



UNFCCC ITL Administrator

**Standard Independent Assessment Report
Assessment Report
Part 1 - Completeness**

Reference:	IAR/2014/SWE/1/2	State:	Final
Version number:	2.0	Date:	July 14, 2014
Prepared by:	Daniela Jennings / RSA Austria		
Reviewed by:	Chris Collins / SRA International, Inc. John Bedard / SRA International, Inc.		
Approved by:	Markwin Pieters/UNFCCC		

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Daniela Jennings / Lead Assessor	Federal Environment Agency	Info
Heidi McKenna / SIAR Coordinator	SRA International, Inc. Consultant	Info
Titti Norlin / RSA Sweden	Swedish Energy Agency	Info

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	14/05/2014	Initial Draft
1.0	14/05/2014	Reviewed draft ready to send to Party
1.1	30/06/2014	Comments from party included
2.0	14/07/2014	Final

Summary.

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P1.0.1	Party name	Sweden	
P1.0.2	Reporting period	2013	
P1.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted: -[NIR] NIR SE Submission 2014.pdf -[NIR ANNEX] NIR SE Submission Annex.pdf -[Annex 2] Annex A – CSEUR_DB_model_20140114.pdf Annex B CR2013-v5.2-6.1.7.1 REPORT-v300.xlsx Annex H test results EU.docx -[RREG] SIAR Reports 2013-SEv1.0.xlsx -[SEF] SEF_SE_2014_1_21-11-47 3-2-2014.xls -[CON] Consultation_form_P1_P2_SE.doc	Information from the ITL Administrator: -[SEFCR] SEF_SE_2014_1_21-11-47 3-2-2014CR.xls -[RRITL] SIAR-Reports_2013_SE_v.1
P1.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	FCCC/ARR/2013/SWE (28/02/2014)	

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
1.1. Overall assessment	4
2. Accounting of Kyoto Protocol units	5
3. National Registry Change	8
4. Public information	13
5. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations	28

1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 1 report assesses the completeness of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P1.1.1	Submission complete? Information has been provided pursuant to paragraphs 11 to 18 in section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, paragraph 32 in section II.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the further guidance by the SIAR guidelines?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
P1.1.2	Public Information Provided? Information has been provided pursuant to paragraphs 44 to 48 in section I.E of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No All points were addressed in the Party's response to the draft assessment [CON]. After reassessment only the following parts remain incomplete. P1.4.1, P1.4.3, P1.4.3.1, P1.4.3.4, P1.4.3.6,.P1.3.4.10, P1.4.3.12
P1.1.3	Explanation of how the previous Annual Review recommendations have been addressed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA

2. Accounting of Kyoto Protocol units

The purpose of this section is to indicate whether information has been reported by the Party in its annual submission pursuant to paragraphs 11 to 18 in section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 11 Standard electronic format (SEF)			
P1.2.1	14/CMP.1 annex I. paragraph 3 Has the Party transferred or acquired any Kyoto Protocol units in the reporting period or in any year prior to the reporting year? (If yes, Party is required to submit the SEF report)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party has transferred or acquired Kyoto Protocol units during or prior to the reported period as indicated in [SEF] and [SEFCR].
P1.2.2	15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 11 Has the Party provided a report in the SEF containing the information required by paragraph 11 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1? (Yes is also indicated when the Party has not submitted a SEF and was not required to do so)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party's SEF report [SEF] was made available to assessors by 15/04/2014
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 12 Discrepant transactions			
P1.2.3	Has the transaction log identified discrepancies in transactions proposed by the Party?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified discrepancies with transactions proposed by the Party during the reported period (see [RRITL], Report R-2).
P1.2.4	Has Party reported all discrepancies as required by paragraph 12 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported in [RREG] that discrepancies occurred during the reported period. The information has been verified and matches the ITL records (see [RRITL], report R-2).

