			Status repo	ort for											
			NEW ZEA												
				LAND											
ų	Date of receipt:	15 April 2005 (resubmi	ssion: 27 May 2005 an	d 2 June 2005)											
General information	Format:	CRF Provided	V N	IIR Provided 🔽	CRF Reporter softwar	e has been used									
nforn	Base year or period <sup>a</sup> :		Emissions w	ithout adjustments for clima	te variations or electricity	trade									
rali	CRF provided for years:	1990-2003													
Gene	Gases covered:	CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O HFCs	PFCs SF <sub>6</sub>	NOx CO	NMVOCs SO2									
U		V V													
7 6	Description:	The organization of the	NIR follows the struc	ure as outlined in the re	vised UNFCCC report	ing guidelines (decision 18	8/CP.8).								
National Inventory Report		5													
Na Inv R	Language of NIR:	English													
			PART	T.											
	Р	rovision of information		rted inventory year in	the CRF: 2003										
						Lond yes T t									
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste								
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I) 🔽	3 🗸	4 🗹	5 🗸	6 🗹								
	Sectoral report tables:	· 🗹	2(I) 2(II)	<u>ت</u> ر	+ 2	2 🗹	0 🖻								
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D 🗹	4.A 🗹	5.A 🗹	6.A 🗹								
	2500m outreground data ables.	1.A(b)	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B 🗹	6.B 🗹								
		1.A(c) 🗹	2(II).F 🗹		4.B(b)	5.C 🗹	6.C 🗹								
		1.A(d)		1	4.C 🗹	5.D 🗹									
		1.B.1 🗹			4.D 🗹	5.E 🗹									
Tables		1.B.2 🔽			4.E 🔽	5.F 🗹									
Ta		1.C 🗹			4.F 🔽	5 (I) 🗹									
		Bunkers separately			<u> </u>	5 (II) 🗹									
						5 (III) 🗹									
						5 (IV) 🗹									
						5 (V) 🗹									
	Summary tables (emission totals):	Summary 1.A		Summary 1.B	<b>v</b>	Summary 2	✓								
	Other tables:	Summary 3		Table 7 (Overview) <sup>b</sup>		Table 9 (Completeness)	<b>v</b>								
		Table 10 (Trends)		Table 11 (Checklist)											
	Comments: New Zealand uses the CRF Reporter software which is based on decision 18/CP.8. In accordance with this decision table 11 is not provided.														
Trends	Totals provided for:	CO <sub>2</sub>	CH <sub>4</sub>	N <sub>2</sub> O	HFCs	PFCs	SF <sub>6</sub>								
						<u> </u>									
T	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003		✓ 1990-2003		1990-2003									
Tr	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003	1990-2003	1990-2003	1992-2003	1990-2003	1990-2003								
	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:	1990-2003 Reference appro	1990-2003		1992-2003 Difference more	e than If diff	1990-2003 erence is more than								
CO <sub>2</sub> Tr			1990-2003	1990-2003	1992-2003	e than If diff	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent								
		Reference appro	1990-2003	1990-2003	1992-2003	e than If diff Explanation	1990-2003     erence is more than     2 per cent     provided								
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:	Reference appro	1990-2003 Nach Sectors Cs	1990-2003	1992-2003 Difference mov 2 per cen	re than If diff	1990-2003     erence is more than     2 per cent     provided								
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:	Reference appro	1990-2003 vach Sector Cs 2	1990-2003	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen	e than If diff Explanation SF	1990-2003       erence is more than       2 per cent       provided								
°Cs, CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion:	Reference appro HF Actual	1990-2003 Sector Cs Potential	1990-2003 Il (national) approach	1992-2003 Difference mon 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent 6 Potential								
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	Reference appro	1990-2003 vach Sector Cs 2	1990-2003	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen	e than If diff Explanation SF	1990-2003       erence is more than       2 per cent       provided								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and Sf <sub>6</sub> :	Reference appro HF C Actual	1990-2003 aach Sector Cs Potential ☑	l (national) approach	1992-2003 Difference mon 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in:	Reference appro HF Actual	1990-2003 aach Sector Cs Potential ☑	1990-2003 Il (national) approach	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003								
CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and Sf <sub>6</sub> :	Reference appro HF C Actual	1990-2003 aach Sector Cs Potential ☑	l (national) approach	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003  erence is more than 2 per cent provide  Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in:	Reference appro HF C Actual	1990-2003 aach Sector CS CS Potential  .B	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003  erence is more than 2 per cent provide  Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in:	Reference appro	1990-2003 Potential B PART	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential C								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in: Comments:	Reference appro	1990-2003 Oach Sectors Cs Potential ☑ .B ☑ PART ion of information re	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential C								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in:	