

United Nations Climate Change Secretariat **Nations Unies** Secrétariat sur les changements climatiques

Common Operational Procedure Independent assessment reporting for registry systems

True-up period assessment report First commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol

Reference:	TRUE_UP/CZ/1/1		
Version number:	1.0	State:	Final
Prepared by:	Thomas Schuetz / DE	Date:	15/02/2016
Reviewed by:	Markwin Pieters / UNFCCC		

Document change record

Version	Date	Description	
0.1	12/01/2016	Prefilled draft	
0.2	09/02/2016	Draft 0.2	
1.0	15/02/2016	Final report	

Table of Contents

Reference Information	2
True-up period report assessment	4
Key findings	.16

Reference Information

Ref Nr	Description	Value		
P1.0. 1	Party name	Czech Republic		
P1.0. 2	Reporting period	 1 January 2015 00:00:00 through 18 November 2015 24:00:00 UTC 2014 		
P1.0. 3	Submission under review	 Files provided by the Party: [TUP Report] True-up report submitted by the Party, including all documents attached to that report. true-up_period_report_by_the_czechrepublic.pdf rreg1_cz_2015_20151118.xlsx cz_retire_sn_en.pdf cz_holdings_sn_en.pdf 	Files provided by the ITL administrator: [2014 SEFCR] SEF comparison report for 2014. (CR_RREG1_CZ_2014.xlsx [2014 R2-R5] RITL2 - RITL5 reports for 2014. (RITL2_CZ_2014.xlsx RITL3_CZ_2014.xlsx RITL4_CZ_2014.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2014.xlsx (TUP SEFCR] SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015. (CR_RREG1_CZ_2015_201 51118 [TUP R2-R5] RITL2 - RITL5 reports for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015. (RITL2_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL3_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL4_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx (RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx (RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx (RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx RITL5_CZ_2015.xlsx (RITL5_CZ_2015.x	

RITL5 provides information on conversion of units provides a basis for determining whether or not a Party needs to provide Article 6 (JI) project information
Article 6 (JI) project information to meeting paragraph 46 of the annex to Decision 13/CMP.1

True-up period report assessment

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
True-U	p Standard electronic format (SEF),	
includin	g ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RML	Is valid for the current cale	ndar year until the end of the additional period for fulfilling commitments
T1	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(a)	[x]Yes []No	If sub-items T1.1 - T1.3 have all been assessed as Yes, tick Yes . Otherwise, tick No and indicate the reason here.
T1.1	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49(a)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.1.1 - T1.1.10 have all been assessed as Yes.
T1.1.1	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account at the beginning of the year in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(a)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 1). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 1, as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 1, as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.2	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of AAUs issued on the basis of the assigned amount in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(b)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 5(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 5(a), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 5(a), as extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.1.3	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs issued on the basis of Article 6 projects in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(c)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2 (a) and additional information of Table 2(b)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a) and additional information of Table 2(b), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a) and additional information of Table 2(b), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.4	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries and the identity of the transferring accounts and registries in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(d)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(b)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(b), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 2(b), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.5	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of RMUs issued on the basis of each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(e)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a), as extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.1.6	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries and the identity of the acquiring accounts and registries in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(f)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(b)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(b), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 2(b), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.7	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled on the basis of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(g)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a), as extracted from the ITL.
T1.1.8	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(h)		Left here for completeness purpose. As this is the assessment for the first commitment period, there are no non-compliance cancellations that occurred.
T1.1.9	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of other ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(i)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 2(a)). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 2(a), as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 2(a), as extracted from the ITL.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.1.10	Has the Party submitted the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs retired in its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in accordance with decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 47(j)?	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 4). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 4, as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 4, as extracted from the ITL.
TUP Rep including		ers of ERUs, CERs, AAUs a	nd RMUs in its retirement account
T1.2	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49(b)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.2.1 - T1.2.2 have all been assessed as Yes
T1.2.1	Quantity of units retired	[x]Yes []No	 Party has submitted its SEF tables for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 in [TUP Report] (including Table 4). [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF Table 4, as submitted by the Party, is consistent with SEF Table 4, as extracted from the ITL, and that the quantity of units retired by the Party is consistent with SEF Table 4, as extracted from the ITL.
T1.2.2	Serial numbers of units retired	[x]Yes []No	Party has reported (or referred to) the serial numbers for the units contained in the retirement account in [TUP Report].
TUP Rep including		mbers of ERUs, CERs and	AAUs which the Party requests to be carried over to the subsequent commitment period
T1.3	Decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 49(c)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T1.3.1 - T1.3.6 have all been assessed as Yes
T1.3.1	Quantity of AAUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	The Party has indicated the number of AAUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T.1.3.2	Serial numbers of AAUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	The Party has reported (or referred to) the serial numbers of the AAUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T1.3.3	Quantity of CERs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	The Party has indicated the number of CERs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T.1.3.4	Serial numbers of CERs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	The Party has reported (or referred to) the serial numbers of the CERs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T1.3.5	Quantity of ERUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	The Party has indicated the number of ERUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T1.3.6	Serial numbers of ERUs requested to be carried-over	[x]Yes []No	The Party has reported (or referred to) the serial numbers of the ERUs it requests to carry-over in [TUP Report].
T2	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(b)		Assessed by ERTs. Kept here for completeness.
Т3	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(c)	[x]Yes []No	Sub-items T3.1 through T3.7 have all been assessed as Yes. Sub-items T3.10 through T3.11 have been assessed as NA since no discrepancies or non-replacements occurred.
T3.1	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(a)	[x]Yes []No	The submission is assessed as complete through all other items in this report.
Informati	ion relating to issuance, cancella	ations, retirement, transfe	s, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over
T3.2	Is the information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(b)?	[x]Yes []No	If the SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF tables reported by the Party are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL, tick Yes .

