

Working Document

Workstream 2

Version of 31 August 2015 at 23:55

Main observations:

- Parties shared their general views on the text. Overall, Parties held that it provided a promising basis for a draft decision but was much too concise in its present form and needed to be further elaborated. All Parties offered views on the specific areas of the text that needed to be elaborated.
 - Some Parties considered that the issue of implementation should be further explored, noting that the text could refer directly to existing commitments and offer clarity about how they would be achieved, and suggested concrete proposals. On this point, other Parties cautioned about potentially replicating work that was being conducted under other agenda items in the process.
 - Some Parties held that greater specificity was needed in areas such as the existing technical examination process, the nature of high-level engagement, the role of multilateral cooperation and non-State actors, and the establishment of a new technical examination process on adaptation, and suggested concrete proposals.
 - Parties made frequent mention of the importance of Workstream 2. They were generally satisfied with the number of meetings allocated to the subject at this session, and welcomed the announcement by the Co-Facilitators that additional informal consultations on specific topics would be convened so as to allow for greater exploration of specific topics that would then be brought back to the facilitated meeting.
-