			Status rep	ort for			
			FRAN				
			FRAN	CE			
uo	Date of receipt:	7 January 2005, NIR 12	2 May 2005 (resubmiss	ion: 12 May 2005)			
m ati	Format:	CRF Provided		IIR Provided 🗹			_
General information	Base year or period ^a :	1990	Emissions w	ithout adjustments for clima	te variations or electricity t	rade	V
ieral	CRF provided for years:		N ₂ O HFCs	DEC. SE	No. Co	NIMIVOCo SO	
Gen	Gases covered:	CO ₂ CH ₄	N ₂ O HFCs ☑ ☑	PFCs SF ₆ ✓	NOx CO	NMVOCs SO ₂ ☑ ☑	+
onal tory ort	Description:			vs the structure as outling nformation on the meth			es (decision 18/CP.8).
National Inventory Report	Language of NIR:		-, p ,				
	Estinguage of Title.						
	р	rovision of information	PART	I: rted inventory year in	the CDF: 2003		
		Tovision of informatio	on for the latest repo	rted inventory year in	the CKF. 2003		
		Energy	Industrial Processes	Solvent Use	Agriculture	Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry	Waste
	Sectoral report tables:	1 🗸	2(I)	3 🗸	4 🗸	5 🗆	6 🗸
	Sectoral background data tables:	1.A(a)	2(I).A-G	3.A-D 🗹	4.A 🗹	5.A 🗆	6.A 🗹
		1.A(b)	2(II).C,E 🗸		4.B(a) 🗸	5.B 🗆	6.B 🗹
		1.A(c) 🗹	2(II).F 🗸		4.B(b) ✓	5.C 🗆	6.C 🗹
		1.A(d) 🗹			4.C 🗹	5.D 🗆	_
Tables		1.B.1 ☑ 1.B.2 ☑			4.D ☑ 4.E ☑	5.E 5.F	_
Ta		1.B.2 ☑ 1.C ☑			4.E ✓ 4.F □	5 (I) 🗆	-
		Bunkers separately	☑			5 (II) 🗆	
						5 (III) 🗆	
						5 (IV)	
						5 (V)	
	Summary tables (emission totals):		 ✓	Summary 1.B	<u> </u>	Summary 2	✓ _
	Other tables:	Summary 3	✓ ✓	Table 7 (Overview) ^b		Table 9 (Completeness)	
		Table 10 (Trends)		Table 11 (Checklist)	✓		
	Comments:	France has not provide	d the LULUCF report	ing tables as required by	decision 13/CP.9.		
	Comments:	France has not provide	d the LULUCF report	ing tables as required by	decision 13/CP.9.	T	
spu	Comments: Totals provided for:	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	SF ₆
Trends	Totals provided for:	CO₂ ✓	CH ₄ ✓	N ₂ O ✓	HFCs ☑	V	∨
Trends		CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	1990-2003	1990-2003
	Totals provided for:	CO₂ ✓	CH ₄ ✓ 1990-2003	N ₂ O ✓	HFCs ☑	1990-2003	∨
CO ₂ Trends	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO ₂ 2 1990-2003	CH ₄ ✓ 1990-2003	N₂O ☑ 1990-2003	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor	1990-2003 e than If di	1990-2003
°CO	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO ₂ 2 1990-2003 Reference appro	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent	1990-2003 e than If di Explanation	1990-2003 fference is more than 2 per cent on provided
°CO	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years:	CO ₂ 1990-2003 Reference appro	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 ach Sector	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent	1990-2003 e than If di Explanation	1990-2003 fference is more than 2 per cent
°CO	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates	CO ₂ 1990-2003 Reference appro	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 ach Sector	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species:	CO ₂ 1990-2003 Reference appro	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 ach Sector	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Rerence is more than 2 per cent on provided FF 6
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ :	CO ₂ 1990-2003 Reference appro	CH ₄ 1990-2003 Potential	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 al (national) approach PF Actual	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs 2 Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ :	CO ₂ 1990-2003 Reference appro	CH ₄ 1990-2003 Potential	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
, (O)	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE ₆ : Used in:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual	CH ₄ 1990-2003 pach Sector CS Potential	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 al (national) approach PF Actual	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE ₆ : Used in:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 aach Sector CS Potential B U Limited. In some table	N ₂ O 1990-2003 Il (national) approach Actual Sectoral report tables """ have been used ins	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE ₆ : Used in:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 Potential Billimited. In some table	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE ₆ : Used in:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 Potential Billimited. In some table	N ₂ O 1990-2003 Il (national) approach Actual Sectoral report tables """ have been used ins	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE ₆ : Used in:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1	CH ₄ 2 1990-2003 Potential Billimited. In some table	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is	CH4 1990-2003 Dach Sector CS Potential Dimited. In some table PART ion of information recommendation recommends.	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio	1990-2003 Ofference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE; Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis	CH4 1990-2003 Dach Sector CS Potential Dimited. In some table PART ion of information recommendation recommends.	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference more 2 per cent CS Potential call of data or notation	Explanation Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 fference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential a tables