Reference appro	1990-2003 Potential B PART	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003  erence is more than 2 per cent provide  Potential								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in: Comments:	Reference approvements of the second	1990-2003 Oach Sectors Cs Potential ☑ .B ☑ PART ion of information re	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential C								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	Reference appro Hif Actual Summary tables 1.A & I Provis 1990-2002	1990-2003 Sectors Sec	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual Sectoral report tables II: Lated to recalculation	1992-2003 Difference mon 2 per cen Cs Z Potential V V	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provide  Potential  tables								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	Reference approvements of the second	1990-2003 Oach Sectors Cs Potential ☑ .B ☑ PART ion of information re	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential C								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	Reference appro Hif Actual Summary tables 1.A & I Provis 1990-2002	1990-2003 Sectors Sec	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual Sectoral report tables II: Lated to recalculation	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Cs Cs C Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs C	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provide  Potential  tables								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>5</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	Reference approv HIF Actual Summary tables 1.A & I Provis 1990-2002 Energy	1990-2003 Sector Sector One Sect	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Z Potential Z	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI5; Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases; CO2:	Reference approv HIF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1 Provis 1990-2002 Energy ()	1990-2003 Sectors Cs Potential 2 .B PART ion of information re Comments Industrial Processes	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Cs Agriculture	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003								
HFCs, PFCs, SF <sub>6</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFCS:	Reference appro HIF Actual Summary tables 1.A & I Provis 1990-2002 Energy Energy	IPP0-2003 Sector Sector Cs	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Z Potential Z	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFFCs: PFCs:	Reference appro HIF Actual Summary tables 1.A & I Provis 1990-2002 Energy Energy	1990-2003         sectors         ach         Sectors         Cs         Potential         Potential	1990-2003 I (national) approach I PF C Actual I Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Z Potential Z	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFCS: PFCS: SF <sub>6</sub> :	Reference appro	1990-2003         sectors         ach         Sectors         ach         Sectors         ach         Sectors         ach         B         B         Comments         Industrial         Pocesses         Industrial         Industri         Industri<	I 1990-2003 I (national) approach I I (national) approach I Sectoral report tables II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: III: III: IIII: IIII: IIIIIIIIIII	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Cs C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent provided  Potential  tables  Waste  Waste								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFFCs: PFCs:	Reference appro C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	1990-2003         sectora         Sectora         CS         Potential         I         I         Potential         I         Potential         I         I         I         Industrial         Processes         I <tdi< td=""> <tdi< td=""></tdi<></tdi<>	I 1990-2003 I (national) approach I I (national) approach I Sectoral report tables II: Lated to recalculation II: Lated to recalc	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential   Agriculture   Agriculture    C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003  erence is more than 2 per cent 2 cont 2 c								
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO <sub>2</sub> keys SF <sub>6</sub>	Comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF <sub>6</sub> : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO <sub>2</sub> : CH <sub>4</sub> : N <sub>2</sub> O: HFCS: PFCS: SF <sub>6</sub> :	Reference appro	1990-2003         sectora         Sectora         CS         Potential         I         I         Potential         I         Potential         I         I         I         Industrial         Processes         I <tdi< td=""> <tdi< td=""></tdi<></tdi<>	I 1990-2003 I (national) approach I I (national) approach I Sectoral report tables II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: III: III: IIII: IIII: IIIIIIIIIII	1992-2003 Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential   Agriculture   Agriculture    C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	e than If diff Explanation SF Actual Sectoral background data	1990-2003 erence is more than 2 per cent 2 p								

