Status report for														
			GERMA	NY										
		15 April 2005, NIR 27												
ion														
General information	Format:	CRF Provided		JIR Provided 🗹			-							
infor	Base year or period ^a :	1990	Emissions w	without adjustments for climate variations or electricity trade										
eral	CRF provided for years:	1990-2003												
Gen	Gases covered:	CO ₂ CH ₄	N ₂ O HFCs	PFCs SF ₆	NOx CO	NMVOCs SO ₂								
National Inventory Report	Description: Language of NIR:	decision 18/CP.8.	e chapters in the NIR fo	apters in the NIR follows the structure as outlined in the revised UNFCCC reporting guidelines adopted by										
~ 4	Language of Nik.	-inglish												
			PART	I:										
	Р	rovision of information	on for the latest repo	rted inventory year in	the CRF: 2003									
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste							
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I) 🔽	3 🔽	4 🗹	5 🔽	6 🗹							
			2(II) 🗹											
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D 🗹	4.A 🗹	5.A 🗹	6.A 🔽							
		1.A(b) 🗹	2(II).C,E		4.B(a)	5.B 🗹	6.B 🗹							
		1.A(c)	2(II).F 🔽		4.B(b)	5.C 🗹	6.C 🔽							
		1.A(d)			4.C 🗹	5.D 🗹								
3		1.B.1 🗹			4.D 🗹	5.E 🗹								
Tables		1.B.2 🗸			4.E 🔽	5.F 🗹								
		1.C 🔽	L		4.F 🔽	5 (I) 🗹								
		Bunkers separately				5 (II) 🗹								
						5 (III) 🗹								
						5 (IV) 🗹 5 (V) 🗹								
	Communication (amining totals)	C 1 A		C										
	Summary tables (emission totals): Other tables:		 	Summary 1.B Table 7 (Overview) ^b	 	Summary 2 Table 9 (Completeness)	 ☑							
	Other tables.	Table 10 (Trends)	 	Table 11 (Checklist)	 V	Table 9 (Completeness)	V							
	Comments:	Table 10 (Tielids)		Table II (Checklist)										
	connicity.													
		CO_2	CH_4	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF_6							
ds	Totals provided for:	-												
Trends	Totals provided for:													
Trends	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	☑ 1990-2003	V											
	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003			Difference more	re than If diff	erence is more than							
CO ₂ Trends		1990-2003 Reference appro		Il (national) approach	Difference mor 2 per cen	re than If diff	erence is more than 2 per cent							
	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003			Difference more	re than If diff	erence is more than 2 per cent							
CO ₂	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003 Reference appro		Il (national) approach	Difference mor 2 per cen	re than If diff	erence is more than 2 per cent provided							
CO ₂	Totals provided for years:	1990-2003 Reference appro	oach Sectora	l (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen	t Explanation	erence is more than 2 per cent provided							
CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	1990-2003 Reference appro	Pach Sectors	l (national) approach	Difference mon 2 per cen	t Explanation	erence is more than 2 per cent provided 6 Potential							
	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species:	1990-2003 Reference appro HH	Poach Sectors	l (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs	te than If diff Explanation	erence is more than 2 per cent provided							
HFCs, PFCs, SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimate in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE;	1990-2003 Reference appro HI Actual	Cs Potential	l (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	te than If diff Explanation SI Actual	erence is more than 2 per cent provided for Potential							
CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimate in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE;	1990-2003 Reference appro HI Actual	Cs Potential	l (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	èrence is more than 2 per cent provided ² 6 Potential ²							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2003 Reference appro HI Actual	CS Potential I.B	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	erence is more than 2 per cent provided for Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2003 Reference appro HI Actual Summary tables 1.A &	CS CS Potential I.B Z PART	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in:	1990-2003 Reference appro HI Actual Summary tables 1.A &	CS CS Potential I.B Z PART	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6; Used in: Comments:	1990-2003 Reference appro HI Actual Summary tables 1.A &	CS CS Potential I.B Z PART	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6: Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data):	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HI C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis C C	Cs Cs Potential I.B PART ion of information re	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	2 per cent provided Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6; Used in: Comments:	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HI C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis C C	Cs Cs Potential I.B PART ion of information re	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual	2 per cent provided Potential							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HI C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis 1990-2002 Energy	oach Sectors	I (national) approach I (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs Potential	Explanation Actual	erence is more than 2 per cent provided For the second							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF6: Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2:	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HI C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis 1990-2002 Energy C C C C C C C C C C C C C	oach Sectora Cs Potential Potential Potential PART ion of information re Comments Industrial Processes	II: lated to recalculation	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs 7 Potential 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual C Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided 6 Potential 2 tables Waste							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : CO ₄ : CH ₄ :	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HH C C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis 1990-2002 Energy C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	aach Sectora CS Potential Potential PART ion of information re Comments Industrial Processes Z	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Ccs 2 Potential 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual C Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential tables Waste							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion. Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₂ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O:	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HI C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis 1990-2002 Energy C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Dach Sectors	I (national) approach I (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	Difference moi 2 per cen Cs 7 Potential 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual C Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided 6 Potential tables Waste							
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ SF ₆	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion. Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₂ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CCO ₂ : CCH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCS:	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HH C C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis 1990-2002 Energy C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	aach Sectora CCs 2 Potential 2 1.B 2 PART ion of information re Comments Comments 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Ccs 2 Potential 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual C Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential tables Waste							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂ keys SF ₆ CO ₂	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion. Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₂ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFFCs: PFCs:	1990-2003 Reference appro Reference appro HH C C Actual Summary tables 1.A & Provis 1990-2002 Energy C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	aach Sectora CS 2 Potential I.B PART PART sion of information rec Comments Industrial Processes	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Ccs 2 Potential 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual C Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential tables Waste							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₁ keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion. Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SFg: Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs: PFCs: SFg:	1990-2003	aach Sectora CS CS C Potential C C PART ion of information re Comments Industrial Processes C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	I (national) approach I (national) approach P Actual C Sectoral report tables II: Iated to recalculation Solvent Use II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: II: II: II: II: II: II: II: II: II	Difference moi 2 per cen CS 2 Potential 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	re than If diff Explanation SI Actual C Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential () tables Waste () () () () () () () () () ()							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₁ keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion. Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₂ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculated sectors/gases: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFFCs: PFCs:	1990-2003	aach Sectora CCs 2 Potential 2 1.B 2 PART ion of information re Comments Comments 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I (national) approach	Difference moi 2 per cen Ccs 2 Potential 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	e than If dift Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential (2) (2) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4							
Notation HFCs, PFCs, CO ₁ keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO2 from fuel combustion. Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SFg: Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO2: CH4: N20: HFCs: PFCs: SFg:	1990-2003	aach Sectora CCs 2 Potential 2 1.B 2 PART ion of information re Comments Comments 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I (national) approach I (national) approach P Actual C Sectoral report tables II: Iated to recalculation Solvent Use II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: Iated to recalculation II: II: II: II: II: II: II: II: II: II	Difference moi 2 per cen Ccs 2 Potential 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	e than If dift Explanation SI Actual Sectoral background data	erence is more than 2 per cent provided Potential tables Waste C C C C C C C C C C C C C							