transfe betwe consis inform	information relating to ers and acquisitions en national registries	[x]Yes []N	If the SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP
conce record log, ar report involve accord 22/CM parage	stent with the nation contained in the nal registry of the Party erned and with the ds of the transaction nd with information ted by the other Parties ed in the transactions ding to decision AP.1, annex, raph 88(c)?		SEFCR] indicates in table 2B that SEF tables reported by the Party are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL, tick Yes .
nformation relat	ting to acquisitions of CE	Rs, tCERs, and ICER	s from the CDM Registry
acquis tCERs CDM the inf the na Party the rea transa clean mecha accord 22/CM	information relating to sitions of CERs, s, and ICERs from the registry consistent with formation contained in ational registry of the concerned and with cords of the action log, and with the development anism (CDM) registry ding to decision <i>I</i> P.1, annex, raph 88(d)	[x]Yes []N	If the SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates in table 2B that SEF tables reported by the Party are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL, tick Yes .

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
Т3.5	Have ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(e)	[x]Yes []No	If the SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF tables reported by the Party are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL, tick Yes .
Issuance	e, acquirement, transfer, cancell	ation, retirement, or replace	ment of tCERs and ICERs
T3.6	Have tCERs and ICERs been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(f)?	[x]Yes []No	If the SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates that SEF tables reported by the Party are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL, tick Yes .
Quantitie	es of units in accounts at the be	ginning of the year	

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
Т3.7	Is the information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(g)?	[x]Yes []No	If the SEF comparison report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP SEFCR] indicates in table 1 that SEF tables reported by the Party are consistent with the SEF tables extracted from the ITL, tick Yes .
T3.8	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(h)		Assessed by the ERTs. Kept here for completeness.
Т3.9	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(i)		Assessed by the ERTs. Kept here for completeness.
Discrepa	ancies		
T3.10	Has any discrepancy been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)?	[]Yes [x]NA	The R2 report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP R2-R5] identifies no DES response codes. Sub-items T3.10.1 - T3.10.5 have all been assessed as NA

