



UNFCCC ITL Administrator

**Standard Independent Assessment Report
Assessment Report
Part 2 - Substance**

Reference:	IAR/2010/HRV/2/1	State:	Final
Version number:	2.0	Date:	01/08/2011
Prepared by:	Tor Egil Tønnessen Kjenn		
Reviewed by:	John Bedard / SRA International, Inc.		
Approved by:	Heidi McKenna/UNFCCC		

Circulation list

Name/Role	Organization	Info/Action
Tor Egil Tønnessen Kjenn / Assessor	Climate and Pollution Agency, Norway	Action
Bernarda Rozman/RSA Main Contact	Croatian Environment Agency	Action
John Bedard / Reviewer	SRA International, Inc.	Action
Heidi McKenna/Approval	UNFCCC	Action

Document change record

Version	Date	Description
0.1	13/07/2011	Initial draft
0.2	20/07/2011	Review of initial draft
1.0	21/07/2011	Approved Draft 1.0 for party review and comments
2.0	01/08/2011	Party reported no comments to draft, finalized as drafted without changes

Summary

Ref Nr	Description	Value	Comments
P2.0.1	Party name	Croatia	
P2.0.2	Reporting period	2010	
P2.0.3	Submission under review	Files provided by the Party: - [SEF] SEF-HR-2011-1-16-51-46-21-3-2011.xls - [NIR] Croatian NIR 2011.pdf - [REPORTS] SIAR_Reports_2010_HR_v1.xls - [RESPONSE1] SIAR_Part_1_Assessment_Report_HRV_v1.0.pdf	Files provided by the ITL Administrator: [SEFCR] SEF_HR_2011_1_16-51-46+21-3-2011-CR.xls [RRITL] SIAR_Repports_2010_HR_v1.xls
P2.0.4	Previous annual review report reference	FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV (13/04/2011)	

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction..... 4**
 - 1.1. Overall assessment4**
 - 1.2. Summary of findings.....5**
- 2. Identification of Problems..... 6**
- 3. Identification of Significant Changes.....11**
- 4. Recommendations.....14**
 - 4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations14**
 - 4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems.....15**

1. Introduction

The SIAR Part 2 report assesses the substance of a Party's annual submission with regard to its national registry. Each section contains questions related to the specific items to be assessed.

1.1. Overall assessment

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment
P2.1.1	Is the information submitted by Party, in relation to its national registry, complete?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.2	Problem found with Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.3	Any unresolved problem with Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.4	Problems identified with the significant changes to the Party's national registry?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.5	National registry related recommendations from previous annual review were fully addressed?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
P2.1.6	Is there any recommendation that needs to be addressed by the Party?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

1.2. Summary of findings

Ref Nr	Summary of findings
P2.2.1	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The information on Kyoto Protocol units has been reported in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and is accurate. The national registry continues to fulfill the requirements related to its reporting and accounting of information on Kyoto Protocol units, transaction procedures, conformance to the technical standards, security, data integrity and recovery measures. 2. Party has not reported information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in SEF tables. The Party has not transferred or acquired Kyoto Protocol units in the reporting period, and the Party is therefore not required to report information on its accounting of Kyoto Protocol units in the SEF tables as stated in paragraph 3 of Annex 1 to decision 15/CMP.1. The SIAR was forwarded to the ERT prior to the review, pursuant to decision 16/CP.10. 3. Party did not acquire any Kyoto units in the reported year 2010. 4. The Party provided access to information from its national registry that substantiated or clarified the information reported in its annual submission. 5. Croatia reported no change in its national registry compared with the previous annual submission. The SIAR assessor concluded that the Party's national registry continues to perform the functions set out in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1, and continues to adhere to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant CMP decisions. 6. Party reported its commitment period reserve in its 2010 annual submission but the SIAR assessor notes that the Assigned Amount is under review and once this is resolved, it could have an impact upon the CPR. 7. The National Registry has not fulfilled all requirements regarding the public availability of information in accordance with section II.E of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1. The SIAR assessor recommends that Croatia make the required information publicly available once the pending issue on calculation of the assigned amount of Croatia, ref. document FCCC/KP/CMP/2010/2, 19 February 2010, has been resolved, and at the latest when the national registry has transferred or acquired Kyoto Protocol units. <p><u>Recommendations</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 8. The SIAR assessor recommends that Croatia make publicly available the information required under paragraphs 45–48 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, and report, in its next annual submission, any changes to that public information.