Abbreviations

LULUCF: Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry

CRF: common reporting format NIR: national inventory report

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2005 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2005, where appropriate.

<sup>a</sup> Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PICS and SF<sub>6</sub> in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.
<sup>b</sup> For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).
<sup>c</sup> No recalculations of the LULUCF sector are requested this year, as 2003 is the first inventory year when Parties are reporting following the IPCC good practice guidance for Land use, Land-use change and Forestry and the new reporting format for LULUCF (FCCC/SBSTA/2004/8).

Status report for NEW ZEALAND																			
									Pr	ovisio	n of C		t III: oles foi	r years	s repoi	ted			
			Base year <sup>a</sup>	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994		ears 1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	Information gaps related to reporting <sup>b</sup>	Comments
Energy		Sectoral report - Table 1 Table 1.A(a) Table 1.A(b)		$\checkmark$	✓ ✓ ✓	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$		
	SBDT	Table 1.A(c) Table 1.A(d) Table 1.B.1 Table 1.B.2		× × × ×	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	× × × ×	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$		
		Table 1.C		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	√ √	√ √	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓ ✓		
Industrial Processes	SBDT	Table 2(I)         Table 2(I)           Table 2(I). A-G         Table 2(II).C, E           Table 2(II).F         Table 2(II).F		× × × × ×	▼ √ √ √ √	$\begin{array}{c c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	* * * * * *		
Solvent Use	SBDT	Sectoral report - Table 3 Table 3.A-D		✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		
lture		Sectoral report - Table 4 Table 4.A Table 4.B(a)		<ul> <li></li> <li><td>√ √ √</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td>✓ ✓ ✓</td><td></td><td></td></li></ul>	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓		
	SBDT	Table 4.B(b) Table 4.C Table 4.D Table 4.E		× × ×	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> </ul>		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
		Table 4.F     Sectoral report -   Table 5		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓	
Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry	r	Table 5.A Table 5.B Table 5.C Table 5.D Table 5.E		< < < < <		$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<ul> <li></li> &lt;</ul>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<ul> <li></li> &lt;</ul>	<ul> <li></li> &lt;</ul>	<ul> <li></li> &lt;</ul>	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<ul> <li></li> &lt;</ul>	×		For the years 1990-1996 no data are reported in these tables, but notation key (NE) is used.
	SBDT	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III)		× × ×	✓ ✓ ✓	× × ×	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	× × ×		No data are reported in these tables, but notation key (NE) is used.
		Table 5 (IV)         Table 5 (V)         General comments on entire sector		✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		
Waste	BDT	Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B		< < <	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓		
	SB	Table 6.C		1	~	~	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	~	~		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NE) is used.
Summary and other tables	Su Su	Immary 1.A Immary 1.B Immary 2 (CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions) Immary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)		< < < <	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$		
		able 7 (Overview) <sup>e</sup>		1	-												✓		New Zealand has provided table 7 (overview for key categories) fo 1990 and 2003 in the CRF Reporter.
	Re	able 8(a) (Recalculation - ecalculated data) able 8(b) (Recalculation -		*	۲ ۲	<b>*</b>	*	<b>v</b>	×	*	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	×	*	*	<b>v</b>	*		In the 2003 CRF the column referring to the "Latest submission" been filled in. The column referring to "Previous submission" has been filled in with notation keys.
	Ex	xplanatory information) able 9 (Completeness)		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		
		able 10 (Trends) able 11 (Checklist)		~	✓	~	~	~	~	1	1	~	~	~	1	~	1		New Zealand uses the CRF Reporter software which is based on decision 18/CP.8. In accordance with this decision table 11 is not

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

<sup>a</sup> This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

<sup>b</sup> This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C). <sup>c</sup> For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2)