United Nations
Climate Change Secretariat

Nations Unies
Secrétariat sur les changements climatiques

UNFCCC ITL Administrator

Standard Independent Assessment Report
Assessment Report
Part 2 - Substance

Reference:	IAR/2014/LTU/2/2		
Version number:	2.0	**State:**	Final
Prepared by:	Sara Prados / RSA Spain	**Date:**	July 11, 2014
Reviewed by:	Chris Collins / SRA International, Inc.		
Approved by:	Markwin Pieters/UNFCCC		

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Sara Prados / RSA Spain	Spanish Climate Change Office	Info
Heidi McKenna / SIAR Coordinator	SRA International, Inc. Consultant	Info
Arvydas Dragunas / RSA Lithuania	Lithuanian Environmental Investment Fund	Info

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	15/05/2014	Initial Draft
1.0	16/05/2014	Reviewed draft ready to send to Party
1.1	07/07/2014	Updated to include Party comments on draft
2.0	11/07/2014	Final

Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P2.0.1	Party name	Lithuania	
P2.0.2	Reporting period	2013	
P2.0.3	Submission under review	Files submitted: -[NIR] LT NIR 2014.pdf -[NIR ANNEX] LI NIR Annexes 2014.pdf [SEF] SEF_LT_2014_1_12-6-35 12-3- 2014.xls [REG CHANGES] Annex A and B.pdf [RESPONSE] LT_Consultation_form_P1&2.doc	Information from the ITL Administrator: -[SEFCR] SEF_LT_2014_1_12-6-35 12-3- 2014CR.xls -[RRITL] SIAR-Reports_2013_LT_v.1
P2.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	The 2013 ARR is not yet published, for purposes of this assessment we will use FCCC/ARR/2012/LTU (08/03/3013) FCCC/ARR/2012/IRL (13/08/13)	

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 2 report assesses the substance of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P2.1.1	Is the information submitted by Party, in relation to its national registry, complete?	**[x] Yes [ ] No**
P2.1.2	Problem found with Party's national registry?	**[ ] Yes [x] No**
P2.1.3	Any unresolved problem with Party's national registry?	**[ ] Yes [x] No**
P2.1.4	Problems identified with the significant changes to the Party's national registry?	**[ ] Yes [x] No**
P2.1.5	National registry related recommendations from previous annual review were fully addressed?	**[x] Yes [ ] No**
P2.1.6	Is there any recommendation that needs to be addressed by the Party?	**[ ] Yes [x] No**

1.2. Summary of findings

Ref Nr	Summary of findings
P2.2.1	1. The information on Kyoto Protocol units has been reported in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and is accurate. The national registry continues to fulfill the requirements related to its reporting and accounting of information on Kyoto Protocol units, transaction procedures, conformance to the technical standards, public availability of information, security, data integrity, and recovery measures. 2. Party has reported information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in the required SEF tables, as required by decisions 15/CMP.1 and 14/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor reviewed the SIAR on the SEF and the SEF comparison report. The SIAR was forwarded to the ERT prior to the review, pursuant to decision 16/CP.10. 3. Information on the accounting of Kyoto units has been prepared and reported in accordance with section I E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1, and reported in accordance with decision 14/CMP.1 using the SEF tables. 4. The SIAR assessor finds that the national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties (CMP) to the Kyoto Protocol decisions. 5. Party has reported its commitment period reserve in its 2013 annual submission. 6. The national registry has fulfilled the requirements regarding the public availability of information in accordance with section II.E of the annex to decisions 13/CMP.1.

Comment [SP1]: Revisa esto

Comment [SP2]: Depends on answer to P 1.2.4.

Comment [SP3]: Should I keep this being only the years of ERU issuance missing?

2. Identification of Problems

The purpose of this section is to identify any problems with the national registry based on the Party's annual submission and transaction log records that may affect the performance of the functions of the national registry pursuant to paragraph 88 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(a) The information is complete and submitted in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	Assessed in SIAR Part 1. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(b) The information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over is consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log;	Problem Identified? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(c) The information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions;	Problem Identified? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(d) The information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and lCERs from the CDM registry is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry;	Problem Identified? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(e) ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	In [RRITL] report R2 shows a discrepancy related to DES response code 5061 which is not within the control limits for the reported year. The Party explains the reasons behind this discrepancy at [NIR] Chapter 12 section 3 and in [RESPONSE].
P2.2.6	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(f) tCERs and lCERs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	The Party has not has held tCERs nor lCERs during the reported year.
P2.2.7	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(g) The information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year is consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year;	Problem Identified? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records and with information submitted in the year prior to the reported year.
P2.2.8	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(h) The required level of the commitment period reserve, as reported, is calculated in accordance with paragraph 6 of the annex to decision 18/CP.7;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.9	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(i) The assigned amount is calculated to avoid double accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	

Comment [SP4]: Should I keep this as a problem?