Ref Nr	Summary of findings

2. Identification of Problems

The purpose of this section is to identify any problems with the national registry based on the Party's annual submission and transaction log records that may affect the performance of the functions of the national registry pursuant to paragraph 88 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(a) The information is complete and submitted in accordance with section I.E of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and relevant decisions of the COP/MOP;	Assessed in SIAR Part 1. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(b) The information relating to issuance, cancellations, retirement, transfers, acquisitions, replacement and carry-over is consistent with information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transactions log;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(c) The information relating to transfers and acquisitions between national registries is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with information reported by the other Parties involved in the transactions;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(d) The information relating to acquisitions of CERs, tCERs, and ICERs from the CDM registry is consistent with the information contained in the national registry of the Party concerned and with the records of the transaction log, and with the clean development mechanism (CDM) registry;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records.
P2.2.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(e) ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired, or carried over to the subsequent or from the previous commitment period in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records. The Party informs that the assigned amount has not been established (FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV146).
P2.2.6	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(f) tCERs and ICERs have been issued, acquired, transferred, cancelled, retired and replaced, in accordance with the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and the annex to decision 5/CMP.1;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party and no problem has been identified with regard to its transaction procedures related to tCERs and ICERS.
P2.2.7	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(g) The information reported under paragraph 11 (a) of section I.E. in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 on the quantities of units in accounts at the beginning of the year is consistent with information submitted the previous year, taking into account any corrections made to such information, on the quantities of units in accounts at the end of the previous year;	Problem Identified? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Party submitted a SEF which is consistent with the ITL records and with information submitted in the year prior to the reported year.
P2.2.8	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(h) The required level of the commitment period reserve, as reported, is calculated in accordance with paragraph 6 of the annex to decision 18/CP.7;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.9	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(i) The assigned amount is calculated to avoid double accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of the annex to decision 16/CMP.1;	Only assessed by the Expert Review Team. Kept here for completeness	
P2.2.10	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j) A discrepancy has been identified by the transaction log relating to transactions initiated by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Has the discrepancy been identified by the transaction log? [] Yes [x] No	No discrepancies occurred for the Party.
Repeat for each discrepancy type (include Type Number)	P2.2.10.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(i) Verify that the discrepancy has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log; [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.10.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(ii) Assess whether the same type of discrepancy has occurred previously for that Party; [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.10.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iii) Assess whether the transaction was completed or terminated; [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.10.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(iv) Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the discrepancy? [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment
P2.2.10.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(j)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, issuance, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERS, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and the carry-over of ERUs, CERs and AAUs	Discrepancy relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	No discrepancies occurred for the Party

Ref Nr	Requirement	Assessment	Comment	
P2.2.11	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k) Any record of non-replacement has been sent to the Party by the transaction log in relation to tCERs or ICERs held by the Party, and if so the expert review team shall:	Any tCERs or ICERs subject to non-replacement held by Party? [] Yes [x] No	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.	
Repeat for each non-replacement type (incl Type number	P2.2.11.1	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(i) Verify that the non-replacement has occurred and been correctly identified by the transaction log;	Has the transaction log identified the non-replacement? [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.2	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(ii) Assess whether non-replacement has occurred previously for that Party;	Has this type of non-replacement previously occurred for that Party? [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.3	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iii) Assess whether the replacement was subsequently undertaken;	Was the replacement subsequently undertaken? [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.4	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(iv) Examine the cause of the non-replacement and whether the Party has corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement;	Has the Party corrected the problem that caused the non-replacement? [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.
	P2.2.11.5	22/CMP.1 paragraph 88.(k)(v) Assess whether the problem that caused the non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting of Kyoto Protocol units, holding, transfer, acquisition, cancellation, and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and RMUs, and the replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and if so, initiate a thorough review of the registry system in accordance with part V of these guidelines.	Non-replacement relates to the capacity of the national registry to ensure the accurate accounting? [] Yes [] No [x] N/A	No non-replacements occurred for the Party.

3. Identification of Significant Changes

The purpose of this section is to identify any **significant changes** in the national registry reported by the Party that may affect the performance of the functions contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 and the adherence to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems in accordance with relevant COP/MOP decisions.

If a change to a Party's national registry has been identified under paragraph 22 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1 then information relating to this change should be submitted by the Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. This section assesses the submitted changes reported by Party in accordance with paragraph 32 of decision 15/CMP.1, and the further guidance elaborated in the Independent Assessment Report common operational procedure.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.1	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(a) The name and contact information of the registry administrator designated by the Party to maintain the national registry.	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.2	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(b) The names of the other Parties with which the Party cooperates by maintaining their national registries in a consolidated system.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.3	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(c) A description of the database structure and capacity of the national registry.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.4	<p>15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(d)</p> <p>A description of how the national registry conforms to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems for the purpose of ensuring the accurate, transparent and efficient exchange of data between national registries, the clean development mechanism registry and the transaction log (decision 19/CP.7, paragraph 1).</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>No changes occurred for the Party for this item.</p>
P2.3.5	<p>15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(e)</p> <p>A description of the procedures employed in the national registry to minimize discrepancies in the issuance, transfer, acquisition, cancellation and retirement of ERUs, CERs, tCERs, ICERs, AAUs and/or RMUs, and replacement of tCERs and ICERs, and of the steps taken to terminate transactions where a discrepancy is notified and to correct problems in the event of a failure to terminate the transactions.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>No changes occurred for the Party for this item.</p>
P2.3.6	<p>15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(f)</p> <p>An overview of security measures employed in the national registry to prevent unauthorized manipulations and to prevent operator error and of how these measures are kept up to date.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>No changes occurred for the Party for this item.</p>