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 13 Reversal of storage notifications			
P1.2.5	Has the transaction log issued reversal of storage notifications to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no reversal of storage notifications to the Party's national registry during the reported period (see [RRITL], Report R-3).
P1.2.6	Has Party reported all notifications directing it to replace ICERs in accordance with paragraph 49 of the annex to decision 5/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported that no reversal of storage notifications was addressed to its national registry during the reported period. The information has been verified and matches the ITL records (see [RRITL], report R-3).
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 14 Non-certification notifications			
P1.2.7	Has the transaction log issued non-certification notifications to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no non-certification notifications to the Party's national registry during the reported period (see [RRITL], Report R-3).
P1.2.8	Has the Party reported all notifications to replace ICERs in accordance with paragraph 50 of the annex to decision 5/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported that no non-certification notifications were addressed to its national registry during the reported period. The information has been verified and matches the ITL records (see [RRITL], report R-3).
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 15 Non-replacement			
P1.2.9	Has the transaction log a record of non-replacement for this Party?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL identified no non-replacement notifications to the Party's national registry during the reported period (see [RRITL], Report R-3).
P1.2.10	Has Party reported all non-replacements in accordance with paragraph 56 of the annex to decision 5/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported that no non-replacement notifications were addressed to its national registry during the reported period. The information has been verified and matches the ITL records (see [RRITL], report R-3).

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comments
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 16			
Inconsistencies from discrepant transactions			
P1.2.11	Has the transaction log identified discrepant transactions proposed by the Party that were not terminated or cancelled?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The ITL did not identify discrepant transactions proposed by the Party that were not terminated or cancelled.
P1.2.12	Has Party reported on units that are not valid for use towards compliance with commitments under Article 3, paragraph 1, pursuant to paragraph 43 (b) of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported that its national registry does not hold units that are not valid for use towards compliance with commitments under Article 3, paragraph 1.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 17			
Actions and changes to address discrepancies			
P1.2.13	Has Party reported actions taken to correct any problem that caused a discrepancy to occur, any changes to the national registry to prevent a discrepancy from reoccurring, or the resolution of any previously identified questions of implementation pertaining to transactions?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported that there no actions were taken to correct problems that caused discrepancies from occurring, or to prevent discrepancies from reoccurring. The party explained in [NIR] chapter 12.3, page 472, that the conversion transactions which resulted in final status "terminated" were terminated due to an error in the limit for conversion set in the registry. Therefore there were no actions necessary to address questions of implementation pertaining to transactions.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 18			
CPR Calculation			
P1.2.14	Has Party reported the calculation of its commitment period reserve?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party reported the calculation of its commitment period reserve (CPR) in chapter 12.5, page 474 [NIR].

3. National Registry Change

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.

The baseline for reporting changes of national registry is the information provided by the Party in its last submission.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.1	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(a) Change of name or contact Has the Party reported changes to the name or contact information of the registry administrator?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 477, the Party states that no changes have been made to the name and contact information of the registry administrator.
P1.3.2	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(b) Change of cooperation arrangement Has the Party reported changes to the names of the other Parties with which they cooperate in maintaining their national registry consolidated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 477, the Party states that no changes have been made to its cooperation arrangements.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.3	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(c) Change to the database or the capacity of National Registry</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to the database structure or capacity of the national registry?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>In [NIR] chapter 14, page 477, the Party states that no changes have been made to the database and capacity of its national registry.</p> <p>In [NIR] chapter 14, page 477, the Party states that no changes related to Kyoto functionality have been made to the database and capacity of its national registry.</p> <p>A diagram of the database structure is provided in [Annex 2]</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.4	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(d) Change of conformance to technical standards</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>In [NIR] chapter 14, page 478, the Party states that no changes have been made to the conformance to technical standards by its national registry.</p> <p>In [NIR] chapter 14, page 478, the Party states that changes have been introduced in release 5 and 6 of the national registry were limited and only affected EU ETS functionality. However, each release of the registry is subject to both regression testing and tests related to new functionality. These tests also include thorough testing against the DES and were successfully carried out prior to the relevant major</p>
P1.3.5	<p>15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(e) Change of discrepancies procedures</p> <p>Has the Party reported a change to the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies?</p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>In [NIR] chapter 14, page 478, the Party states that no changes have been made to the procedures employed in its national registry to minimize discrepancies.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.6	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(f) Change of Security Has the Party reported a change to the security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 478, the Party states that no changes have been made to the security measures employed in its national registry.
P1.3.7	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(g) Change of list of publicly available information Has the Party reported a change to the list of publicly accessible information?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 478, the Party states that major changes have been made to the list of publicly available information accessible by means of the user interface of its national registry. The party has included the public information directly on a website controlled by itself.
P1.3.8	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(h) Change of Internet address Has the Party reported a change to the Internet address of the national registry?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 478, the Party states that no changes have been made to the Internet address of its national registry.
P1.3.9	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(i) Change of data integrity measure Has the Party reported a change to the measures ensuring the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 479, the Party states that no changes have been made to the data integrity measures.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete?	Item changed during reported period?	Comments
P1.3.10	15/CMP.1 annex II.E paragraph 32.(j) Change of test results Has the Party reported a change to the results of any test procedures?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In [NIR] chapter 14, page 479, Party states that both regression testing and tests on the new functionality were successfully carried out prior to release of the version to Production. The site acceptance test was carried out by quality assurance consultants on behalf of and assisted by the European Commission; the report is attached as [Annex 2]

4. Public information

This section provides information on the completeness of public information provided pursuant to part E of the annex to 13/CMP.1, paragraphs 44 to 48 inclusive.

Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 requires the national registry to make non-confidential information publicly available.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 Account information provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The information is to be found under:</p> <p>http://www.energimyndigheten.se/en/Sustainability/EU-ETS---Implementation-in-Sweden/EUETS/Reports/ and more precise</p> <p>http://www.energimyndigheten.se/Global/F%3%b6retag/Handel%20med%20uts%3%a4ppsr%3%a4tter/SE-REPORT-ACCOUNT-INFORMATION.XLS</p> <p>The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis). The assessor also recommends that an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the publicly available information is up to date and updated twice a month and that the publishing date is stated in the xls-file. This was checked but could not be confirmed by the assessor in the case of the "Kyoto units in the Swedish Registry"</p> <p>Further [CON] states that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.1.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (a) Account name: the holder of the account	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.
P1.4.1.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (b) Account type: the type of account (holding, cancellation or retirement)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor advises to publish information on cancellation and retirement accounts and the Commitment period with which the account is associated. If the information is considered confidential an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>The Party states in [CON] that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added.</p> <p>Even though the Party did not address the point on cancellation and retirement accounts in [CON] the information is now made available on the website.</p>
P1.4.1.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (c) Commitment period: the commitment period with which a cancellation or retirement account is associated	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor advises to publish information on cancellation and retirement accounts and the Commitment period with which the account is associated. If the information is considered confidential an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>The Party states in [CON] that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added.</p> <p>Even though the Party did not address the point on cancellation and retirement accounts in [CON] the information is now made available on the website.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.1.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (d) Representative identifier: the representative of the account holder, using the Party identifier (the two-letter country code defined by ISO 3166) and a number unique to that representative within the Party's registry	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor advises that if the party considers the information confidential then an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided. The Party states in [CON] that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added.
P1.4.1.5	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 45 (e) Representative name and contact information; the full name, mailing address, telephone number, facsimile number and email address of the representative of the account holder	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor advises that if the party considers the information confidential then an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided. The Party states in [CON] that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added.
P1.4.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 Article 6 project information provided?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The link to the information is password protected. The assessor recommends to either provide a specific statement of confidentiality or provide the requested date in an accessible format. In [CON] the Party states that the page was corrupt and that the link has been updated. The information is now available.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.2.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (a) Project name: a unique name for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The link to the information is password protected. The assessor recommends to either provide a specific statement of confidentiality or provide the requested date in an accessible format. In [CON] the Party states that the page was corrupt and that the link has been updated. The information is now available.
P1.4.2.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (b) Project location; the Party and town or region in which the project is located	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The link to the information is password protected. The assessor recommends to either provide a specific statement of confidentiality or provide the requested date in an accessible format. In [CON] the Party states that the page was corrupt and that the link has been updated. The information is now available.
P1.4.2.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (c) Years of ERU issuance; the years in which ERU's have been issued as a result of the Article 6 project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis). In [CON] the Party states that the page was corrupt and that the link has been updated. The Information is now available.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.2.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 46 (d) Reports; downloadable electronic version of all publicly available documentation relating to the project, including proposals, monitoring, verification and issuance of ERU's, where relevant, subject to the confidentiality provisions in decision 9/CMP.1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The link to the information is password protected. The assessor recommends to either provide a specific statement of confidentiality or provide the requested date in an accessible format</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the page was corrupt and that the link has been updated. The information is now available.</p>
P1.4.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 Holding and transaction information provided?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor advises that if the party considers the information confidential (2010-2013) then an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>The assessor notes that the information for 2008-2009 was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.1	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (a) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account at the beginning of the year	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor advises that if the party considers the information confidential (2010-2013) then an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>The assessor notes that the information for 2008-2009 was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the publishing date is stated in the xls-file. This was checked but could not be confirmed by the assessor in the case of the "Kyoto units in the Swedish Registry".</p> <p>Further [CON] states that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added. Also the information for 2008-2009 is not provided on a website controlled by the party but for this the website is under construction. Also the SEF files will be published in the future.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.2	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (b) The total quantity of AAUs issued on the basis of the assigned amount pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 and 8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).
P1.4.3.3	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (c) The total quantity of ERUs issued on the basis of Article 6 projects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (d) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries and the identity of the transferring accounts and registries	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor advises that if the party considers the information confidential (2010-2013) then an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>The assessor notes that the information for 2008-2009 was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the publishing date is stated in the xls-file. This was checked but could not be confirmed by the assessor in the case of the “Kyoto units in the Swedish Registry”.</p> <p>Further [CON] states that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added. Also the information for 2008-2009 is not provided on a website controlled by the party but for this the website is under construction. Also the SEF files will be published in the future.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.5	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (e) The total quantity of RMUs issued on the basis of each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.6	<p>13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (f)</p> <p>The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries and the identity of the acquiring accounts and registries</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Partial</p>	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor advises that if the party considers the information confidential (2010-2013) then an explicit reason for confidentiality should be provided.</p> <p>The assessor notes that the information for 2008-2009 was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the publishing date is stated in the xls-file. This was checked but could not be confirmed by the assessor in the case of the “Kyoto units in the Swedish Registry”.</p> <p>Further [CON] states that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added. Also the information for 2008-2009 is not provided on a website controlled by the party but for this the website is under construction. Also the SEF files will be published in the future.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.7	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (g) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled on the basis of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).
P1.4.3.8	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (h) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled following determination by the Compliance Committee that the Party is not in compliance with its commitment under Article 3, paragraph 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).
P1.4.3.9	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (i) The total quantity of other ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478. The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.10	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (j) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs retired	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor notes that this public information was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the publishing date is stated in the xls-file. This was checked but could not be confirmed by the assessor in the case of the “Kyoto units in the Swedish Registry”.</p> <p>Further [CON] states that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added. Also the information for 2008-2009 is not provided on a website controlled by the party but for this the website is under construction. Also the SEF files will be published in the future.</p>
P1.4.3.11	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (k) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs and AAUs carried over from the previous commitment period	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor recommends that the publicly available information be up to date (i.e. updated as close to real time as possible, but at least updated on a monthly basis).</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Submission complete	Has party identified this type of information confidential?	Comments
P1.4.3.12	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 47 (l) Current holdings of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Partial	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor notes that this public information was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the publishing date is stated in the xls-file. This was checked but could not be confirmed by the assessor in the case of the “Kyoto units in the Swedish Registry”.</p> <p>Further [CON] states that an explicit reason for confidentiality will be provided on the website. This has been added. Also the information for 2008-2009 is not provided on a website controlled by the party but for this the website is under construction. Also the SEF files will be published in the future.</p>

