

**AD HOC WORKING GROUP ON LONG-TERM COOPERATIVE ACTION  
UNDER THE CONVENTION**

**Fourteenth session (third part)**

**Panama City, 1.7 October 2011**

**WORK OF THE AWG-LCA CONTACT GROUP**

**Agenda item 3.2.1**

**Nationally appropriate mitigation commitments or actions by developed country Parties  
version of 14 October 2011 @ 5PM**

*Non-paper by the facilitator*

**Possible elements of draft decision for adoption of modalities and procedures for  
international assessment and review**

1. *Recalling relevant decisions;*
2. *Recognizing the importance of IAR;*
3. *Adoption of the modalities and procedures as contained in an annex to this decision;*
4. *Setting frequency; The IAR process will be conducted every two years;*
5. *Setting start date of IAR;*
6. *Setting the date for review and revision of the modalities and procedures based on the experiences gained.*
7. (.)

**Possible elements of modalities and procedures for international assessment and review**

**I. Objectives of the international assessment and review process**

1. The overall objectives of the international assessment and review (IAR) process taking into account the provisions of the existing review guidelines **under the Convention**, are the following:
  - (a) To provide a **non-intrusive, non-confrontational, robust, rigorous and transparent** assessment of developed country Parties' progress towards the attainment of their quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets and the **provision of financial, technological and capacity-building support to developing country Parties;**
  - (b) To **assist** developed country Parties **to provide** consistent, accurate, comparable, transparent and complete **information;**
  - (c) To **ensure robustness of accounting rules of each developed country Party and promote** comparability of efforts among developed country Parties;
  - (d) To promote **environmental integrity** of the international climate regime;
  - (e) To build confidence that the implementation of mitigation actions of developed country Parties are in line with their quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets;
  - (f) To **assist** implementation of mitigation actions of developed country Parties in line with

Deleted: [...[annually]][...] ... [1]

**Comment [小林1]:** The frequency of IAR is as same for that of ICA for major developing countries.

Deleted: for each developed country Party [or will be determined by the Party's share of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.] ¶

Deleted: [

**Comment [小林2]:** As the contents of KP and the Cancun Agreement are different, certain

Deleted: ]

Deleted: [...] [...] [...] ... [3]

**Comment [小林3]:** Delete this

Deleted: ]

Deleted: ensure that...the ... [5]

Deleted:

Deleted: is

Deleted: [promote][...] ... [6]

Deleted:

Deleted: consistency in...over time ... [7]

**Comment [小林4]:** Use

**Comment [小林5]:** Accounting

Deleted: the [...] ... [10]

**Comment [小林6]:** Delete this

**Comment [小林7]:** To make it

Deleted: (f) [To encourage ... [13]

Deleted: ¶ ... [14]

Deleted: are

their quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets;

(g) To **assist** implementation of low carbon development strategy including target towards the long-term goal for 2050;

(h) To facilitate provisions of information to the Conference of Parties to assess the implementation of the Convention;

(i) To **facilitate** Annex I Parties **to fulfill** the methodological and reporting requirements, **and to set up robust** accounting **rules**, as well as **to achieve** their **quantified economy-wide emission reduction** targets;

(j) To provide input to the 2013-2015 and the subsequent periodic review processes;

2. In addition to the overall objectives included in paragraph 1, the specific objectives of the **technical review** are the following:

(a) To provide a thorough, objective and comprehensive **technical review** of information provided in reports referred to in paragraph 6 below for accuracy and conformity with reporting requirements;

(b) To assess the implementation of commitments related to quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets and the provision of support;

(c) To promote accuracy, completeness, comparability, consistency and transparency in the review of information;

(d) To **assess the progress** in implementation of **quantified economy-wide emission reduction target of each developed country Party and identify possible policy options to improve the outcome if necessary**;

(e) To assist Parties included in Annex I in improving their reporting of information and the implementation of their **commitments on quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets and provision of support**;

(f) To provide the COP with a **technical review** of the implementation of methodological and reporting requirements, accounting modalities, as well as the **quantified economy-wide emission reduction** targets by Parties included in Annex I **and provision of support by developed country Parties**.

