



UNFCCC ITL Administrator

**Standard Independent Assessment Report
Assessment Report
Part 1 - Completeness**

Reference:	IAR/2009/LTU/1/1	State:	Final
Version number:	2.0	Date:	27/07/2010
Prepared by:	Heidi McKenna/ Independent Consultant		
Reviewed by:	Chris Collins/PQA, now part of SRA International Inc.		
Approved by:	Vitaly Matsarski/UNFCCC		

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Heidi McKenna	Independent Consultant	Info
Chris Collins, Bryan Eckstein	PQA, now part of SRA International Inc.	Info
Asta Skrockaite / Diana Vedlugaite	Lithuanian Environmental investment Fund	Action

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	29/06/2010	Initial draft for review
0.2	30/06/2010	Reviewed draft – clarifications needed around public information.
0.3	30/06/2010	Revised draft
1.0	01/07/2010	Reviewed version 1.0 to be sent to Party.
1.1	27/07/2010	Updated with Party comments
2.0	27/07/2010	Final reviewed version 2.0 to be sent to Party.

Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P1.0.1	Party name	Lithuania	
P1.0.2	Reporting period	2009	
P1.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted: SIAR_ZIP file containing: Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Application Logging Documentation.doc Changes to National Registry.doc Database and Application Back up Plan.doc SIAR Reports 2009-LT v1.0.xls Lithuania_migration_go_live_plan.doc Lithuania_migration_project_plan.mmp Test Plan.doc Test Report.doc UNFCCC-Initialization Recommendationv3.0LT.doc [NIR] LT_NIR_2010.doc [REPORTS] SIAR Reports_2009_LTv1.0.xls [SEF] SEF_LT_2010_2_16-58-4 25-4-2010.xls [RESPONSE] LT_Response to SIAR Part 1_2010-07-14.pdf	Information from the ITL Administrator: [SEFCR] SEF_LT_2010_2_16-58-4 25-4-2010_CR.xls [RRITL] SIAR_Reports_2009_LT_v1.1.xls
P1.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	FCCC/ARR/2009/LTU 26 January 2010	

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	4
1.1. Overall assessment	4
2. Accounting of Kyoto Protocol units	5
3. National Registry Change	9
4. Public information	14
5. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations	22

1. Introduction

the SIAR Part 1 report assesses the completeness of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P1.1.1	Submission complete? Information has been provided pursuant to paragraphs 11 to 18 in section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraph 32 in section II.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the further guidance by the SIAR guidelines?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
P1.1.2	Public Information Provided? Information has been provided pursuant to paragraphs 44 to 48 in section I.E of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
P1.1.3	Explanation of how the previous Annual Review recommendations have been addressed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA

2. Accounting of Kyoto Protocol units

the purpose of this section is to indicate whether information has been reported by the Party in its annual submission pursuant to paragraphs 11 to 18 in section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 11 Standard electronic format (SEF)			
P1.2.1	14/CMP.1 annex I. paragraph 3 Has the Party transferred or acquired any Kyoto Protocol units in the reporting period or in any year prior to the reporting year?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party has transferred or acquired Kyoto Protocol units during or prior to the reported period as indicated in [SEF] and [SEFCR]
P1.2.2	15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 11 Has the Party provided a report in the SEF containing the information required by paragraph 11 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party's SEF report [SEF] was made available to assessors by 25/04/2010
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 12 Discrepant transactions			
P1.2.3	Has the transaction log identified discrepancies in transactions proposed by the Party?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no discrepancies with transactions proposed by the Party during the reported period.
P1.2.4	Has Party reported all discrepancies as required by paragraph 12 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In document Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc: The Party reported that no discrepancies occurred during the reported period. the information has been verified and matches the ITL records

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 13			
Reversal of storage notifications			
P1.2.5	Has the transaction log issued reversal of storage notifications to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no reversal of storage notifications to the Party's national registry during the reported period
P1.2.6	Has Party reported all notifications directing it to replace ICERs in accordance with paragraph 49 of the annex to decision 5/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In document Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc: The Party reported that no reversal of storage notifications were addressed to its national registry during the reported period. the information has been verified and matches the ITL records
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 14			
Non-certification notifications			
P1.2.7	Has the transaction log issued non-certification notifications to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no non-certification notifications to the Party's national registry during the reported period
P1.2.8	Has the Party reported all notifications to replace ICERs in accordance with paragraph 50 of the annex to decision 5/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In document Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc: The Party reported that no non-certification notifications were addressed to its national registry during the reported period. the information has been verified and matches the ITL records
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 15			
Non-replacement			
P1.2.9	Has the transaction log a record of non-replacement for this Party?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no non-replacement notifications to the Party's national registry during the reported period

