

**ENFORCEMENT BRANCH
OF THE COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE**

CC-2011-1-12/Romania/EB
2 February 2012



**EMBASSY OF ROMANIA
to Germany**

Address: Dorotheenstr. 92-99, 10117 Berlin

Tel: 0049-30-21239202

Fax: 0049-30-21239199

E-mail: office@rumaenische-botschaft.de

www.berlin.mae.ro

Ambassador

No. 196 /1.02.2012

**Mr. Dan Bondi Ogolla
Secretary to the Compliance Committee
Framework Convention on Climate Change - Secretariat**

Dear Mr. Dan Bondi Ogolla,

On 14 November 2011, during the sixteenth meeting of the Enforcement Branch of the Compliance Committee of the Kyoto Protocol, the Romanian delegation stated that a second progress report of the Section XV(2) Plan of Romania, will be submitted by 1 February 2012. I kindly ask you to transmit for the consideration of the Branch, the attached second progress report drafted by the Romanian authorities. Please note that this report does not include a request for reinstatement of eligibility.

The Romanian delegation would like to make a presentation of the second progress report during the eighteen meeting of the Enforcement Branch, preferably during the last day of the meeting (10 February 2012). The composition of this delegation will be communicated by tomorrow at the latest.

Please accept, dear Mr. Bondi Ogolla, the assurances of my highest consideration.

Lazăr Comănescu

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Lazăr Comănescu', written over the printed name.



GOVERNMENT OF ROMANIA

Second Progress Report on Section XV(2) Plan

**Ref. CC/EB/16/2011/2
CC-2011-1-8/Romania/EB**

1 February 2012

Re: Final decision of the Enforcement Branch of the Compliance Committee. Party concerned Romania. 27 August 2011 (Ref. CC-2011-1-8/Romania/EB); Report of the Sixteenth Meeting of the Enforcement Branch (14-18 November 2011)

Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| I. Introduction | 6 |
| II. Presentation of the second progress report..... | 6 |
| III. Second progress report | 8 |

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description of the abbreviation |
|----------------|--|
| AR | Afforestation/Reforestation |
| ARR 2010 | Report of the individual review of the annual submission of a Party submitted in 2010 |
| ARR 2011 | Report of the individual review of the annual submission of a Party submitted in 2011 |
| ARR 2012 | Report of the individual review of the annual submission of a Party submitted in 2012 |
| BEF | Biomass expansion factor |
| C | Carbon |
| CC | Compliance Committee |
| Ch. | Chapter |
| CMP | Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties |
| DW | Dead Wood |
| EB | Enforcement Branch |
| EC | European Commission |
| EEA | European Environment Agency |
| ERT | Expert Review Team |
| EU-ETS | European Union Emissions Trading Scheme |
| EU-MM | European Union-Mechanism for Monitoring Community greenhouse gas emissions and for implementing the Kyoto Protocol |
| FMP | Forest Management Plans |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas |
| Gov. | Governmental |
| ICAS Bucharest | Forest Research and Management Institute Bucharest |
| ICP | International Co-operative Programme on Assessment and Monitoring of Air Pollution Effects on Forests operating under the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution |
| ICPA | National Research and Development Institute for Soil Science, Agro-chemistry and Environment |
| IFF | Forest Fund Inventory |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| IPCC 1996 | Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories |
| IPGG GPG 2000 | IPCC Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 2000 |
| IPCC GPG 2003 | IPCC Good Practice Guidance for Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry, 2003 |
| IT | Information Technologies |
| KP | Kyoto Protocol |
| LPG | Liquefied Petroleum Gas |
| LT | Litter |
| MEF | Ministry of Environment and Forests |
| NA | Not applicable |

