

Item 3

- Should the features and information on Nationally Determined Contributions be tailored to the type of NDCs or should they be tailored on some other basis? If so what? What lessons can be drawn in this respect from the INDCs already submitted?
- Can the existing guidance on accounting under the Convention be taken into account, and if so how? How detailed or general should the guidance be and what should it address?

Item 4

- What are adaptation communications seeking to achieve, especially in light of linkages with other issues, for e.g. with the global stocktake? What does that mean for the scope of the guidance needed?
- How can a balance be achieved between the need for guidance for adaptation communications with the need for flexibility?

Item 5

- What are some of the experiences and lessons learnt from existing MRV arrangements, and how could they provide a basis for an enhanced transparency framework on action and support?
- What constitutes flexibility for developing countries and how could it be applied through modalities, procedures and guidelines in a way that supports full and effective participation in the transparency framework?

Item 6

- What **input** is needed for conducting the global stocktake, by when and from whom? What mechanism/channels could be used to feed this input into the global stocktake?
- **How** will the global stocktake be conducted, keeping in mind the need for simplicity and relevance, ownership and inclusiveness?
- What is the relationship, if any, between the global stocktake and the **facilitative dialogue** to be conducted in 2018?

Item 7:

- What key features of a mechanism to facilitate implementation and promote compliance would be appropriate in light of the provisions of the Paris Agreement?
- What would trigger the work of the committee in the exercise of its functions consistent with its dual role to facilitate implementation and promote compliance? What actions could the committee take?