Ref Nr		Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.10		22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j) A discrepancy has been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? **[x] Yes [ ] No**	In [RRITL] report R2 shows a discrepancy related to DES response code 5061 which is not within the control limits for the reported year. The Party explains the reasons behind this discrepancy at [NIR] Chapter 12 section 3. And in [RESPONSE]
Repeat for each discrepancy type (include Type Number)	P2.2.10.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(i) Verify that the discrepancy has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? **[x] Yes [ ] No [ ]N/A**	See P2.2.10
	P2.2.10.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(ii) Assess whether the same type of discrepancy has occurred previously for that Party;	Has the same type of discrepancy occurred previously for that Party? **[x] Yes [ ] No [ ]N/A**	The same type of discrepancy has occurred previously to the Party according to [RRITL] report R2.
	P2.2.10.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iii) Assess whether the transaction was completed or terminated;	Was the transaction completed or terminated? **[x] Yes [ ] No [ ]N/A**	[RRITL] report R2 shows the discrepant transaction in status “All terminated”.
	P2.2.10.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iv) Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy?	Problem that caused the discrepancy corrected? **[x] Yes [ ] No [ ]N/A**	The Party reported that the discrepancy was caused by a lack of coordination between the increase of the authorized amount for a JI project and the issuance of ERUs related to it. The conversion transaction was sent 40 minutes too early to the ITL.
	P2.2.10.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, issuance, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERS, lCERs, AAUs and RMUs, the replacement of tCERs and lCERs, and the carry-over of ERUs, CERs and AAUs	Discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? **[ ] Yes [x] No [ ]N/A**	See P2.2.10

Ref Nr		Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.11		22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k) Any record of non-replacement has been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or lCERs held by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Any tCERs or lCERs subject to non-replacement held by Party? **[ ] Yes [x] No**	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
Repeat for each non-replacement type (incl Type number	P2.2.11.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(i) Verify that the non-replacement has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the transaction log identified the non-replacement? **[ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A**	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(ii) Assess whether non-replacement has occurred previously for that Party;	Has this type of non-replacement previously occurred for that Party? **[ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A**	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iii) Assess whether the replacement was subsequently undertaken;	Was the replacement subsequently undertaken? **[ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A**	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iv) Examine the cause of the non-replacement and whether the Party has corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement;	Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement? **[ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A**	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation, and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, lCERs, AAUs and RMUs, and the replacement of tCERs and lCERs, and if so, initiate a thorough review of the registry system in accordance with part V of these guidelines.	Non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? **[ ] Yes [ ] No [x]N/A**	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.

3. Identification of Significant Changes

The purpose of this section is to identify any **significant changes** in the national registry reported by the Party that may affect the performance of the functions contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the adherence to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant COP/MOP decisions.

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. This section assesses the submitted changes reported by Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of decision 15/CMP.1, and the further guidance elaborated in the Independent Assessment Report common operational procedure.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.1	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(a) The name and contact information of the registry administrator designated by the Party to maintain the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.2	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(b) The names of the other Parties with which the Party cooperates by maintaining their national registries in a consolidated system	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.3	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(c) A description of the database structure and capacity of the national registry.	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	Changes were reported by the Party for this item, however the changes were limited and only affected EU ETS functionality and therefore are not deemed significant.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.4	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(d) A description of how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems for the purpose of ensuring the accurate, transparent and efficient exchange of data between national registries, the clean development mechanism registry and the transaction log (decision 19/CP.7, paragraph 1)	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	Changes were reported by the Party for this item, however the changes were limited and only affected EU ETS functionality and therefore are not deemed significant.
P2.3.5	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(e) A description of the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies in the issuance, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, lCERs, AAUs and/or RMUs, and replacement of tCERs and lCERs, and of the steps taken to terminate transactions where a discrepancy is notified and to correct problems in the event of a failure to terminate the transactions	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.6	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(f) An overview of security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error and of how these measures are kept up to date	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.7	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(g) A list of the information publicly accessible by means of the user interface to the national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.8	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(h) The Internet address of the interface to its national registry	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.9	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(i) A description of measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.10	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(j) The results of any test procedures that might be available or developed with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry undertaken pursuant to the provisions of decision 19/CP.7 relating to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems.	**[ ] Yes [x] No**	**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	In [NIR] Chapter 14 para 10 page 510, the Party states that both regression testing and tests on the new functionality were successfully carried out prior to release of the version to Production. The site acceptance test was carried out by quality assurance consultants on behalf of and assisted by the European Commission; the report is attached as Annex B. Annex H testing was carried out in February 2014 and the successful test report has been attached".

4. Recommendations

4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section assesses Party's response to the previous annual review recommendations.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (with ref)	Has Party acted on recommendation?	Comment
P2.4.1.1		**[ ] Yes [ ] No**	The 2013 ARR is not yet published. For purposes of this assessment FCCC/ARR/2012/LTU (08/03/3013) will be used: http://unfccc.int/national_reports/annex_i_ghg_inventories/inventory_review_reports/items/6616.php
P2.4.1.2	AAR/2012/LTU- Para 161 – The ERT recommended the Party to implement the recommendations contained in the Standard Independent Assessment Report.	**[x] Yes [ ] No**	The Party submitted the missing documentation to report on the data model after last version releases and the test results against DES of such releases under [REG CHANGES] however the Party didn't mention these recommendations in its submission. The assessor recommends the Party to include in its NIR an explicit reference to the actions taken to address the last ERT recommendations available.

4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems

If a problem has been identified earlier in section 2 and 3 or a previous recommendation listed in section 4.1 has not been taken into account, then this section of the report lists a recommendation for each problem to be brought to the attention to the Expert Review Team.

Ref Nr	Recommendation Ref	Recommendation description	Comment