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| NE | Not estimated |
| NFF | National Forest Fund |
| NFI | National Forest Inventory |
| NO | Not occurring |
| NEPA | National Environmental Protection Agency |
| NGHGI | National Greenhouse Gas Inventory |
| NIR | National Inventory Report |
| NS | National System for the estimation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol |
| Procedures and Mechanisms | Procedures and mechanisms related to compliance under the Kyoto Protocol, annex to Decision 27/CMP.1-FCCC/KP/CMP/ 2005/8/Add.3 |
| QA | Quality Assurance |
| QC | Quality Control |
| QI | Questions of Implementation |
| R | Root-to-shoot factor |
| Rules of Procedure | Rules of Procedure of the Compliance Committee of the Kyoto Protocol |
| “Saturday paper” | Problems and Further Questions from the ERT formulated in the course of the 2011 in-depth review of the greenhouse gas inventories of Romania submitted in 2010 |
| SOC | Soil Organic Carbon |
| VFAFF | Forest Vegetation Outside the Forest Fund |

I. Introduction

On 27 August 2011, the EB-CC adopted, by consensus, a final decision concerning Romania. In the decision, the EB-CC concluded, after considering the further written submission and, in this context, the presentation made by the Romanian delegation before it, that there were no sufficient grounds provided in the submission to alter the preliminary finding of the branch of 8 July 2011. Therefore, the EB-CC confirmed, in accordance with para. 8 of section IX, para 1(f) of section X of Procedures and Mechanisms, and rule 22 of the Rules of Procedure, its preliminary finding.

In this preliminary finding, the EB-CC determined that Romania is not in compliance with the Guidelines for national systems for the estimation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks under Article 5, para. 1, of the Kyoto Protocol (annex to decision 19/CMP.1), and hence does not meet the eligibility requirements under Articles 6, 12, and 17 of the KP, to have in place a national system in accordance with Article 5, para. 1, of the Kyoto Protocol, and the requirements and guidelines decided thereunder. Following this determination, in accordance with section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, the EB-CC declared Romania to be in non-compliance, requested Romania to develop a plan referred to in para. 1 of section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, in accordance with the substantive requirements provided in para. 2 of section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, and para. 1 of rule 25 bis of the Rules of Procedure, and submit it within three months to the EB-CC in accordance with para. 2 of section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, and report on the progress of its implementation in accordance with para. 3 of section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, and, finally, suspended Romania's eligibility to participate in the mechanisms in accordance with the relevant provisions under Articles 6, 12 and 17 of the KP.

Romania complied with the final decision of the branch and, on 2 November 2011, submitted the plan requested by the EB-CC, together with the first progress report on the implementation of this plan. On 14 November 2011, the branch reviewed and assessed the plan, and concluded that this document met the requirements of para. 2 section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms and para. 1 of rule 25 bis of the Rules of Procedure. In relation to the progress report, the branch commended Romania for the progress already made.

In accordance with the decision, and with para. 3 of section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, Romania needs to submit progress reports on the implementation of the plan on a regular basis, with the 2nd progress report due by 1 February 2012.

II. Presentation of the second progress report

The 2nd progress report submitted by Romania in accordance with para. 3 of section XV of the Procedures and Mechanisms, and the EB-CC decision on the review and assessment of the plan submitted under paragraph 2 of section XV, includes all measures implemented by Romania since 14 November 2011. In order to ensure coherence of the presentation of efforts undertaken by Romania, measures presented in the first progress report are also included in this second report.

The current progress report also answers to all questions asked by the Branch during the discussion with Romania on 14 November 2011. Thus, the report clearly states that funding for all studies referred to in the plan has been secured from governmental sources, and procurement procedures for those studies that have not been finalised yet are well under way. It should be underlined in this respect that, in accordance with Romanian law on procurement, such procedures can be started only when there are available financial resources.

The progress report also includes a special chapter concerning the relationship between study 2 and study 6. These studies demonstrate the consistent efforts to improve the accuracy, completeness, consistency and transparency of the LULUCF sector reporting. As can be seen from the report, study 2 has corrected most of the issues identified with the LULUCF sector. Study 6 will provide additional details, in order to ensure the best use of available information.

