



UNFCCC ITL Administrator

**Standard Independent Assessment Report
Assessment Report
Part 2 - Substance**

Reference:	IAR/2015/LVA/2/2		
Version number:	2.0	State:	Final
Prepared by:	Sara Prados Díaz/ Spanish Climate Change Office	Date:	29/01/2016
Reviewed by:	John Bedard/ SRA International, Inc.		
Approved by:	Markwin Pieters/UNFCCC		

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Sara Prados Díaz / Assessor	Spanish Registry Administrator	Info
Heidi McKenna / SIAR Coordinator	Independent Consultant	Info
Jelena Lazdane-Mihalko / RSA	Latvian Environment Geology and Meteorology Agency	Info

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	16/12/2015	Initial draft
0.2	23/12/2015	Review of initial draft
1.0	23/12/2015	Draft 1.0 ready for distribution to Party
1.1	27/01/2016	Updated draft after Party response
2.0	29/01/2016	Final version 2.0

Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P2.0.1	Party name	Latvia	
P2.0.2	Reporting period	2014	
P2.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted: - [SEF] RREG1_LV_2014.xlsx - [NIR] LV_NIR 2015.pdf - [RESPONSE] Consultation_form_P12 LV	Information from the ITL Administrator:: - [SEFCR] CR_RREG1_LV_2014.xlsx - [ITL REPORTS R2-R5] RITL2_LV_2014.xlsx RITL3_LV_2014.xlsx RITL4_LV_2014.xlsx RITL5_LV_2014.xlsx
P2.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	FCCC/ARR/2014/LVA (13/03/2015)	

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction 4
 - 1.1. Overall assessment 4
 - 1.2. Summary of findings..... 5
- 2. Identification of Problems 6
- 3. Identification of Significant Changes 10
- 4. Recommendations 13
 - 4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations13
 - 4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems.....14

1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 2 report assesses the substance of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P2.1.1	Is the information submitted by Party, in relation to its national registry, complete?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No See 1.2.14
P2.1.2	Problem found with Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.3	Any unresolved problem with Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.4	Problems identified with the significant changes to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.5	National registry related recommendations from previous annual review were fully addressed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No 2.4.1.2
P2.1.6	Is there any recommendation that needs to be addressed by the Party?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

1.2. Summary of findings

Ref Nr	Summary of findings
P2.2.1	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="360 357 2051 453">1. The information on Kyoto Protocol units has been reported in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and is accurate. The national registry continues to fulfill the requirements related to its reporting and accounting of information on Kyoto Protocol units, transaction procedures, conformance to the technical standards, security, data integrity and recovery measures. <li data-bbox="360 491 2051 587">2. Party has reported information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in the required SEF tables, as required by decisions 15/CMP.1 and 14/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor reviewed the SIAR on the SEF and the SEF comparison report.1 The SIAR was forwarded to the ERT prior to the review, pursuant to decision 16/CP.10. <li data-bbox="360 625 2051 689">3. Information on the accounting of Kyoto units has been prepared and reported in accordance with section I E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, and reported in accordance with decision 14/CMP.1 using the SEF tables. <li data-bbox="360 727 2051 823">4. The SIAR assessor finds that the national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties (CMP) to the Kyoto Protocol decisions. <li data-bbox="360 861 2051 925">5. Party has not reported its commitment period reserve in its 2014 annual submission. The SIAR assessor recommends that Party include information on its commitment period reserve in its next annual submission. <li data-bbox="360 963 2051 1027">6. The national registry has not fulfilled all requirements regarding the public availability of information in accordance with section I.I.E of the annex to decisions 13/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor recommends that Party include the information concerning the years of ERU issuance. <p data-bbox="315 1066 539 1091"><u>Recommendations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="360 1129 2051 1193">7. The SIAR assessor reiterates the previous ERT recommendation and recommends that the Party include all other additional information in response to the SIAR findings in the NIR in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1 annex, chapter I.G.

