
# Roundtable AWG KP Bonn 2. June 2008 International aviation and maritime transport

Marit Viktoria Pettersen Norway

#### International aviation and maritime transport

 Only GHG-emitting sectors not covered by Annex I Party commitments



#### **The Kyoto Protocol**

#### • <u>Article 2.2</u>:

Annex I Parties shall pursue limitations or reductions of GHG from international aviation and marine bunker fuels working through ICAO and IMO

- Important to respect principles of non-discrimination (ICAO)/no more favorable treatment of ships (IMO) -> global coverage
- No regulations to reduce GHG emissions are in place in IMO or ICAO, no targets agreed: Art 2.2 has so far not resulted in reduced or limited GHG emissions
- There is a need for stronger commitment and leadership by UNFCCC on this issue:
  - Targets for emissions from international aviation and maritime transport should be included in the KP
  - Aviation and maritime transport should be considered separately

## **International Maritime Organization - IMO**

• <u>9 Principles agreed by the majority at MEPC 57 in April</u>

A coherent and comprehensive future IMO regulatory framework on GHG emissions from ships should be e.g.:

- Effective in contributing to reductions in total GHG
- Binding and equally applicable to all ships in order to avoid evasion
- Cost effective
- Intersessional meeting in Oslo 23-27 June. ToR, work on:
  - A mandatory  $CO_2$  design index + review existing operational  $CO_2$  -index
  - Market-based measures, including hybrid mechanism/global levy and emissions trading schemes and/or CDM
  - Resolution on voluntary mechanisms/best practices
- Shipping truly global
  - Most ships registered in developing countries (85% of emissions), but owned by companies in developed countries

## **IMO regulation of GHG**

- Market-based system needed. Two possible options: cap-levyand-trade (hybrid) or emissions trading
- IMO should establish a legally binding instrument, which includes the cap and target period defined by UNFCCC
- Legal responsible subject for payment of the levy/allowances must be defined -> the ship
- Flag state obligation to ensure that all ships pay levy/surrender allowances for all emissions and provide documentation
- Port State Control of all ships based upon certificates of payment of levy/allowances for emissions
- International administrative entity needed. Tasks: auctioning allowances/receiving levy revenue, purchase CO<sub>2</sub>-credits, allocate revenues, keeping allowance/levy accounts etc
- International maritime GHG fund should be established: E.g. supporting the Adaptation Fund, other recipients/tasks not excluded

#### **Emissions Trading scheme**

- Establishment of a cap on CO<sub>2</sub>: in line with UNFCCC-decision
- Party obligation: ensure that all ships of the Party surrender allowances for all  $CO_{2-}$  emissions, based on fuel consumption
- Port State control of all ships: allowances delivered according to emissions, necessary documentation available
- Initial auctioning of allowances to ship responsible (ship owner, -operator, charterer) – open system
- Establishment of entity responsible for auctioning allowances
- Establishment of an international fund with revenue from the auction
- Support adaptation projects in developing countries

#### Cap-levy-and-trade scheme (hybrid)

- Establishment of a cap on CO<sub>2</sub>: in line with UNFCCC-decision
- Party obligation: ensure that all ships of the Party pay levy to e.g. an administrative entity based on documented fuel consumption
- Port State control of all ships: checking the accounts of the ship whether levy has been paid for all fuel consumed
- Establishment of an international Maritime GHG fund:
  - Adaptation projects in developing countries -> Adaptation Fund
  - CO<sub>2</sub>-credits, including from CDM to offset emissions above the cap
  - IMO Technical Corporation Programme: capacity building on GHG reductions in developing countries
- Levy level sufficient to cover the obligations of the fund

## Conclusion

- Global sectoral approach preferable > AWG LCA
- Or: establish target/cap for emissions from international maritime transport -> Art.2.2 KP
- Target: In line with the long term goal
- Request IMO to establish regulatory framework to ensure the target is met
- Market based system should be implemented
- Cap-levy-and-trade (hybrid) or emissions trading system
- Possibility for Joint Implementation projects