Following another specific inquiry from the Branch, the progress report contains the detailed presentation of the expertise of the new staff employed by NEPA, as well as the allocation of responsibilities with the team, including the new staff. This, together with the allocation of financial resources referred to above, underlines the fact that the Romanian national system is sustainable and stable.

Considering the input of the members of the EB-CC, specific references to the LULUCF matrix and quality management responsibilities of the national inventory agency have also been presented herewith.

The report also shows that the Romanian authorities have followed all recommendations of the ERT formulated in the course of the 2011 review of the greenhouse gas inventories of Romania submitted in 2011.

In conclusion, the Government of Romania considers that the plan and the two progress report submitted clearly show that many problems identified have already been resolved, while the others are in the process of being resolved following a clear schedule. This is a reflection of the substantial progress the Romanian authorities have made during 2011 and the first month of 2012, in the areas of completeness, accuracy and transparency of its NGHGI. Most importantly, the Government of Romania considers that there are no longer unresolved problems pertaining to a language of a mandatory nature, as already revealed by the „Saturday Paper” of 1 October 2011, and trusts that this will be confirmed by the 2011 ARR, as well as by the Branch itself.

**III. Second progress report
on the implementation of the Plan of Romania under paragraph 1 of section XV of Decision 27/CMP. 1, in accordance
with paragraph 3, section XV of Decision 27/CMP. 1 and with paragraph 6 of the Final decision of the Enforcement
Branch of the Compliance Committee (CC-2011-1-8/Romania/EB/27 August 2011)**

Table 1: Progress report of Romania under paragraph 3 of section XV of Decision 27/CMP.1

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| 1. Romania's 2010 annual submission was not sufficiently complete, accurate and transparent, as required by UNFCCC reporting guidelines, IPCC 1996, IPCC GPG 2000, IPCC GPG 2003 | ARR 2010, CC-2011-1-8/Romania/EB/27 August 2011 | | | |
| 1.1 KP Annex A sources | | | | |

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| <p>1.1.1 Accuracy: Tier 1 estimation methods have been exclusively used within the Energy, Agriculture and Waste Sectors; tier 1 method was used to estimate the PFC emissions from Aluminium production (Industrial processes Sector).</p> <p>Decision 19/CMP.1 para. 14 (c), 14 (d) IPCC GPG 2000</p> | <p>ARR 2010, para. 17, 20, 21 (general), para. 58 (Energy), para. 93 (Agriculture), para. 118 (Waste), para 84. (PFC emissions from Aluminium production category- Industrial processes Sector);</p> <p>CC-2011-1-8/Romania/EB/27 August 2011.</p> | <p>Based on NEPA work and on Study 1 outcomes, Tier 2 CO₂ estimates for Public electricity and heat production, Manufacturing industries and constructions, Other sectors and Road transport (based on the COPERT III model use) categories (Energy); Tier 3 CO₂ estimates and Tier 2 PFC estimates associated to the Aluminium production category (Industrial processes); Tier 2 estimates for Managed waste disposal on land category (Waste), have been developed and included within version 2 of 2011 NGHGI.</p> | <p>Version 2 of 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 11 August 2011</p> | <p>QC performed by the contractor (study 1)</p> <p>QA ensured on behalf of the contractor (study 1)</p> <p>QC/peer review ensured by NEPA dedicated team for own results/study 1 results</p> <p>2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011</p> |

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| | | Tier 2 ¹ in majority/Tier 1 ² estimates, for 1989-2010 period, for CO ₂ emissions, and Tier 1 ³ for CH ₄ and N ₂ O emissions, for Public electricity and heat production, Manufacturing industries and construction, Other sectors (Energy); Tier 1a estimates for Ammonia production (Industrial processes); Tier 2/Tier 1 ⁴ /default method ⁵ /Tier 1b with national/default ⁶ parameter values estimates for Enteric fermentation, Manure management and Agricultural soils (Agriculture); Tier 2 estimates for CH ₄ emissions and CO ₂ estimates using the default ⁷ method and national emission factors, for Solid waste disposal on land, and CH ₄ and N ₂ O emissions estimates using the default method ⁸ and national/default ⁹ emission factors, for Wastewater treatment (Waste), based on study 1 , have been developed and included within 2012 NGHGI. | 2012 NGHGI was submitted to the European Commission and European Environment Agency on 15 January 2012. | 2012/2013 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012/2013 |

¹ Considering the results of study 1, for some categories, Tier 3 EFs elaboration began to be analyzed; associated Tier 3 estimates will be incorporated in 2013 NGHGI.