P1.4.4	13/CMP.1 Annex paragraph 48 List of legal entities authorized by Party provided? ¹	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<p>The Party provides reference to this publicly available information in [NIR] chapter 14, page 478.</p> <p>The assessor notes that this public information was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p> <p>In [CON] the Party states that the list of account holder/legal entities is published on the website controlled by the party.</p> <p>Further in [CON] it is stated that all legal entities authorized to participate in the Swedish national registry under the Kyoto mechanisms, must have a separate holding account for each legal entity according to the Data Exchange Standards (DES). There are no provisions in Swedish law on which Kyoto unit types legal entities are authorised to hold in the Swedish National Registry. The assessor is unsure what the Party wanted to express. However as the party now provides a list of legal entities the assessor can now confirm that the issue is addressed and the submission as complete.</p>
--------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

¹ For the purpose of the annual review, the list of accounts assigned to legal entities within the national registry is sufficient

5. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section provides information on the completeness of Party's annual submission in addressing the previous annual review recommendations. If the Expert review team has made recommendations in the previous review, this section should list them and party's annual submission is checked if it covers each recommendation.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party covered the recommendation in its submission?	Comment
P1.5.1	Recommendation in FCCC/ARR/2013/SWE were addressed in [NIR] chapter 14, pages 479-481	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>The assessor notes that this public information was not provided on a website controlled by the party per Paragraph 44 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.</p> <p>Note that the Party should maintain its own website and have control of the public information. The public information should be accessible directly on the website of the national registry or via a link from the registry website to another website controlled by the Party. For clarity, the Party should not simply reference data published by a third-party such as reports hosted on the UNFCCC website, or the EU ETS.</p>
P1.5.2		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
P1.5.3		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
P1.5.x		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	