

# Initial clarifying questions for section E of the Co-Chairs tool

(these questions are initial and may change  
depending on inputs from Parties)

Facilitated Group on Adaptation  
31 August 2015 11:30-13:00

## Initial clarifying questions for section E

### Overarching question

1. What determines what goes in the Agreement, what goes in Decision, what goes in the work programme?

## Initial clarifying questions for section E

### Global goal/long-term vision on adaptation

2. What is the relationship between the global goal and a long-term vision on adaptation?

*(Part I para 8 opt 1 and opt 2)*

a) Are the global goal/vision and the collective efforts on adaptation options to each other?

*(Part I para 8 opt 2-4)*

b) What do Parties see as the most important broad areas for cooperation on adaptation?

*(Part I para 8 opt 2-4)*

# Initial clarifying questions for section E

## Individual adaptation efforts

3. What individual adaptation efforts should Parties undertake under the Paris Agreement and how would these build on/strengthen existing commitments under the Convention? (*Part I para 9-10*)
  - a) Both 9 opt 2 and opt 5 refer to “commitments” – is what is expressed in opt 2 already contained in opt 5?
  - b) Both 9 opt 1 and 4 refer to “obligations” – are these different from “commitments” in 9 opt 5?
  - c) 9 opt 3 refers to “actions” – could this be merged into 9 opt 5?

## Initial clarifying questions for section E

### Communication of individual adaptation efforts

4. What would be the purpose of communicating information on Parties' individual adaptation efforts? (*Part I para 11*)
  - a) What kind of information should/could be communicated on individual efforts?
  - b) How often should information on individual efforts be communicated?
  - c) What is going to happen to the communicated information on individual efforts?

# Initial clarifying questions for section E

## Institutions

5. How should the 2015 agreement strengthen institutions on adaptation and enhance the coherence of the institutional framework?

*(Part II para 21-24, part III para 37-40)*

- a) Which proposed functions are currently under the scope/mandate of existing institutions?
- b) Which proposed functions are completely new functions?
- c) The functions described for the Subsidiary Body for Adaptation and the Adaptation Mechanism are similar. Could the paragraphs outlining these functions be merged? Some of the functions of these bodies are similar to the Adaptation Committee. Is there one institutions that would be best placed to carry out such functions and why? *(Part III para 40.1 and 40.5)*
- d) The adaptation registry and the int. clearing house and registry both focus on recording or registering information. Could these be merged? *(Part III para 40.2 and 40.3)*

## Initial clarifying questions for section E

### Recognition of L&D in the Paris package

6. What aspects, if any, of L&D provisions need to be in the legally binding part of the Paris package?

*(Part III Opt II 'Article X: Loss and damage', Part II Opt II 'No reference to L&D' )*

# Initial clarifying questions for section E

## Linkage with the Warsaw International Mechanism

7. How does “an international mechanism to address loss and damage” and “the loss and damage mechanism” relate to the WIM established at COP 19?

*(Part II Opt II para 41 & Opt III para 42)*

- a) How do ‘climate change displacement coordination facility’ and ‘clearing house for risk transfer’ relate to the COP and WIM? *(Part II Opt I para 26, Part II Opt I para 27)*
- b) How does the ‘financial technical panel’ proposed to be established under the WIM relate to the Excom?

*(Part II Opt I para 25)*