² Considering the results of study 1, Tier 1 estimates are associated to some fuels: crude oil, LPG (relevant to EU-ETS), kerosene type jet fuel, other product, liquid natural gas, naphta, other kerosene, white spirit and SB/SBP, anthracite, subbituminous coal, coke oven coke (relevant to EU-ETS), patent fuel, BKB/PB, coke oven gas, blast furnace gas (relevant to EU-ETS), peat, whose contribution within emissions level is relatively small. For EU-ETS relevant fuels, the amount consumed is very small, the operators being permitted to use default EFs; for all fuels, development through national specific determinations is to be considered.

³ Tier 1a as specified within the First progress report has been replaced with Tier 1, solving an identified error.

Following the conclusions raised by study 1, Tier 2 emissions estimates development through national specific determinations is to be considered.

⁴ Tier 1a as specified within the First progress report has been replaced with Tier 1, solving an identified error.

⁵ Comparing with the First progress report, the default method has been considered, solving an identified error.

⁶ Considering the results of study 1, in some cases, due to the lack of data, national parameter values could not be used.

⁷ Comparing with the First progress report, the default method has been considered, solving an identified error.

⁸ Comparing with the First progress report, the default method has been considered, solving an identified error.

⁹ Following the conclusions of study 1, national parameter values development through specific determinations is to be considered.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| | | <p>Preparation by NEPA of the Terms of References associated to study 5; advancing the procurement procedure.</p> <p>Tier 2 in majority/Tier 1¹⁰ CO₂ emissions estimates and Tier 1¹¹ CH₄ and N₂O emissions estimates, for Railways and Navigation categories (Energy), based on NEPA work and on study 1, have been developed and included in 2012 NGHGI.</p> | <p>Preparation of the Terms of References associated to the study 5: April 2011; advancing the procurement procedure: January 2012.</p> <p>2012 NGHGI has been submitted to the European Commission and European Environment Agency on 15 January 2012.</p> | <p>Check of the Terms of References for Study 5 by MEF/NEPA</p> <p>2012/2013 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012/2013</p> |
| 1.1.2 Completeness: categories whose associated emissions are not estimated when relevant methodologies exists (NEs) can be found on an important scale | | | | |

¹⁰ Based on the results of study 1, Tier 1 estimates are associated for the 1992-2009 period and for Railways category, to solid biomass-wood, and for 1993-2008 period and Navigation category, to other fuels-lubricants; the contribution within associated emissions level is relatively small (the amount consumed is relatively small), while development through national specific determinations is to be considered

¹¹ Following the conclusions of study 1, Tier 2 emissions estimates development through national specific determinations is to be considered.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| <p>Energy sector (including adjustment for venting and flaring in oil production)</p> <p>IPCC 1996 Decision 20/CMP.1 (Guidance on methodologies for adjustment)</p> | <p>ARR 2010, para. 10-11</p> <p>ARR 2010, para. 132-140 (adjustment)</p> | <p>Estimating for the first time emissions in Oil production, Oil transport, Natural gas production/processing and Natural gas transmission categories of the Fugitive emissions subsector; incorporation the estimates into version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI.</p> <p>Comparing with version 3.2 of the 2010 NGHGI, the characterization of NEs has been further improved within the version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI based on NEPA work; the number of NEs decreased with 24 for the last characterized year (2008, associated to the version 3.2 of the 2010 NGHGI and, respectively, 2009, associated to the version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI), from 88 to 64.</p> <p>Comparing with version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI, the characterization of NEs has been further improved within the version 2 of the 2011 NGHGI, based on NEPA work; the number of NEs decreased with 20 for 2009 year, from 64 to 44.</p> <p>Comparing with version 2 of the 2011 NGHGI, the characterization of NEs has been further improved within the 2012 NGHGI, based on NEPA work; the number of NEs decreased with 38 for the last characterized year (2009, associated to the version 2 of the 2011 NGHGI and, respectively, 2010, associated to the 2012 NGHGI), from 44 to 6.</p> | <p>Version 1 of 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 April 2011</p> <p>Version 2 of 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 11 August 2011</p> <p>2012 NGHGI was submitted to the European Commission and European Environment Agency on 15 January 2012.</p> | <p>QC performed by NEPA</p> <p>QA under the EU-Monitoring Mechanism (EU-MM)</p> <p>2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011</p> <p>2012 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012</p> |

