T 11 6										-							
	_	Sectoral report - Table 5.A	Table 5										-							
fry		Table 5.A																		
ore		Table 5.C																		
nd F		Table 5.D																		
ge a	L	Table 5.E																		
han	SBDJ	Table 5.F																		
o e	S	Table 5 (I)																		
n-p		Table 5 (II)																		
Lan		Table 5 (III)																		
ď		Table 5 (IV)																		
Š																				
and us.		Table 5 (V)																		
Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry		Table 5 (V)	n entire sector																	
Land us.			n entire sector																	Latvia has not provided the LULUCF reporting tables as required decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as contained in decision 3/CP.5.
Land us.		Table 5 (V)	n entire sector																	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
		Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report -	n entire sector Table 6		✓	√	✓	→	→	√	→	→	·	→	√	√	√	\		decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
)T	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste Land use	SBDT	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B			√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
	SBDT	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
		Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C			√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
	Sui	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B			√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √ √	√ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √	✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A	Table 6		✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur Sur	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B	Table 6		✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	\ \ \ \	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur Sur Sur Tal	Table 5 (V) General comments of Table 6.A. Table 6.B. Table 6.C. mmary 1.A. mmary 1.B. mmary 2 (CQ, equival mmary 3 (Methods/En ble 7 (Overview)f	Table 6 ent emissions) nission factors)		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	\ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √	√ √ √ √	√ √ √ √	√ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √	√ √ √ √	√ √ √ √	✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur Sur Sur Tal	Table 5 (V) General comments of Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 3 (Methods/En ble 7 (Overview) ^c ble 8(a) (Recalculation	Table 6 ent emissions) nission factors)		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	\frac{}{}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	\frac{}{}	\frac{}{}	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur Sur Sur Tal Tal Rec	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equival mmary 3 (Methods/En ble 7 (Overview) ⁶ bite 8(a) (Recalculation calculated data)	Table 6 ent emissions) mission factors)		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sq}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}} \sqit{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \sqititender\signt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}} \sqrt{\sqrt{\	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<i>Y Y Y Y</i>	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur Sur Sur Tal Tal Rec Tal	Table 5 (V) General comments of Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 3 (Methods/En ble 7 (Overview) ^c ble 8(a) (Recalculation	Table 6 ent emissions) mission factors)			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{}{}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		\frac{}{}	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<i>Y Y Y Y</i>	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sur Sur Sur Tal Tal Rec Tal Ex	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equival mmary 2 (CO ₂ equival mmary 3 (Methods/En ble 7 (Overview) ble 8(a) (Recalculation ble 8(b) (Recalculation ble 8(b) (Recalculation	Table 6 ent emissions) mission factors)		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sq}\sqrt{\sq}}\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}\sqrt{\sqrt{\sq}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<i>Y Y Y Y</i>	
	Sun Sun Sun Tal Tal Ren Tal Exp	Table 5 (V) General comments of Sectoral report - Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equival mmary 3 (Methods/En ble 7 (Overview) ^c ble 8(a) (Recalculation calculated data) ble 8(b) (Recalculation planatory information)	Table 6 ent emissions) mission factors)		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\(\sqrt{1} \)	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<i>Y Y Y Y Y Y Y Y</i>	decision 13/CP.9. Latvia has used the LUCF reporting tables as

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^a This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).

For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).