

### **United Nations** Climate Change Secretariat

#### **Nations Unies**

Secrétariat sur les changements climatiques

### **UNFCCC ITL Administrator**

### Standard Independent Assessment Report Assessment Report Part 2 - Substance

Reference: IAR/2014/SVWE2/2

**Version number:** 2.0 **State:** Final

Prepared by: Daniela Jennings / RSA Austria Date: July 14, 2014

Reviewed by:

Michael Seifert / RSA Germany

John Bodord / SBA International

John Bedard / SRA International, Inc.

Approved by: Markwin Pieters/UNFCCC

#### **Circulation list**

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Daniela Jennings / Lead Assessor	Federal Environment Agency	Info
Heidi McKenna / SIAR Coordinator	SRA International, Inc.	Info
Titti Norlin / RSA Sweden	Swedish Energy Agency	Info

### **Document change record**

Version	Date	Description
0.1	15/05/2014	Initial Draft
1.0	15/05/2014	Draft 1.0 ready for distribution to
1.1	30/06/2014	Comments from party included
2.0	14/07/2014	Final

# Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P2.0.1	Party name	Sweden	
P2.0.2	Reporting period	2013	
P2.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted:	Information from the ITL Administrator:
	under review	-[NIR] NIR SE Submission 2014.pdf	
		-[NIR ANNEX] NIR SE Submission Annex.pdf	-[SEFCR] SEF_SE_2014_1_21-11-47 3-2-2014CR.xls
		-[Annex 2]	-[RRITL_Reports] SIAR-
		Annex A – CSEUR_DB_model_20140114.pdf	Reports_2013_SE_v.1
		Annex B CR2013-v5.2-6.1.7.1 REPORT-v300.xlxs	
		Annex H test results EU.docx	
		-[RREG] SIAR Reports 2013- SEv1.0.xlsx	
		-[SEF] SEF_SE_2014_1_21-11-47 3- 2-2014.xls	
-[CON] Consultation_form_P1_P2_SE.doc			
P2.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	FCCC/ARR/2013/SWE (28/02/2014)	

# **Table of Contents**

1. I	ntroduction	4
1.1.	Overall assessment	4
1.2.	Summary of findings	5
2. I	dentification of Problems	7
3. I	dentification of Significant Changes	12
4. F	Recommendations	15
4.1.	Previous Expert Review Team recommendations	15
4.2.	Recommendations to address identified problems	16

# 1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 2 report assesses the substance of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

### 1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P2.1.1	Is the information submitted by Party, in relation to its national registry, complete?	[x]Yes []No
P2.1.2	Problem found with Party's national registry?	[x]Yes []No
P2.1.3	Any unresolved problem with Party's national registry?	[ ]Yes [x]No
P2.1.4	Problems identified with the significant changes to the Party's national registry?	[ ]Yes [x]No
P2.1.5	National registry related recommendations from previous annual review were fully addressed?	[x]Yes []No
P2.1.6	Is there any recommendation that needs to be addressed by the Party?	[x]Yes []No

# 1.2. Summary of findings

Ref Nr	Summary of findings		
P2.2.1	1. The information on Kyoto Protocol units has been reported in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and accurate. The national registry continues to fulfill the requirements related to its reporting and accounting of information on Kyoto Proto units, transaction procedures, conformance to the technical standards, security, data integrity and recovery measures.		
	2. Sweden has reported information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in the required SEF tables, as required by decisions 15/CM and 14/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor reviewed the findings included in the SIAR on the SEF and the SEF comparison report. The SIAR v forwarded to the ERT prior to the review, pursuant to decision 16/CP.10.		
	3. Information on the accounting of Kyoto units has been prepared and reported in accordance with section I E of the annex to decis 15/CMP.1, and reported in accordance with decision 14/CMP.1 using the SEF tables.	ion	
	4. Information reported by Party on records of any discrepancies was found to be consistent with information provided to the secretariat the international transaction log (ITL). The SIAR assessor concluded that the Party's records on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol uncontained in its national registry are consistent with corresponding records of the ITL.		
	5. The SIAR assessor finds that the national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accorda with relevant Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties (CMP) to the Kyoto Protocol decisions.		
	6. Party has reported its commitment period reserve in its 2013 annual submission.		
	7. The national registry has not fulfilled the requirements regarding the public availability of information in accordance with section II.E the annex to decisions 13/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor recommends that Party include Reason of confidentiality, Article 6 proj information and Information provided under 13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47, information on cancellation and retirement accounts and Commitment period with which the account is associated.	ject	
	Recommendations		
	8. The assessor notes that Sweden is still partially relying upon public information per 13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 44, with specific reference to paragraph 47 which is not under the party's direct control. The assessor recommends that Sweden include public information directly the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. The assessment of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party.	on	

Ref Nr	Summary of findings	
	recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated of monthly basis).	