Nontion HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual V Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential Bilimited. In some tables Comments Comments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential tead of data or notation Agriculture	1990-2003 e than If di Explanatio Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 Ifference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential a tables
Nontion HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential Bilimited. In some table PART ion of information recomments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 1990-2003 It (national) approach Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs 2 Potential Ctead of data or notation Agriculture	Explanation Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 fference is more than 2 per cent in provided Potential a tables Waste
Nontion HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ :	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual V Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy I notation approved the service of the service	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential Bilimited. In some tables Comments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 al (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent 2 per cent Potential Cs Potential Agriculture	Explanation Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 Ifference is more than 2 per cent in provided Potential a tables Waste V
HFCs, PFCs, CO ₂	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HF Actual Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential PART ion of information recomments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	HFCs 2 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs 2 Potential Ctead of data or notation Agriculture	Explanation Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 fference is more than 2 per cent in provided Potential a tables Waste
Nontion HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SF ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ :	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual V Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy I notation approved the service of the service	CH4 1990-2003 Potential PART ion of information recomments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 al (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent 2 per cent Potential Cs Potential Agriculture	Explanation Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 Ifference is more than 2 per cent in provided Potential a tables Waste V
Nontion HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SI ₆ : Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual V Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy I notation approved the service of the service	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential PART ion of information recomments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 2 1990-2003 al (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation Solvent Use	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent 2 per cent Potential Cs Potential Agriculture	Explanation Actual Sectoral background dat keys.	1990-2003 Ifference is more than 2 per cent in provided Potential a tables Waste V
Nontion HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for: Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE; Used in: Comments: Table 8(a) (Recalculated data): Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: PFCs:	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual V Summary tables 1.A & 1 Use of notation keys is Provis 1990-2002 Energy I notation approved the service of the service	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential Bilimited. In some table Comments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 1990-2003 It (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential cad of data or notation Agriculture 2	In 1990-2003 The than If display the second of the second	1990-2003 Ifference is more than 2 per cent in provided Potential a tables Waste V
Notation HFGs, PFCs, CO, keys	Totals provided for years: Comparison of CO ₂ from fuel combustion: Disaggregation by species: Reporting of Actual and/or Potential estimates in the consumption of Halocarbons and SE ₆ : Used in: Comments: Recalculation for years: Recalculated sectors/gases: CO ₂ : CH ₄ : N ₂ O: HFCs: PFCs: SF ₆ :	CO2 1990-2003 Reference appro HIF Actual Summary tables I.A & I Use of notation keys is Provis Proposition of the property	CH4 1990-2003 Potential Potential Bilimited. In some table Comments Industrial Processes	N ₂ O 1990-2003 Il (national) approach PF Actual Sectoral report tables "0" have been used ins II: lated to recalculation	HFCs 1990-2003 Difference mor 2 per cent Cs Potential cad of data or notation Agriculture 2	In 1990-2003 The than If display the second of the second	1990-2003 Ference is more than 2 per cent on provided Potential a tables Waste V