Ref Nr	Requirement	Has the Party reported a change?	Problem Identified with the Change?	Comment
P2.3.7	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(g) A list of the information publicly accessible by means of the user interface to the national registry.	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.8	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(h) The Internet address of the interface to its national registry.	Not a significant change, left here for completeness		
P2.3.9	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(i) A description of measures taken to safeguard, maintain and recover data in order to ensure the integrity of data storage and the recovery of registry services in the event of a disaster.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item.
P2.3.10	15/CMP.1 paragraph 32.(j) The results of any test procedures that might be available or developed with the aim of testing the performance, procedures and security measures of the national registry undertaken pursuant to the provisions of decision 19/CP.7 relating to the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	No changes occurred for the Party for this item. Party has submitted confidential documents about backup and disaster recovery plans.

4. Recommendations

4.1. Previous Expert Review Team recommendations

This section assesses Party's response to the previous annual review recommendations.

Ref Nr	Recommendation from previous Annual Review report (FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV)	Has Party acted on recommendation?	Comment
P2.4.1.1	FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV 145 a. The ERT reiterates the recommendation of the SIAR from the previous ERT that the Party specifically address the recommendation contained in paragraph 88 of FCCC/ARR/2009/HRV and report on any changes in its national registry in accordance with chapter I.G of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In Croatian NIR_2011 chapter 13 the Party states that no changes have been made in its national registry.
P2.4.1.2	FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV 145 b. The ERT reiterates the recommendation of the SIAR that Croatia provide through its national registry the public information referred to in paragraphs 45–48 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, and report, in its next annual submission, on any changes to that public information.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<p>Croatia has not provided the public information, or any changes to the information referred to in paragraphs 45–48 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1,</p> <p>The Party addresses the recommendation in [RESPONSE1] and states that it will make visible all required public information set out in the paragraphs 44-48 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1.after the pending issue on the calculation of the assigned amount of Croatia FCCC/KP/CMP/2010/2 has been resolved.</p> <p>The issue has not been resolved at this time and should be reiterated for next year.</p>
P2.4.1.3	FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV 145 c. The ERT reiterates a recommendation provided in the SIAR from the previous ERT that Croatia specifically address the recommendation contained in paragraph 85 of the report FCCC/ARR/2009/HRV by providing more complete and detailed information on the Network Time Protocol (NTP) procedure and the disaster recovery plan.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	In chapter 14, table 14.1-1 in the Croatian NIR_2011 the Party reports how this recommendation is addressed.
P2.4.1.4	FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV 147. The ERT recommends that	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	The Party did not report any comments or statements in

	once the assigned amount is established, the CPR be calculated fully in accordance with paragraphs 6 and 8 of the annex to decision 11/CMP.1. Based on the most recently reviewed GHG inventory (31,233.29 Gg CO2 eq.), which includes the calculated adjustments to the emissions in 2008, the ERT calculates the CPR to be 156,166.446 t CO2 eq.		the Croatian NIR_2011 regarding the CPR calculation. The Party informs in the [RESPONSE1] document that the assigned amount has not been established (FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV146). The Party reported its CPR to be 144,327,427.15 tCO2-eq based on the national emissions from the most recent inventory, which is under review (NIR2011).
P2.4.1.5	FCCC/ARR/2010/HRV 149. The ERT recommends that the Party report in its next annual submission any change(s) in its national registry in accordance with chapter I.G of the annex to decision 15/CMP.1.	[x] Yes [] No	In Croatian NIR_2011 chapter 13 the Party states that no changes have been made in its national registry.

4.2. Recommendations to address identified problems

If a problem has been identified earlier in section 2 and 3 or a previous recommendation listed in section 4.1 has not been taken into account, then this section of the report lists a recommendation for each problem to be brought to the attention to the Expert Review Team.

Ref Nr	Recommendation Ref	Recommendation description	Comment
P2.4.2.1	2.4.1.2,	The SIAR assessor recommends that Croatia make the required information publicly available once the pending issue on calculation of the assigned amount of Croatia, ref. document FCCC/KP/CMP/2010/2, 19 February 2010, has been resolved, and at the latest when the national registry has transferred or acquired Kyoto Protocol units.	Croatia has not provided the public information, or any changes to the information referred to in paragraphs 45–48 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, The Party covers the recommendation in [RESPONSE] and has stated that it will make visible all requirements set out in the paragraphs 44–48 of the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 after the pending issue on the calculation of the assigned amount of Croatia FCCC/KP/CMP/2010/2 will be resolved. The issue has not been resolved at this time and should be reiterated for next year.