## II. Process and scope

### A. Process

3. IAR will be conducted in the following steps:

(a) A **technical review**, where relevant in conjunction with the annual inventory and national communications review process for developed country Parties, which would result in an individual review report for each developed country Party;

(b) An **assessment** of implementation conducted under the auspices of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation;

(c) An **assessment** of progress towards the achievement of quantified economy-wide

Deleted: ]

Deleted: h...[...[ensure] the ... [15]

Deleted: i...[...]

**Comment [小林8]:** The Cancun Agreement doesn't contain the notion of Compliance. Also, there is little possibility that developing country Parties

Deleted: j...[...address compliance ... [18]

Deleted: with...modalities and ... [19]

**Comment [小林9]:** This part has

Deleted: with the...ment of... and ... [21]

Deleted: k...[...]

Deleted: [...][expert] ... [23]

Deleted: [...][expert]...[...][assessment] ... [24]

Deleted: [...]

Deleted: [...identify...potential loss] ... [26]

Deleted: .

Deleted: commitments referred to in ... [27]

**Comment [小林10]:** Delete this

Deleted: (e) [To ensure ... [29]

Deleted: ¶

**Comment [小林11]:** To make it

Deleted: g...[...][expert]...assessment ... [32]

**Comment [小林12]:** Delete this

Deleted: ¶

Deleted: 4

Deleted: ... [35]

Deleted: [...[...][review]...]

Deleted: [...][review] ... [37]

emission reduction targets [and the provision of financial, technological and capacity-building support to developing country Parties] under the auspices of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation;

**B. Scope**

4. IAR will review and assess based on the existing review process for each developed country Party the following:

- (a) All emissions and removals related to its quantified economy-wide emission reduction target;
- (b) Assumptions and conditions related to respective Annex I countries' quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets;
- (c) Strategies adopted by developed country Parties to attainment of their quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets;
- (d) Progress towards the achievement of quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets;
- (e) Provision of financial, technological and capacity-building support to developing country Parties.

**III. Technical review**

**A. Inputs**

5. The inputs to the technical review are the following:

- (a) Biennial report;
- (b) Annual GHG inventory and national inventory report;
- (c) Relevant review reports of annual GHG inventory and national communication (d) Complete national communication in the event that the biennial report is considered as part of the national communication in the year that the national communication is submitted;
- (e) Any additional information provided by the Party before or during the review;
- (f) Peer reviewed publications and other credible sources.

**B. Process**

6. Each developed country Party's biennial report is reviewed, where relevant in conjunction with the annual inventory and national communications review process, as follows:

- (a) The annual inventory and national communications review are conducted in accordance with existing and revised guidelines and procedures under the Convention;
- (b) Supplemental review tasks related to the biennial report are the following:
  - (i) Overall progress in the implementation of the quantified economy-wide emission reduction target;

**Comment [小林13]:** Delete this subparagraph as it is not clear what it means.

**Deleted:** (d) [An early warning and correction process.]

**Deleted:** ¶

**Deleted:** 5

**Deleted:** [...] [assess]... [...] [review] [...]

**Deleted:** [ ... [38]

**Deleted:** [

**Deleted:** 1

**Deleted:** ] [...] [...] [...]

**Deleted:** c

**Deleted:** d... [Its p...]

**Deleted:** [...] [Expert] [...] [assessment] [... [41]

**Deleted:** 6

**Deleted:** [...] [expert]... [...] [assessment] [... [42]

**Deleted:** [...] [Annual GHG inventory and national inventory report] [... [43]

**Deleted:** [...] and if applicable, biennial report] [... [44]

**Comment [M14]:** To clarify what

**Deleted:** ¶

**Comment [小林15]:** In addition

**Deleted:** 7

**Deleted:** Option I: [...] [...] [assessed] [... [48]

**Deleted:** [...] [...] [...] [, and [...] [... [49]

**Deleted:** [Assessing o...]

**Deleted:** [... [50]

- (ii) Progress in the implementation of individual mitigation actions and their effects in order to achieve the quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets;
- (iii) Land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) activities and offset units, from market mechanisms;
- (iv) Provision of financial, technology and capacity-building support to developing country Parties.