# 2. Identification of Problems

The purpose of this section is to identify any problems with the national registry based on the Party's annual submission and transaction log records that may affect the performance of the functions of the national registry pursuant to paragraph 88 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(a)	Assessed in SIAR Part 1.	
	The information is complete and submitted in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(b)	Problem Identified?	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL
	The information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over is consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log;	[ ]Yes [x]No	records.
P2.2.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(c)	Problem Identified?	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL
	The information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions;	[ ]Yes [x]No	records.
P2.2.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(d) The information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and ICERs from the CDM registry is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry;	Problem Identified? [ ] Yes [x] No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(e)  ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? [x]Yes []No	Discrepancies occurred for the Party and a problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs. The Party reported that there was no action taken to correct problems that caused discrepancies from occurring, or to prevent discrepancies from reoccurring. The party explained in [NIR] chapter 12.3, page 472, that the conversion transactions which resulted in final status "terminated" were terminated due to an error in the limit for conversion set in the registry. Therefore there were no actions necessary to address questions of implementation pertaining to transactions.
P2.2.6	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(f)  tCERs and ICERs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? [ ] Yes [x] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to tCERs and ICERS.
P2.2.7	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(g)  The information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year is consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year;	Problem Identified? [ ] Yes [x] No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records and with information submitted in the year prior to the reported year.
P2.2.8	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(h)  The required level of the commitment period reserve, as reported, is calculated in accordance with paragraph 6 of the annex to decision 18/CP.7;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	

	Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2	.9	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(i)  The assigned amount is calculated to avoid double accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2		22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)  A discrepancy has been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log?  [x] Yes [] No	Discrepancies with response code 5061 occurred for the Party
/ type 5061	P2.2.10.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(i)  Verify that the discrepancy has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log?  [x]Yes[]No[]N/A	Discrepancies with DES response code 5061 occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.10.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(ii) Assess whether the same type of discrepancy has occurred previously for that Party;	Has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party?  [ ] Yes [ x ] No [ ]N/A	No discrepancies with DES response code 5061 occurred for the Party in the year previous to the reported year.
discrepand	P2.2.10.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iii) Assess whether the transaction was completed or terminated;	Was the transaction completed or terminated? [x]Yes[]No[]N/A	The discrepant transactions were terminated
Repeat for each discrepancy type	P2.2.10.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iv)  Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy?	Problem that caused the discrepancy corrected? [x]Yes[]No[]N/A	The Party reported that there was no action taken to correct problems that caused discrepancies from occurring, or to prevent discrepancies from reoccurring. The party explained in [NIR] chapter 12.3, page 472, that the conversion transactions which resulted in final status "terminated" were terminated due to an error in the limit for conversion set in the registry. Therefore there were no actions necessary to address questions of implementation pertaining to transactions.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.10.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(v)  Assess whether the problem that caused the discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, issuance, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERS, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and the carryover of ERUs, CERs and AAUs	Discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting?  [ ] Yes [ x ] No [ ]N/A	The reported discrepancies did not impact the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units as the proposed transactions were terminated.

	Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2	.11	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)  Any record of non-replacement has been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Any tCERs or ICERs subject to non-replacement held by Party?  [ ] Yes [x] No	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
er	P2.2.11.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(i)  Verify that the non-replacement has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the transaction log identified the non-replacement?  [ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
Type numb	P2.2.11.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(ii) Assess whether non-replacement has occurred previously for that Party;	Has this type of non-replacement previously occurred for that Party?  [ ] Yes [ ] No [ x ]N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
Repeat for each non-replacement type (incl Type number	P2.2.11.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iii) Assess whether the replacement was subsequently undertaken;	Was the replacement subsequently undertaken? [ ] Yes [ ] No [ x ]N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iv)  Examine the cause of the non-replacement and whether the Party has corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement;	Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement?  [ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
Repeat for each nor	P2.2.11.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation, and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, and the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and if so, initiate a thorough review of the registry system in accordance with part V of these guidelines.	Non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting?  [ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.

### 3. Identification of Significant Changes

The purpose of this section is to identify any **significant changes** in the national registry reported by the Party that may affect the performance of the functions contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the adherence to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant COP/MOP decisions.