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| Industrial Process IPCC 1996, IPCC GPG 2000 | ARR 2010, para. 10 | <p>Comparing with version 3.2 of the 2010 NGHGI, based on NEPA work, the characterization of NEs was further improved within the version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI; the number of NEs decreased with 45 for the last characterized year (2008, associated to the version 3.2 of the 2010 NGHGI and, 2009, associated to the version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI), from 81 to 36.</p> <p>Analyze of improving the characterization of NEs within the 2012 NGHGI, compared to the version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI. As a result the number of NEs for the last characterized year (2009, associated to the version 1 of the 2011 NGHGI and, 2010, associated to the 2012 NGHGI), remained constant¹².</p> | <p>Version 1 of 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 April 2011</p> <p>2012 NGHGI was submitted to the European Commission and European Environment Agency on 15 January 2012.</p> | <p>QC performed by NEPA;</p> <p>QA under the EU-MM</p> <p>2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011</p> <p>2012/2013 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012/2013</p> |
| 1.1.3 Transparency | | | | |
| Energy sector: sub-categories less detailed than, and inconsistent with, energy balance IPCC GPG 2000 | ARR 2010, para. 57, 71 | Improvement of the Energy Sector transparency, including through the extended use of detailed data in the Energy Balance and through the inclusion in the NIR ¹³ of the disaggregated data on transport and on other fuels and other petroleum oil, data provided by the National Institute for Statistics. | 2012 NGHGI was submitted to the European Commission and European Environment Agency on 15 January 2012. | <p>QC performed by NEPA</p> <p>QA under EU-MM</p> <p>2012 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012</p> |

¹² Out of 36 NEs, 33 are represented by categories for which no default estimation method/EF are available, their characterization not being mandatory. Data to support the characterization of the remaining categories were not available; further collection of data is implemented in 2012, the results will be incorporated in 2013 NGHGI.

¹³ All transport data have been used in estimating the emissions and are subject to several NIR associated reports.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| Industrial Processes: trend explanation missing IPCC GPG 2000 | ARR 2010, para. 77, 83 | The emissions and emission factors trend explanations was improved in the NIR, as part of the version 3 of NGHGI 2011. | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011 | QC performed by NEPA 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011 |
| Agriculture: trend explanation missing IPCC GPG 2000 | ARR 2010, para. 90 | The activity data trend explanation was improved in the NIR, as part of the version 3 NGHGI 2011. | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011 | QC performed by NEPA 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011 |
| Agriculture: justification missing for selection of default EFs for developing countries IPCC GPG 2000 | ARR 2010, para. 98, 101 | The documentation of EFs was improved within the NIR, part of the version 3 of the NGHGI 2011. | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011 | QC performed by NEPA 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011 |
| Waste: explanation of trends IPCC GPG 2000 | ARR 2010, para. 119, 125 | Improving the documentation of parameters, their yearly variation and foreseen improvements, within the NIR ¹⁴ . | 2012 NGHGI was submitted to the European Commission and European Environment Agency on 15 January 2012. | QC performed by NEPA QA under EU-MM 2012 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012 |