2. Identification of Problems

The purpose of this section is to identify any problems with the national registry based on the Party's annual submission and transaction log records that may affect the performance of the functions of the national registry pursuant to paragraph 88 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(a) The information is complete and submitted in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	Assessed in SIAR Part 1. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(b) The information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over is consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(c) The information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(d) The information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and ICERs from the CDM registry is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(e) ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs.
P2.2.6	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(f) tCERs and ICERs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to tCERs and ICERS.
P2.2.7	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(g) The information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year is consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records and with information submitted in the year prior to the reported year.
P2.2.8	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(h) The required level of the commitment period reserve, as reported, is calculated in accordance with paragraph 6 of the annex to decision 18/CP.7;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.9	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(i) The assigned amount is calculated to avoid double accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
P2.2.10	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j) A discrepancy has been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [X] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party	
Repeat for each discrepancy type (include Type Number)	P2.2.10.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(i) Verify that the discrepancy has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(ii) Assess whether the same type of discrepancy has occurred previously for that Party;	Has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iii) Assess whether the transaction was completed or terminated;	Was the transaction completed or terminated? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iv) Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy?	Problem that caused the discrepancy corrected? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party
	P2.2.10.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, issuance, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERS, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, the replacement of tCERS and ICERs, and the carry-over of ERUs, CERs and AAUs	Discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
P2.2.11	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k) Any record of non-replacement has been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Any tCERs or ICERs subject to non-replacement held by Party? [] Yes [X] No	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
Repeat for each non-replacement type (incl Type number	P2.2.11.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(i) Verify that the non-replacement has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the transaction log identified the non-replacement? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(ii) Assess whether non-replacement has occurred previously for that Party;	Has this type of non-replacement previously occurred for that Party? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iii) Assess whether the replacement was subsequently undertaken;	Was the replacement subsequently undertaken? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iv) Examine the cause of the non-replacement and whether the Party has corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement;	Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation, and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, and the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and if so, initiate a thorough review of the registry system in accordance with part V of these guidelines.	Non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [X] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.

3. Identification of Significant Changes

The purpose of this section is to identify any **significant changes** in the national registry reported by the Party that may affect the performance of the functions contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the adherence to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant COP/MOP decisions.

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. This section assesses the submitted changes reported by Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of decision 15/CMP.1, and the further guidance elaborated in the Independent Assessment Report common operational procedure.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.1	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(a) The name and contact information of the registry administrator designated by the Party to maintain the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.2	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(b) The names of the other Parties with which the Party cooperates by maintaining their national registries in a consolidated system	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.3	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(c) A description of the database structure and capacity of the national registry.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] the Party states that no changes related to Kyoto functionality have been made to the database and capacity of its national registry. Assessor notes that minor changes have taken place, but only EUETS functionality is affected. The relevant common CSEUR documentation [Annex A] was referenced and included in the Party's submission.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.4	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(d) A description of how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems for the purpose of ensuring the accurate, transparent and efficient exchange of data between national registries, the clean development mechanism registry and the transaction log (decision 19/CP.7, paragraph 1)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] the Party states that the only technical changes effected EUETS activities and that Annex H testing was successfully performed and results were delivered to the ITL Administrator. Other than that, no changes have been made to the conformance to technical standards by its national registry. Assessor notes that minor changes have taken place, but only EU ETS functionality is affected. The relevant common CSEUR documentation [Annex B & H] was referenced in the Party's submission.
P2.3.5	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(e) A description of the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies in the issuance, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and/or RMUs, and replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and of the steps taken to terminate transactions where a discrepancy is notified and to correct problems in the event of a failure to terminate the transactions	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.6	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(f) An overview of security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error and of how these measures are kept up to date	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.7	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(g) A list of the information publicly accessible by means of the user interface to the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.8	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(h) The Internet address of the interface to its national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.9	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(i) A description of measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.10	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(j) The results of any test procedures that might be available or developed with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry undertaken pursuant to the provisions of decision 19/CP.7 relating to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] Party states that both regression testing and Annex H testing were carried out. Assessor notes that minor changes have taken place, but only EU ETS functionality is affected. The relevant common CSEUR documentation [Annex B & H] was referenced and included in the Party's submission

4. Recommendations

4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section assesses Party's response to the previous annual review recommendations.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party acted on recommendation?	Comment
P2.4.1.1	The ERT recommends that Latvia clarifies what changes have been made to the national registry (regarding the information on the registry administrators) - (FCCC/ARR/2014/LVA para.119)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party has clarified such information in its [NIR] and has reported in [RESPONSE] an incorrect description in the previous submission related to the changes to the information for the Registry Administrator.
P2.4.1.2	The ERT recommends that Latvia includes all other additional information in response to the SIAR findings in the NIR in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1 annex, chapter I.G. (FCCC/ARR/2014/LVA para.120)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>In [NIR] the Party doesn't make a specific reference to the SIAR findings.</p> <p>In [RESPONSE] the Party informs that all SIAR and ERT recommendations are taken in to account. All information will be updated and necessary explanations will be included in NIR submission 2016. Latvia will also include all other additional information in response to the SIAR findings in the NIR submission 2016 in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1 annex, chapter I.G. (FCCC/ARR/2014/LVA para.120).</p> <p>The assessor recommends the Party include a specific paragraph/reference in NIR to address ERT recommendations.</p>
P2.4.1.3	The ERT recommends that Latvia addresses the recommendations contained in the SIAR (FCCC/ARR/2014/LVA para. 110).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>The Party has not addressed all the recommendations included in the SIAR for 2014.</p> <p>In [RESPONSE] the Party informs that Latvia will include in the NIR submission 2016 all findings and recommendations included in the standard independent assessment report (SIAR) referring on the SEF tables and the SEF comparison report.</p>