7. Options for handling [supplemental] review tasks:

Option 1: Add tasks to the existing inventory review team, with additional experts including those who can handle the review of the provision of support by developed country Parties in order to handle supplemental tasks. Enhance the capacity of the secretariat to be able to sufficiently support performance of the review team;

C. Output

8. The output of the technical review is a technical review report(s) for each individual developed country Party.

IV. Assessment

A. Inputs

9. The assessment will be carried out on the basis of:

- (a) Technical review report(s) referred to in paragraph 9, and any other relevant review reports of annual GHG inventory and national communication;
- (b) Biennial report;
- (c) National GHG inventory, national inventory report and, where relevant, national communication;
- (d) Other information provided by the Party before or during the assessment;

B. Process

10. Each developed country Party is assessed individually.

11. The assessment under the IAR process shall entail the following:

- (a) Opportunity for Parties to submit written questions to the Party concerned in advance of the [assessment, which may require the secretariat to synthesize]; this would be part of the basis for the [consultations][review];
- (b) Verbal questions [and response] during the session;

Deleted: [Assessing p...] [51]

Deleted: [Assessing ...use of carbon] [52]

Comment [小林16]: The [53]

Deleted: ] [54]

Deleted: [[Review][Assessing of] [54]

Comment [小林17]: Review has [55]

Deleted: Option II: [The review] [56]

Deleted: 8 [57]

Deleted: and additional experts to [57]

Comment [小林18]: Bureau [58]

Deleted: Option 2: Creation of [59]

Deleted: 9 [60]

Deleted: [...] [expert] [...] [assessm] [60]

Deleted: [...] [Review] [61]

Deleted: 10 [62]

Deleted: [...] [review] [62]

Deleted: [...] [Expert] [...] [assess] [63]

Comment [M19]: To clarify what [64]

Deleted: [...] [review] [65]

Comment [小林20]: This could [66]

Deleted: (e) [Inputs from other] [67]

Comment [小林21]: IAR process [68]

Deleted: 1 [69]

Deleted: [...] [reviewed] [...] [69]

Deleted: 2 [70]

Deleted: [...] [review] [70]

Deleted: Option I: [...] [...] [review] [71]

Comment [小林22]: While [72]

Deleted: (b) Option II: Electronic [73]

Deleted: ¶ [74]

Comment [小林23]: Questions [75]

Deleted: (e) Questions and [76]

**C. Forum for assessment**

12. The international assessment shall be conducted through dedicated session of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation.

**D. Outputs**

13. The outputs of the assessment are the following:

- (a) Objective record of questions and discussion;
- (b) Summary report;
- (c) Conclusions;
- (d) Recommendations to Party;
- (g) Facilitative process to engage the Party concerned and assist it in taking remedial action if necessary;

**E. Relationship with other process**

14. Issue for further consideration: any formal relation of IAR process to:

- (a) The review of the adequacy of the long-term global goal of the Convention or process to increase the level of ambition;
- (b) Relevant provisions under the Convention.
- (c) -----

Deleted: [ ... [77]

Deleted: ][review]

Deleted: 3

Deleted: [ ... [78]

Deleted: ][review]

Deleted: or [by designated body operating under the auspices of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation][by the compliance system to be developed under the Convention] ... [79]

Deleted: 4

Deleted: [ ... [80]

Deleted: ][review]

Deleted: [ ... [81]

Deleted: ]

Deleted: [ ... [82]

Deleted: [

**Comment [小林24]:** As developing country Parties will not accept the notion of "compliance" on their mitigation actions and ICA, it shall not be ... [77]

Deleted: (e) Problems identified ... [78]

Deleted: (h) [Non-compliance ... [79]

Deleted: 5

Deleted: (b) [Any compliance ... [80]

Deleted: c

Deleted: ;

**Comment [小林25]:** As there is ... [81]

Deleted: (d) [Relevant provisions ... [82]

Deleted: e

Page 1: [1] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:16:00
[		
Page 1: [1] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:16:00
[annually][		
Page 1: [1] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:16:00
]		
Page 1: [2] Comment [小林2]	小林	21/10/2011 14:38:00
As the contents of KP and the Cancun Agreement are different, certain parts of the guidelines under KP would be difficult to be taken into account.		
Page 1: [3] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 13:59:00
[		
Page 1: [3] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 13:58:00
][		
Page 1: [3] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 13:59:00
]		
Page 1: [3] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 14:02:00
[		
Page 1: [3] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 14:01:00
implementation of commitments for the		
Page 1: [4] Comment [小林3]	小林	21/10/2011 14:38:00
Delete this part as support for developing countries is not determined as commitments of individual developed country Parties under the Cancun Agreement.		
Page 1: [5] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:02:00
ensure that		
Page 1: [5] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:03:00
the information provided by		
Page 1: [6] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:09:00
[promote][		
Page 1: [6] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:09:00
]		
Page 1: [7] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:10:00
consistency in		
Page 1: [7] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:04:00
over time [through common set of rules]		
Page 1: [8] Comment [小林4]	小林	21/10/2011 14:41:00
Use expression consistent with the paragraph 44 of the Cancun Agreement, which is about IAR.		
Page 1: [9] Comment [小林5]	小林	21/10/2011 14:47:00
Accounting rules has to be flexible to be able to accommodate respective countries' situations and to maximize their mitigation efforts. Also, an accounting framework should be the same for both developed and		