¹⁴ All improved documentations are part of the 2012 NGHGI submitted on 15 January 2012.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| 2. The NS of Romania did not perform some of the specific functions required by the guidelines for NS | ARR 2010, CC-2011-1-8/Romania/EB/27 August 2011 | | | |
| Institutional arrangements: failure to collect required data Decision 19/CMP.1 para. 14 (c) | ARR 2010, para. 27, 178, 185 | <p>The following progresses have been achieved in updating the NEPA NS/NGHGI dedicated Structure as per the timetable in the column herewith:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - governmental approval during June-July 2011 for establishing a new unit at NEPA specifically dedicated for administrating the NS and the NGHGI and allowing for an increased staffing, from 5 to 16; - employment of additional staff ¹⁵(11 people). The allocation of attributions/responsibilities across the whole team and the expertise of new staff are presented in Tables 3.1 and 3.2; - ensuring appropriate working space and facilities; - ensuring necessary IT equipment through the support of study 4; | <p>Governmental approval: June-July 2011</p> <p>Employment of additional staff: August 2011</p> <p>Ensuring appropriate working conditions and IT equipment: August 2011</p> <p>Training of all staff using the UNFCCC Secretariat and GHG management reviewer training courses, under the study 3 and based on the collaboration with European Environment Agency and European Topic Centre for Air pollution and Climate change Mitigation: 3 October¹⁶-31 December 2011</p> | <p>MEF and NEPA administrate the update of the NEPA NS/NGHGI dedicated Structure</p> <p>Peer review of study 4 results implemented by NEPA</p> <p>2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011</p> |

¹⁵ The stability of the team is ensured through the stability of the structure, part of a public institution, NEPA, and also by ensuring on a permanent basis the financial resources for the dedicated team. The experts are civil servants being employed on a permanent basis.

¹⁶ Please note that compared with the First progress report, the deadline "September-October 2011" was clarified to "3 October".

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|-----------------|---|--|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| | | <p>- adequate training of all staff using the UNFCCC Secretariat and GHG management reviewer training courses, under the study 3 and based on the collaboration with European Environment Agency and European Topic Centre for Air pollution and Climate change Mitigation; training was based on the Schedule for training of new staff part of the NEPA team dedicated to the administration of the NS and the NGHGI, respectively (Table 4)</p> <p>In addition to the planned measures, the NEPA personnel administrating the NGHGI Energy Sector received technical assistance from the Environment Agency of Austria; the results are incorporated in the NGHGI 2012.</p> <p>Update of the NS, through the update of the Gov. Decision no. 1570/2007 on establishing the NS, as outcome of study 3; results are incorporated in the 2012 NGHGI.</p> <p>Beginning of elaboration ¹⁷of elements on updating the informational fluxes related to NGHGI under the study 4.</p> | <p>Update of the NS, through the update of the Gov. Decision no. 1570/2007 on establishing the NS, as outcome of study 3: October 2011-February 2012; results obtained due to the improvement in staffing are incorporated in 2012 NGHGI which was submitted to the EC and EEA on 15 January 2012.</p> <p>Beginning of elaboration of elements on updating the informational fluxes related to NGHGI under the study 4: January 2012</p> <p>Technical assistance provided to the NEPA personnel administrating the NGHGI Energy Sector by the Environment Agency of Austria: 14 November-31 December 2011; 2012 NGHGI has been submitted to the EC and EEA on 15 January 2012.</p> | <p>2012/2013 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012/2013</p> |

¹⁷ Intermediary/final results will be provided by May/September 2012; the updated informational fluxes will support the preparation of the 2013 NGHGI.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| Inventory preparation: failure to ensure that appropriate methods are used for key categories Decision 19/CMP.1 para. 14 (b) | ARR 2010, para. 27, 178, 185 | Please consider the elements under item 1.1.1 | | |
| Inventory preparation: lack of accuracy (as a consequence of above) | ARR 2010, para. 187 | Please consider the elements under item 1.1.1 | | |
| Inventory preparation: improvements based on previous plans and ERT recommendations are mostly not implemented | ARR 2010, para. 50, 53, 190 | Please consider the elements under items 1.1.1-1.1.3. | | |