			The assessor recommends the Party include a specific paragraph/reference in NIR to address ERT recommendations.
--	--	--	---

4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems

If a problem has been identified earlier in section 2 and 3 or a previous recommendation listed in section 4.1 has not been taken into account, then this section of the report lists a recommendation for each problem to be brought to the attention to the Expert Review Team.

Ref Nr	Recommendation Ref	Recommendation description	Comment
P2.4.2.1	P1.2.14	The SIAR assessor recommends that Party include information on its commitment period reserve in its next annual submission.	In [RESPONSE] the Party states that Latvia's 2015 submission was the official submission under the UNFCCC but not an official submission under the Kyoto Protocol therefore calculation of the commitment period reserve has not been included in the 2015 NIR by Latvia. Information on CPR will be included in the 2016 submission.
P2.4.2.2	P1.4.1.1	Party should include account information pertaining to all account types in its publicly available information.	The relevant information was available at https://ets-registry.webgate.ec.europa.eu/euregistry/LV/public/reports/publicReports.xhtml . The webpage informs that in line with the data protection requirements of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Directive 95/46/EC and in accordance with Article 110 and Annex XIV of Commission Regulation (EU) no 389/2013, the information on account representatives, account holdings, account numbers, all transactions made and carbon unit identifiers, held in the EUTL, the Union Registry and any other KP registry (required by paragraph 45) is considered confidential. The assessor recommends the Party refer to this link in future submissions or to include the missing documentation in the webpage (http://www.meteo.lv/en/lapas/submission-underunframework-of-climate-change-convention-conference-?id=1476&nid=646).
P2.4.2.3	P1.4.3	The SIAR assessor recommends Party include information on the commitment periods of accounts as required by 13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (c)	The relevant information was available at https://ets-registry.webgate.ec.europa.eu/euregistry/LV/public/reports/publicReports.xhtml . The webpage informs that in line with the data protection requirements of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Directive 95/46/EC and in accordance with Article 110 and Annex XIV of Commission Regulation (EU) no 389/2013, the information on account representatives, account holdings, account numbers, all transactions made and carbon unit identifiers, held in the EUTL, the Union

			<p>Registry and any other KP registry (required by paragraph 45) is considered confidential.</p> <p>The assessor recommends the Party refer to this link in future submissions or to include the missing documentation in the webpage (http://www.meteo.lv/en/lapas/submission-underunframework-of-climate-change-convention-conference-?id=1476&nid=646)..</p>
P2.4.2.4	P1.4.1.4 - P1.4.1.5	<p>The SIAR assessor recommends that the Party includes an explicit reference to the confidentiality of certain data pertaining to accounts and transactions in its publicly available information.</p>	<p>The relevant information was available at https://ets-registry.webgate.ec.europa.eu/euregistry/LV/public/reports/publicReports.xhtml. The webpage informs that in line with the data protection requirements of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Directive 95/46/EC and in accordance with Article 110 and Annex XIV of Commission Regulation (EU) no 389/2013, the information on account representatives, account holdings, account numbers, all transactions made and carbon unit identifiers, held in the EUTL, the Union Registry and any other KP registry (required by paragraph 45) is considered confidential.</p> <p>The assessor recommends the Party refer to this link in future submissions or to include the missing documentation in the webpage (http://www.meteo.lv/en/lapas/submission-underunframework-of-climate-change-convention-conference-?id=1476&nid=646)..</p>
P2.4.2.5	P1.5.2, P2.4.1.2	<p>The SIAR assessor recommends that the Party includes an explicit reference in its NIR to the way SIAR recommendations are addressed</p>	<p>In [RESPONSE] the Party informs that all SIAR and ERT recommendations are taken in to account. All information will be updated and necessary explanations will be included in NIR submission 2016. Latvia will also include all other additional information in response to the SIAR findings in the NIR submission 2016 in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1 annex, chapter I.G. (FCCC/ARR/2014/LVA para.120.</p>