developing country Parties.

Page 1: [10] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:15:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

the [

Page 1: [10] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:11:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

]

Page 1: [11] Comment [小林6]	小林	21/10/2011 14:42:00
----------------------------	----	---------------------

Delete this subparagraph as the increase of the level of ambition is not the purpose of IAR.

Page 1: [12] Comment [小林7]	小林	21/10/2011 14:43:00
----------------------------	----	---------------------

To make it clear that IAR is facilitative.

Page 1: [13] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:15:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

(f) [To encourage developed country Parties to increase the level of ambition of their commitments;]

Page 1: [14] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:15:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

Page 1: [14] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:01:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

g

Page 1: [14] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:49:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[

Page 1: [14] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:49:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[ensure] that

Page 2: [15] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:01:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

h

Page 2: [15] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:50:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[

Page 2: [15] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:50:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[ensure] the

Page 2: [15] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:06:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

]

Page 2: [16] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:01:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

i

Page 2: [16] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:52:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[

Page 2: [16] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:52:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

]

Page 2: [17] Comment [小林8]	小林	21/10/2011 14:45:00
----------------------------	----	---------------------

The Cancun Agreement doesn't contain the notion of Compliance. Also, there is little possibility that developing country Parties accept the notion of compliance on their mitigation actions. Thus, facilitative approach has to be made clear for developed country Parties as well.

Page 2: [18] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:01:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

j

Page 2: [18] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:52:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[	Page 2: [18] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:54:00
address compliance by			
	Page 2: [19] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 21:48:00
with			
	Page 2: [19] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:59:00
,			
	Page 2: [19] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:07:00
modalities and eligibility criteria to participate in market-based mechanisms			
	Page 2: [20] Comment [小林9]	小林	21/10/2011 14:50:00
This part has to be deleted as there is no consensus for the eligibility criteria for market mechanism under LCA.			
	Page 2: [21] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:55:00
with the			
	Page 2: [21] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:56:00
ment of			
	Page 2: [21] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 15:56:00
and commitments			
	Page 2: [22] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:01:00
k			
	Page 2: [22] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:01:00
]			
	Page 2: [23] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:04:00
[			
	Page 2: [23] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:04:00
][expert] [			
	Page 2: [23] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:04:00
][assessment]			
	Page 2: [24] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:04:00
[			
	Page 2: [24] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:04:00
][expert]			
	Page 2: [24] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:04:00
[			
	Page 2: [24] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:05:00
][assessment]			
	Page 2: [25] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:15:00
[			
	Page 2: [25] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:16:00
]			
	Page 2: [26] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:09:00
[			



Page 2: [26] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:10:00
identify		
Page 2: [26] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:48:00
potential gaps or problems		
Page 2: [27] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:49:00
commitments referred to in paragraph 2(b) above and propose solutions [or corrections]]		
Page 2: [28] Comment [小林10]	小林	21/10/2011 14:52:00
Delete this subparagraph as it is redundant with 1(c).		
Page 2: [29] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 21:50:00
(e) [To ensure comparability of efforts among developed country Parties];		
Page 2: [30] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 21:50:00
Page 2: [30] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:02:00
f		
Page 2: [30] Deleted	小林	21/10/2011 14:54:00
and		
Page 2: [31] Comment [小林11]	小林	21/10/2011 14:54:00
To make it clear what is the commitments of AI countries.		
Page 2: [32] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:02:00
g		
Page 2: [32] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:24:00
[		
Page 2: [32] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:24:00
][expert]		
Page 2: [32] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:53:00
assessment		
Page 2: [32] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:24:00
and eligibility criteria to participate in market-based mechanisms		
Page 2: [32] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:31:00
and commitments		
Page 2: [33] Comment [小林12]	小林	21/10/2011 14:55:00
Delete this paragraph as it is redundant with paragraph 1 and 2.		
Page 2: [34] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 16:57:00

3. Further, the specific objectives of the [assessment][review] are the following:
- (a) To promote transparency and build confidence in developed country Parties' implementation of commitments [in a non-confrontational and facilitative manner];
  - (b) To [assess][review] and [review][assess] the implementation of the quantified economy-wide emission reduction targets and the provision of support;
  - (c) To facilitate the [consideration of ][review] comparability of effort among Parties;
  - (d) [To encourage developed country Parties to increase the level of ambition of their commitments.]