Abbreviations CRF: common reporting format NIR: national inventory report

LULUCF: Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2005 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2005, where appropriate.

^a Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol. ^b For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).

For the units of the ULUCF sector are requested this year, as 2005 is the first inventory year when Parties are reporting following the IPCC good practice guidance for Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry and the new reporting format for LULUCF (FCCC/SBSTA/2004/8).

											tus re EFRN	-						
GERMANY Part III: Provision of CRF tables for years reported																		
		Base year ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994		ears 1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	Information gaps related to reporting ^b	Comments
rgy	Sectoral report Table 1 Table 1.A(a) Table 1.A(b) Table 1.A(b) Table 1.A(c)		✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	< < < <	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	< < < <	< < < <		
Energy	Table 1.A(d) Table 1.B.1 Table 1.B.2 Table 1.C		✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	< < < <	 ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ 	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	< < < <	< < < <		
	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $																	
ocesses.	Sectoral reports - Table 2(II) Table 2(I). A-G		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		For 1990-1994, no data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NE, NO) are used.
Industrial Processes	Table 2(II).C, E		✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓		For 1990-1994 no data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NE, NO, NA) are used.
Indu	Table 2(II).F		~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	1	For 1990-1994 no data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NE, NO) are used. For 1993 and 1994, only sheet 2 of this table was filled in.
ent se	Sectoral report - Table 3		1	1	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓		
Solvent Use	Table 3.A-D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	~		No data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NE, NO) are used.
ture	Sectoral report - Table 4 Table 4.A Table 4.B(a) Table 4.B(b)		√ √ √	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	< < < < <	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	< < < < <	< < < < <		
Agriculture	Table 4.C Table 4.D		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	< <	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	< <	< <		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
	Table 4.E Table 4.F		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	√ √	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	√ √	< <	√		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
x	Sectoral report - Table 5 Table 5.A		√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	✓ ✓	√ √	√ √	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	
Forestr	Table 5.B Table 5.C Table 5.D		✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	\checkmark	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓		
nge and	Table 5.E		· ✓	· ✓	✓ ✓	✓	· ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		✓ ✓	✓	· ✓	✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		
use Cha	Table 5 (I)		1	1	1	1	✓	1	✓	1	✓	1	1	1	1	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
nd use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III)		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓	✓ ✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NE) is used. No data are reported in this table, but notation keys (NE, NO) are
Land us	Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V)		v v v	· ✓	, , ,	· ✓	· ✓	, √ √	v v v		~ ~	·	· ✓	✓	~ ~	` ~ ~	√ √	used.
	General comments on entire sector		ı ´	ı ´	I	. <u>·</u>		<u> </u>		ı. <u>`</u>	•		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
	Sectoral report - Table 6		✓	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Waste	Table 6.A Table 6.B		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	√ √	√ √	✓ ✓	√ √	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	 ✓ 	 ✓ ✓ 		
^	Table 6.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used.
	Summary 1.A		√	√	1	1	√	√	1	1	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	1	√	✓ ✓		
-	Summary 1.B Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		√ √	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	<u> </u>	
bles	Summary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)		~	~	~	✓	✓	~	~	~	✓	✓	~	✓	~	~	4	For LULUCF, Summary 3 has been provided for 2003 only.
Summary and other tables	Table 7 (Overview) ^c Table 8(a) (Recalculation -		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	<	✓ ✓	✓	Overview table 7 is reported, but table 7 (overview of key categories) in the LULUCF CRF is not provided.
y and 6	Recalculated data) Table 8(b) (Recalculation -		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ √	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		
nmar	Explanatory information)		v v	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		East 111 UCE table 0 is not movid - 1
	Table 9 (Completeness) Table 10 (Trends)		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	~	✓	 ✓ 	✓ ✓	√	~	~	 ✓ 	✓ ✓	✓	~	× ×	✓ ✓	For LULUCF table 9 is not provided. For LULUCF the trend tables have not been provided. Trend data are only available for the LUCF categories according to the reporting tables as contained in the CRF adopted by decision 3/CP.5.
	Table 11 (Checklist)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^a This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C). ^c For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).