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
P1.2.10	Has Party reported all non-replacements in accordance with paragraph 56 of the annex to decision 5/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>In document Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc:</p> <p>The Party reported that no non-replacement notifications were addressed to its national registry during the reported period. the information has been verified and matches the ITL records</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 16			
Inconsistencies from discrepant transactions			
P1.2.11	Has the transaction log identified discrepant transactions proposed by the Party that were not terminated or cancelled?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL did not identify discrepant transactions proposed by the Party that were not terminated or cancelled.
P1.2.12	Has Party reported on units that are not valid for use towards compliance with commitments under Article 3, paragraph 1, pursuant to paragraph 43 (b) of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In document Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc: The Party reported that its national registry does not hold units that are not valid for use towards compliance with commitments under Article 3, paragraph 1.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 17			
Actions and changes to address discrepancies			
P1.2.13	Has Party reported actions taken to correct any problem that caused a discrepancy to occur, any changes to the national registry to prevent a discrepancy from reoccurring, or the resolution of any previously identified questions of implementation pertaining to transactions?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In document Accounting of Kyoto Protocol Units.doc: The Party reported that there were no actions necessary to undertake to correct problems that caused discrepancies from occurring, or to prevent discrepancies from reoccurring. There were no actions necessary to address questions of implementation pertaining to transactions.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 18			
CPR Calculation			
P1.2.14	Has Party reported the calculation of its commitment period reserve?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported the calculation of its commitment period reserve in its NIR 2010 Section 9.2 .

3. National Registry Change

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

the baseline for reporting changes of national registry is the information provided by the Party in its last submission.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.1	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(a) Change of name or contact Has the Party reported changes to the name or contact information of the registry administrator?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc The Party states the changes to the Registry Administrators and their contact information.
P1.3.2	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(b) Change of cooperation arrangement Has the Party reported changes to the names of the other Parties with which they cooperate in maintaining their national registry consolidated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc The Party states that it does not have cooperation agreements and no changes have been made to its cooperation arrangements.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.3	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(c) Change to the database or the capacity of National Registry</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to the database structure or capacity of the national registry?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No T</p>	<p>In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc</p> <p>the party reported there was a change of the registry software provider – from GRETA to Community Registry</p>
P1.3.4	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(d) Change of conformance to technical standards</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc</p> <p>The Party reports that a new software – Community Registry (CR) was installed in the reported period.</p> <p>Party reported successful completion of Annex H and ETS tests in order to check the conformity with DES, to the ITL and with the ETS.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.5	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(e) Change of discrepancies procedures</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>In submitted document:</p> <p>Changes to National Registry.doc</p> <p>The Party states that no changes have been made to the procedures employed in its national registry to minimize discrepancies.</p>
P1.3.6	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(f) Change of Security</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to the security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>In submitted document:</p> <p>Changes to National Registry.doc</p> <p>The Party states that no changes have been made to the security measures employed in its national registry.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.7	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(g) Change of list of publicly available information Has the Party reported a change to the list of publicly accessible information?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc The Party states that no changes have been made to the list of publicly available information accessible by means of the user interface of its national registry.
P1.3.8	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(h) Change of Internet address Has the Party reported a change to the Internet address of the national registry?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc The Party states that no changes have been made to the Internet address of its national registry.
P1.3.9	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(i) Change of data integrity measure Has the Party reported a change to the measures ensuring the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc The Party states that no changes have been made to the data integrity measures.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.10	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(j) Change of test results Has the Party reported a change to the results of any test procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In submitted document: Changes to National Registry.doc The Party states changes have been made to the results of its test procedures and references test reports submitted.

4. Public information

this section provides information on the completeness of public information provided pursuant to part E of the annex to 13/CMP.1, paragraphs 44 to 48 inclusive.

Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 requires the national registry to make non-confidential information publicly available.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 Account information provided?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to the location of publicly available information in its annual submission document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Account information is made public in a report published at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.1.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (a) Account name: the holder of the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	Account name and account holder information is made public in a report published at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.1.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (b) Account type: the type of account (holding, cancellation or retirement)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	Accounts are made public in a report published at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943 However, the external assessor notes that holding accounts type is not clearly associated with each account,
P1.4.1.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (c) Commitment period: the commitment period with which a cancellation or retirement account is associated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The commitment period associated with the Party cancellation and retirement accounts is made public in a report published at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.1.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (d) Representative identifier: the representative of the account holder, using the Party identifier (the two-letter country code defined by ISO 3166) and a number unique to that representative within the Party's registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	Account representative identifier information is made public in a report published at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.1.5	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (e) Representative name and contact information; the full name, mailing address, telephone number, facsimile number and email address of the representative of the account holder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	Account holder contact information is made public in a report published at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 Article 6 project information provided?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Article 6 project information is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.2.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (a) Project name: a unique name for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Article 6 project name information is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.2.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (b) Project location; the Party and town or region in which the project is located	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Article 6 project location information is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.2.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (c) Years of ERU issuance; the years in which ERU's have been issued as a result of the Article 6 project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Article 6 project information and ERU issuance information is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.2.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (d) Reports; downloadable electronic version of all publicly available documentation relating to the project, including proposals, monitoring, verification and issuance of ERU's, where relevant, subject to the confidentiality provisions in decision 9/CMP.1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc Article 6 project reports are provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 Holding and transaction information provided?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (a) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account at the beginning of the year	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>In its [RESPONSE] the party stated that the number of units in each account is considered confidential for 5 years according to European Commission Regulation Paragraph 7(a) of Annex XVI 2216/2004. .</p> <p>This information is referenced as confidential on the public website by the following statement “Any other information, not included in this page, is kept confidentially”.</p> <p>It is recommended that the Party explicitly state which information is confidential rather than making this general statement.</p>
P1.4.3.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (b) the total quantity of AAUs issued on the basis of the assigned amount pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 and 8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943</p>
P1.4.3.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (c) the total quantity of ERUs issued on the basis of Article 6 projects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (d) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries and the identity of the transferring accounts and registries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains aggregated transaction information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.it/index.php?-1754095943</p> <p>In its [RESPONSE] the party stated that the quantity of units acquired from other registries and the identity of transferring accounts and registries is considered confidential for 5 years according to European Commission Regulation Paragraph 7(d) of Annex XVI No: 2216/2004.</p> <p>This information is referenced as confidential on the public website by the following statement “Any other information, not included in this page, is kept confidentially”.</p> <p>It is recommended that the Party explicitly state which information is confidential rather than making this general statement.</p>
P1.4.3.5	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (e) the total quantity of RMUs issued on the basis of each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.it/index.php?-1754095943</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.6	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (f) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries and the identity of the acquiring accounts and registries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains aggregated transaction information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.it/index.php?-1754095943</p> <p>In its [RESPONSE] the party stated that the quantity of units transferred to other registries and the identity of the acquiring accounts and registries is considered confidential for 5 years according to European Commission Regulation Paragraph 7(f) of Annex XVI No: 2216/2004. .</p> <p>This information is referenced as confidential on the public website by the following statement “Any other information, not included in this page, is kept confidentially”</p> <p>It is recommended that the Party explicitly state which information is confidential rather than making this general statement.</p>
P1.4.3.7	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (g) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled on the basis of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.it/index.php?-1754095943</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.8	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (h) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled following determination by the Compliance Committee that the Party is not in compliance with its commitment under Article 3, paragraph 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.3.9	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (i) the total quantity of other ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.3.10	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (j) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs retired	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943
P1.4.3.11	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (k) the total quantity of ERUs, CERs and AAUs carried over from the previous commitment period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc The 2009 SEF contains this information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.12	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (l) Current holdings of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to publicly available information in document: Accounting of the Kyoto Protocol Units.doc</p> <p>The 2009 SEF contains aggregated unit holding information and is provided at publicly available web site : http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943</p> <p>In its [RESPONSE] the party stated that this information is considered confidential according to European Commission Regulation Paragraph 14(a) of Annex XVI No: 2216/2004. Only the account holder has access to view the current holdings of their account.</p> <p>This information is referenced as confidential on the public website by the following statement "Any other information, not included in this page, is kept confidentially".</p> <p>It is recommended that the Party explicitly state which information is confidential rather than making this general statement.</p>
P1.4.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 48 List of legal entities authorized by Party provided? ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The list of legal entities is published in a report available at: http://www.laaif.lt/index.php?-1754095943

¹ For the purpose of the annual review, the list of accounts assigned to legal entities within the national registry is sufficient

5. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

this section provides information on the completeness of Party's annual submission in addressing the previous annual review recommendations. If the Expert review team has made recommendations in the previous review, this section should list them and party's annual submission is checked if it covers each recommendation.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party covered the recommendation in its submission?	Comment
P1.5.1	Paragraph 143 ... ERT recommends that, should changes be made to the national registry in future, Lithuania report such information, and, where these changes are significant, that the readiness documentation be amended and included in the annual submission	[x] Yes [] No	Party made a significant change in the national registry by migrating software during the reported year and, as per this recommendation, submitted all relevant test and readiness documentation with the annual submission.