Page 2: [35] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:03:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

[	Page 2: [35] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:03:00
][expert]	Page 2: [35] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:03:00
[	Page 2: [35] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
][assessment]	Page 2: [36] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
[	Page 2: [36] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
[	Page 2: [36] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
][review]	Page 2: [36] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
]	Page 2: [37] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
[	Page 2: [37] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:04:00
][review]	Page 3: [38] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:09:00
[	Page 3: [38] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:09:00
][assess]	Page 3: [38] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:09:00
[	Page 3: [38] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:09:00
][review]	Page 3: [38] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:10:00
[	Page 3: [38] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:10:00
]	Page 3: [39] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:13:00
][	Page 3: [39] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:13:00
]	Page 3: [39] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:14:00
[	Page 3: [39] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:14:00
]	Page 3: [40] Deleted	小林	19/10/2011 11:27:00
d	Page 3: [40] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:14:00
[Its p			

Page 3: [40] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:14:00
]		
Page 3: [41] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
[		
Page 3: [41] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
][Expert] [		
Page 3: [41] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
][assessment]		
Page 3: [42] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
[		
Page 3: [42] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
][expert]		
Page 3: [42] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
[		
Page 3: [42] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:15:00
][assessment]		
Page 3: [43] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:20:00
[		
Page 3: [43] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:20:00
][Annual GHG inventory and national inventory report]		
Page 3: [44] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:20:00
[		
Page 3: [44] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:20:00
and if applicable, biennial report]		
Page 3: [45] Comment [M14]	MURC	21/10/2011 14:58:00
To clarify what kind of review reports can be used for technical review.		
Page 3: [46] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:21:00
;		
Page 3: [46] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:21:00
[Option I:		
Page 3: [46] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:23:00
[Option II: Parts of the national communications for which the biennial report is considered as part of the national communication in the year that the national communication is submitted]		
Page 3: [47] Comment [小林15]	小林	21/10/2011 15:00:00
In addition to BR and some other materials, the latest version of the national communication can be used for technical review.		
Page 3: [48] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:04:00
Option I: [		
Page 3: [48] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:53:00
[		
Page 3: [48] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:53:00
] [assessed		

Page 3: [49] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
[		
Page 3: [49] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
]		
Page 3: [49] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
[		
Page 3: [49] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
]		
Page 3: [49] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
[, and [where relevant,] the Kyoto Protocol]		
Page 3: [50] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
[Assessing o		
Page 3: [50] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:54:00
]		
Page 4: [51] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:55:00
[Assessing p		
Page 4: [51] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:55:00
]		
Page 4: [52] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:02:00
[Assessing		
Page 4: [52] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:56:00
use of carbon credits		
Page 4: [52] Deleted	小林	21/10/2011 15:18:00
and land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) activities towards the emission reduction target		
Page 4: [53] Comment [小林16]	小林	21/10/2011 15:02:00
The information to be provided should not be limited only for the aspects of achieving emission reduction targets.		
Page 4: [54] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:02:00
[[Review][Assessing] of p		
Page 4: [54] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:03:00
]		
Page 4: [55] Comment [小林17]	小林	21/10/2011 15:04:00
Review has to be conducted in objective and neutral manner.		
Page 4: [56] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:04:00
Option II: [The review should identify questions of implementation with regard to the inventory reporting requirements, accounting modalities, eligibility criteria to use the market mechanisms, national arrangements and national registries, and allow for adjustments of the provided estimates as appropriate.]		
Page 4: [57] Deleted	小林	21/10/2011 15:23:00
and additional experts to review teams		
Page 4: [58] Comment [小林18]	小林	21/10/2011 15:31:00
Bureaucracy and redundancy in institutional arrangements has to be		

avoided while necessary enhancement of the capacity of the existing review team and the secretariat should be secured.