Abbreviations CRF: common reporting format

NIR: national inventory report

LULUCF: Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry

Note: This status report reflects the content of the inventory submission of the year 2005 as originally submitted by the Party, and any resubmission received by 27 May 2005, where appropriate.

^a Base year refers to the year 1990, except for those Annex I Parties undergoing the process of transition to a market economy that are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4.6 of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4. Information on the base year in the status reports does not reflect or prejudge any decision that may be taken by Parties in relation to the use of 1995 as base year for HFCs, PFCs and SF₈ in accordance with Article 3.8 of the Kyoto Protocol.

^b For Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP 8 (FCCC/CP/20027/1Add.2).

^c No recalculations of the LULUCF sector are requested this year, as 2003 is the first inventory year when Parties are reporting following the IPCC good practice guidance for Land use, Land-use change and Forestry and the new reporting format for LULUCF (FCCC/SBSTA/2004/8).

Status report for FRANCE

Part III:

									Pro	vision	of CI	RF tab	les for	r years	repo	rted			
												Information gaps							
			Base vear ^a	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	related to	Comments
	1	Sectoral report - Table 1	year	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	reporting ^b ✓	
	-	Table 1.A(a)		7	7	<i>'</i>	·	<i>'</i>	·	7	7	7	·	·	,	·	7	· ·	
		7.000 7.1(u)					•										·		E 2002 d 6 11 4 11 11 11
		Table 1.A(b)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		For 2003, the reference approach is not provided (excluding biomass no data are reported and notation keys (NA, IE) are used).
Energy	SBDT	Table 1.A(c)		✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	For 2003 the reference approach is not provided, only the sectoral approach data are shown in the table.
	S	Table 1.A(d)		✓	1	✓	✓	1	1	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	✓	1		For the year 2003 no data are reported in this table, but notation key (NA) is used.
		Table 1.B.1		√	√	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	✓	<u>√</u>	√	√	√	
		Table 1.B.2	<u> </u>	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	\	√	<u>√</u>	√	√	√	
		Table 1.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
	1	Table 2(I)		1	7	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	V	√	√	~	√	
ial		Sectoral reports - Table 2(II)		7	√	<i>\</i>	·	√	1	√	√	√	1	7	<u>√</u>	√	√	· /	
Industrial Processes	-	Table 2(I). A-G		√	1	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	
Ind Pro	SBDT	Table 2(II).C, E		✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	
	S	Table 2(II).F		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	1	✓	✓	
ent	Ļ	Sectoral report - Table 3		✓	✓_	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Solvent Use	SBDT	Table 3.A-D		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
									!	!	!	!							
		Sectoral report - Table 4		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 4.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 4.B(a)		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓_	✓	✓		
5		Table 4.B(b)		√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	√	, ^	V	<u>√</u>	✓	√		
E E	F	Table 4.C		✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	√	✓	<u>√</u>	✓	✓	✓	
Agriculture	SBDT	Table 4.D	<u> </u>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
•		Table 4.E		1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓							✓	✓		No data are reported in this table, but notation key (NO) is used. Fo 1996-2001 the documentation box is used for providing information.
		Table 4.F																	The documentation box is used for providing information.
_																			
		Sectoral report - Table 5																	
È		Table 5.A																	
rest		Table 5.B Table 5.C	<u> </u>																
d Fc		Table 5.D																	
e an		Table 5.E																	
ang	SBDT	Table 5.F																	
ರ																			
×	S																		
Ĭ	S	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II)																	
an-pue	S	Table 5 (I)																	
se, Land-us	S	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II)																	
nd use, Land-us	S	Table 5 (II) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III)																	
Land use, Land-use Change and Forestry	IS	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV)																	France has not provided the LULUCF reporting tables as required bedecision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as contained in decision 3/CP.5.
Land use, Land-us	IS	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector		✓		→			✓	✓	✓	✓			→	✓			decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
		Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector		✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓	✓ ✓		decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste Land use, Land-us		Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6											√			✓ ✓ ✓		✓	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
	SBDT	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
	SBDT	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C		√ √	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √	√ √	√ ✓	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√ √	√	√ √ √	<i>Y Y</i>	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
	SBDT	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C		√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ √ √	✓ ✓	√ √ √	√ √ √	√ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	<i>√</i>	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B		√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓✓✓	✓ ✓ ✓	\ \ \ \	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	√ √ √	\ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)		✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √	✓✓✓	\ \ \ \ \	√ √ √ √	✓✓✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	<i>Y Y Y</i>	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun Sun Sun	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors)		√ √ √ √ √	✓✓✓✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	\frac{}{}	√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun Sun Sun Tal	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors) ble 7 (Overviewf)		\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		* * * * * * * * *	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	√ √ √ √ √	\frac{}{}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	7 7 7 7	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun Sun Sun Tal Tal Ree	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors) ble 7 (Overviewf) ble 8(a) (Recalculation - calculated data)		√ √ √ √ √	✓✓✓✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √ √	√ √ √ √ √	\frac{}{}	√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun Sun Tal Tal Ree Tal	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors) ble 7 (Overview) ^c ble 8(a) (Recalculation -		\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		* * * * * * * * *	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	√ √ √ √ √	\frac{}{}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		√ √ √ √ √	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	7 7 7 7	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
	Sun Sun Sun Tal Ree Tal Ex	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors) ble 7 (Overviewf) ble 8(a) (Recalculation - calculated data) ble 8(b) (Recalculation -		\(\)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\[\frac{1}{4} \] \[\frac{1}{4} \]	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	7 7 7 7	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as
Waste	Sun Sun Sun Tal Tal Ree Tal Ex Tal	Table 5 (I) Table 5 (II) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (III) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (IV) Table 5 (V) General comments on entire sector Sectoral report - Table 6 Table 6.A Table 6.B Table 6.B Table 6.C mmary 1.A mmary 1.B mmary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions) mmary 3 (Methods/Emission factors) ble 7 (Overviewf) ble 8(a) (Recalculation - calculated data) ble 8(b) (Recalculation - planatory information)		\(\)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\frac{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sqrt{\chi}}}{\sqrt{\chi}}	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	\[\frac{1}{4} \] \[\frac{1}{4} \]	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	✓ ✓ ✓ ✓	7 7 7 7	decision 13/CP.9. France has used the LUCF reporting tables as

SBDT: Sectoral background data tables

^a This column is only applicable for those Parties with economies in transition that use a base year other than 1990 according to decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

b This column indicates that reporting gaps (blank cells) have been identified in a given table of the CRF. This was due to limited use, or lack of, notation keys (NO, NE, NA, IE, C).
cFor Parties that reported using the CRF Reporter software, table 7 covers key sources, as required in decision 18/CP.8 (FCCC/CP/2002/7/Add.2).