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. This section assesses the submitted changes reported by Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of decision 15/CMP.1, and the further guidance elaborated in the Independent Assessment Report common operational procedure.

		Has the Party reported a	Problem Identified with	
Ref Nr	Requirement	change?	the Change?	Comment
P2.3.1	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(a)  The name and contact information of the registry administrator designated by the Party to maintain the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.2	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(b)  The names of the other Parties with which the Party cooperates by maintaining their national registries in a consolidated system	[ ]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.3	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(c)  A description of the database structure and capacity of the national registry.	[ ]Yes [x]No	[ ]Yes [ ]No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

		Has the Party reported a	Problem Identified with	
Ref Nr	Requirement	change?	the Change?	Comment
P2.3.4	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(d)			No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
	A description of how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems for the purpose of ensuring the accurate, transparent and efficient exchange of data between national registries, the clean development mechanism registry and the transaction log (decision 19/CP.7, paragraph 1)	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	
P2.3.5	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(e)			No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
	A description of the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies in the issuance, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and/or RMUs, and replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and of the steps taken to terminate transactions where a discrepancy is notified and to correct problems in the event of a failure to terminate the transactions	[]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	
P2.3.6	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(f) An overview of security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error and of how these measures are kept up to date	[ ]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.7	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(g)  A list of the information publicly accessible by means of the user interface to the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.8	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(h)  The Internet address of the interface to its national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.9	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(i)  A description of measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster	[ ]Yes [x]No	[]Yes []No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.10	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(j)  The results of any test procedures that might be available or developed with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry undertaken pursuant to the provisions of decision 19/CP.7 relating to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems.	[x]Yes []No	[]Yes [x]No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 479, Party states that both regression testing and tests on the new functionality were successfully carried out prior to release of the version to Production. The site acceptance test was carried out by quality assurance consultants on behalf of and assisted by the European Commission; the report is attached as [Annex 2]

### 4. Recommendations

# 4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section assesses Party's response to the previous annual review recommendations.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party acted on recommendation?	Comment
P2.4.1.1	Implement the development of software that will periodically collect the information required by decision 13/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 44, from the CSEUR platform, store this information and make it available to the public from the servers located in Sweden	[x]Yes []No	Party addressed the recommendations to include public information directly on the website controlled by the Party in [NIR] Chapter 14 pages 479-481.
P2.4.1.2	Provide the information on changes in the national registry of the annual inventory submission for the reporting year 2012 elaborated by the EU Commission and make efforts to ensure that the successful implementation of the SIAR recommendations becomes a priority for CSEUR	[x]Yes []No	Party addressed the recommendations to include public information directly on the website controlled by the Party in [NIR] Chapter 14 pages 479-481.
P2.4.1.x		[ ] Yes [ ] No	

### Recommendations to address identified problems

If a problem has been identified earlier in section 2 and 3 or a previous recommendation listed in section 4.1 has not been taken into account, then this section of the report lists a recommendation for each problem to be brought to the attention to the Expert Review Team.

Ref Nr	Recommendation Ref	Recommendation description	Comment
P2.4.2.1	1.4.1, 1.4.1.4-1.4.1.5 2.4.1.1, 2.4.1.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.3.1, 1.4.3.4, 1.4.3.6, 1.4.3.10, 1.4.3.12, 1.4.4	The assessor notes that Sweden is still partially relying upon public information per 13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 44, with specific reference to paragraph 47 which is not under the party's direct control. The assessor recommends that Sweden include public information directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).	Party notes in [CON] The public information is accessible directly on the website controlled by the party. Missing public information will be published on a website controlled by the party, but the function/website is under construction.
P2.4.2.2	1.4.2, 1.4.2.1, 1.4.2.2, 1.4.2.4,	The assessor further recommends that Sweden either provide a specific statement of confidentiality or provide the requested data in an accessible format for public information per 13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 44, with specific reference to paragraph 46.	Party notes in [CON] An explicit reason for confidentiality is now provided on the website.  The SEF files will be published on the website controlled by the party. Other information not provided will be published on a website controlled by the party, but the function/website is under construction.
P2.4.2.3	1.4.1.2, 1.4.1.3	The assessor further recommends that Sweden publish information on cancellation and retirement accounts and the Commitment period with which the account is associated.	Party note in [CON] The SEF files will be published on the website controlled by the party. Other information not provided will be published on a website controlled by the party, but is under construction. Where the information is confidential an explicit reason for confidentiality is provided on the website.
P2.4.2.x			