Page 4: [59] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 17:50:00
Option 2: Creation of standing [review][assessment] teams to support the [review][assessment] of the biennial report;		
Option 3: Increase the secretariat.s role in supporting the [review][assessment];		
Option 4: A combination of the above. For example, the secretariat could provide analysis and comparison of the use of LULUCF and market-based mechanisms across Parties to facilitate the review by existing review teams of accounting and progress towards the target. A standing group of finance experts could perform the review of the provision of support by developed country Parties;		
Option 5: An expert review team (ERT) consisting of experts from Annex I and non-Annex I countries, in a balanced manner with different expertise, ranging from finance to GHG inventories.		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
[		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
][expert]		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
[		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
][assessment] [based on the existing review process]		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
[		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
][expert]		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
[		
Page 4: [60] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:09:00
][assessment]		
Page 4: [61] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
[		
Page 4: [61] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
][Review]		
Page 4: [62] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
[		
Page 4: [62] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
][review]		
Page 4: [63] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
[		
Page 4: [63] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
][Expert]		
Page 4: [63] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
[		

Page 4: [63] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:10:00
][assessment]		
Page 4: [64] Comment [M19]	MURC	21/10/2011 15:07:00
To clarify what kind of review reports can be used for technical review.		
Page 4: [65] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:11:00
[		
Page 4: [65] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:11:00
][review]		
Page 4: [66] Comment [小林20]	小林	21/10/2011 15:10:00
This could allow irrelevant information to be presented in the assessment process. When any parties would like to provide additional material, it has to be agreed by the Party concerned.		
Page 4: [67] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:12:00
(e) [Inputs from other stakeholders/Parties].		
Page 4: [68] Comment [小林21]	小林	21/10/2011 15:10:00
IAR process does not cover the assessment of developed countries Parties as a whole.		
Page 4: [69] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:13:00
[		
Page 4: [69] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:13:00
][reviewed]		
Page 4: [69] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:14:00
[Developed country Parties are also [assessed][reviewed] aggregately.]		
Page 4: [70] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:15:00
[		
Page 4: [70] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:15:00
][review]		
Page 4: [71] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:16:00
Option I: [		
Page 4: [71] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:16:00
]		
Page 4: [71] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:16:00
][review]		
Page 4: [72] Comment [小林22]	小林	21/10/2011 15:12:00
While review report has to be open to public, the participation of non-Party members to the assessment process should not be allowed to avoid from unnecessary confusions.		
Page 4: [73] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:17:00
(b) Option II: Electronic submission of questions before the Subsidiary Body for Implementation session which may require the secretariat to synthesize;		
Page 4: [73] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:17:00
(c) Option III: Opportunity for questions or comments by observer organizations;		
Page 4: [74] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:17:00

Page 4: [74] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:18:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

d

Page 4: [74] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:18:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

Option IV:

Page 4: [75] Comment [小林23]	小林	21/10/2011 15:14:00
-----------------------------	----	---------------------

Questions should be limited to those submitted beforehand and during the forum to keep the burden of Parties concerned manageable.

Page 4: [76] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:19:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

(e) Questions and responses after the Subsidiary Body for Implementation session before the completion of the [assessment][review].

Page 5: [77] Comment [小林24]	小林	21/10/2011 15:15:00
-----------------------------	----	---------------------

As developing country Parties will not accept the notion of “compliance” on their mitigation actions and ICA, it shall not be included in IAR.

Page 5: [78] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:24:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

(e) Problems identified and reported to the Conference of the Parties;  
(f) Compliance assessment [and presentation of measures required to address noncompliance];

Page 5: [79] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:25:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

(h) [Non-compliance consequences, including compliance procedures and penalties including increases in the QELRC (or consequences to address the carbon budget) of the Party.]

(i) [Further steps shall be determined to ensure that questions related to the compliance objective are addressed in an appropriate manner.]

Page 5: [80] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:26:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

(b) [Any compliance system to be developed under the Convention]

Page 5: [81] Comment [小林25]	小林	21/10/2011 15:17:00
-----------------------------	----	---------------------

As there is no agreement on which provisions of KP is relevant to be imported into IAR in what manner, this subparagraph has to be deleted.

Page 5: [82] Deleted	小林	18/10/2011 18:26:00
----------------------	----	---------------------

(d) [Relevant provisions under the Kyoto Protocol.]