Requirement	Assessment	Comment
If Yes to T3.10, has the discrepancy been correctly identified by the transaction log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(i)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and identified by the ITL. In this case tick NA .
If Yes to T3.10, has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(ii)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and identified by the ITL. In this case tick NA .
If Yes to T3.10, has the transaction been completed or terminated according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iii)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and identified by the ITL. In this case tick NA .
If Yes to T3.10, has or have the Party or Parties corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iv)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and identified by the ITL. In this case tick NA .
If Yes to T3.10, does the problem that caused the discrepancy relate to the capacity of the national registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(v)?	[]Yes[]No[x]NA	No discrepancies have been reported and identified by the ITL. In this case tick NA .
	If Yes to T3.10, has the discrepancy been correctly identified by the transaction log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(i)? If Yes to T3.10, has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(ii)? If Yes to T3.10, has the transaction been completed or terminated according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iii)? If Yes to T3.10, has or have the Party or Parties corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iv)? If Yes to T3.10, does the problem that caused the discrepancy relate to the capacity of the national registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex,	If Yes to T3.10, has the discrepancy been correctly identified by the transaction log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(i)?[]Yes []No [x] NAIf Yes to T3.10, has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(ii)?[]Yes []No [x] NAIf Yes to T3.10, has the transaction been completed or terminated according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iii)?[]Yes []No [x] NAIf Yes to T3.10, has the transaction been completed or terminated according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iii)?[]Yes []No [x] NAIf Yes to T3.10, has or have the Party or Parties corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(j)(iv)?[]Yes []No [x] NAIf Yes to T3.10, does the problem that caused the discrepancy relate to the capacity of the national registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex,[]Yes []No [x] NA

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T3.11	Has any record of non- replacement been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)?	[]Yes [x]NA	The R4 report for the period 1 January to 18 November 2015 [TUP R2-R4] indicates no non-replacements occurred
T3.11.1	If Yes to T3.11, has the non- replacement occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(i)?		NA if no non-replacements have been identified in R3 of [TUP R2-R5] and in R4.
T3.11.2	If Yes to T3.11, has non- replacement occurred previously for that Party according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(ii)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	NA if no non-replacements have been identified in R3 in [TUP R2-R5] and in R4.
T3.11.3	If Yes to T3.11, has the replacement been subsequently undertaken according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(iii)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	NA if no non-replacements have been identified in R3 in [TUP R2-R5] and in R4.
T3.11.4	If Yes to T3.1, has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(iv)?		NA if no non-replacements have been identified in R3 in [TUP R2-R5] and in R4.

Ref	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
T3.11.5	If Yes to T3.1, does the problem that caused the non-replacement relate to the capacity of the national registry according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 88(k)(v)?	[]Yes []No [x]NA	NA if no non-replacements have been identified in R3 in [TUP R2-R5] and in R4.
The quar	ntity of AAUs, CERs, tCERs, EF	RUs and RMUs transferred i	nto the tCER replacement account
Τ4	Is the quantity of AAUs, CERs, tCERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the tCER replacement account for the commitment period equal to the quantity of tCERs in the retirement account, and in the tCER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(d)?	[x]Yes []No	The quantity of AAUs, CERs, tCERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the tCER replacement account for the first commitment period is equal to the quantity of tCERs in the retirement account, and in the tCER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period.

The qu	antity of AAUs, CERs, ICERs, ER	Us and RMUs transferred	into the ICER replacement account
T5	Is the quantity of AAUs, CERs, ICERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the ICER replacement account for the commitment period equal to the sum of the quantity of ICERs in the retirement account, and the quantity of ICERs in the ICER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period, and the quantity of ICERs identified by the Executive Board of the CDM as requiring replacement within the registry for the commitment period according to decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 89(e)?	[x]Yes []No	The quantity of AAUs, CERs, ICERs, ERUs and RMUs transferred into the ICER replacement account for the commitment period is equal to the sum of the quantity of ICERs in the retirement account, and the quantity of ICERs in the ICER replacement account, that expired at the end of the commitment period, and the quantity of ICERs identified by the Executive Board of the CDM as requiring replacement within the registry for the commitment period.
2014 S	EF		
Т6	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 90	[x]Yes []No	The reports R2–R5 covering the period 1 January to 31 December 2014 are not a part of the report, because Czech Republic has no discrepant transactions, no CDM notifications, no non replacements and no invalid units occurred in that period.
T6.1	SEF Report for 2014	[x]Yes []No	If the Party has submitted SEF tables for 2014 consistent with the ITL records tick Yes .
T6.2	R2 - R5 Reports for 2014	[]Yes [x]NA	The reports R2–R5 covering the period 1 January to 31 December 2014 are not a part of the report, because Czech Republic has no discrepant transactions, no CDM notifications, no non replacements and no invalid units occurred in that period.
Т7	Decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 91		Assessed by the ERTs. Kept here for completeness.

Key findings

Ref	Description
Т8	Completeness of the submission is assessed through all items in this report except R2-R5 reports for 2014. Czech Republic included all required reports in the True-Up report. No irregularities have been identified by the assessor.