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| Adequacy of funding to improve the national system through the planned studies is unclear to ERT | ARR 2010, para. 31, 50, 191 | The presentation of funding dedicated to strengthening of the NS has been improved within the NIR, part of the version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI. Moreover, funding for all studies included in Table 2 has been secured by the Government, intermediary/final results of studies 1-3 have already been incorporated in versions 2 and 3 of the 2011 NGHGI; specific elements also comprise: - study 4: ongoing; - study 5 – enclosed in 2012 budget plan of the Ministry of Environment and Forests; - study 6 – funding provided through Governmental Decision 942/2011. | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011. December 2011 October 2011 | 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011 |

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| The Party did not indicate any specific changes to the NS that are likely to ensure its proper functioning in the near future | ARR 2010, para. 190 | The presentation of changes to the NS made to ensure its proper functioning in the future was improved in version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI. | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011. | 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011 |
| Preparation of the KP-LULUCF information/data-accuracy: a Tier 1 method was used to estimate the emissions/removals associated to the Forest Management activity, a key category IPCC GPG 2003 Decision 19/CMP. 1 para. 14 (f) | ARR 2010, para. 21, 27 (general), para. 153 (info under KP), para. 186 (QI) | Development of Tier 2 estimates ¹⁸ for Forest Management activity, based on study 2 ; estimates have been incorporated in version 3 of the NGHGI 2011. The elaboration of Tier 2 estimates has been supported by an improved land use change matrix (supporting also the consistency between the UNFCCC and KP estimates) developed within the study 2 ¹⁹ and by an improved quality management performed by study 2 contractor and NEPA. Preparation by NEPA of the Terms of References associated to the study 6 ²⁰ ; advancing the procurement procedure. | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011 Preparation of the Terms of References associated to the study 6 is underway, based on the follow up and completion objectives of Study 2 results and ARR 2011 outcomes. Deadline: March 31, 2012. | QC ensured by the contractor of study 2 Peer review of the study 2 results ensured by NEPA 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper", ARR 2011 Check of the study 6 related draft Terms of References by MEF/NEPA 2013/2014 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2013/2014 |

¹⁸ Considering the results of study 2, Tier 2 estimates associated to the litter, dead wood and soil pools are subject of development within study 6, based also on progress achieved in the elaboration of the new National Forest Inventory:

- DW, LT-the preparation of Tier 3 estimates has begun; the results will be available by the end of 2013, and will be incorporated in the 2014 NGHGI;
- SOC-mineral soils- the preparation of Tier2/Tier 3 estimates has begun; the results will be available by end of 2013, and will be incorporated in the 2014 NGHGI;
-SOC-organic soils- the preparation of Tier 2 estimates has begun; the results will be available by the end of 2013 and will be incorporated in the 2014 NGHGI.

¹⁹ The progresses expected following the implementation of study 2 are presented in Table 5.

²⁰ Progresses due to the implementation of study 6, compared the outcomes of study 2 are presented in Table 6.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| <p>Preparation of the KP-LULUCF information/data-completeness: not all C pools are estimated while no demonstration that these pools are not net sources is available</p> <p>IPCC GPG 2003 Decision 19/CMP. 1 para. 14 (f) Decision 15/CMP.1 (para. 6 (e) to Annex) Decision 16/CMP. 1 (para. 21)</p> | <p>ARR 2010, para. 21, 27 (general), para. 142 (info under KP), para. 186 (QI)</p> | <p>Tier 1 estimates associated to the carbon stock in litter and dead wood pools for Forest Management activity, and in mineral soils pool for Revegetation activity, based on study 2 developed and incorporated in version 4 of the 2011 NGHGI²¹.</p> <p>Updating the NEPA NS/NGHGI dedicated structure according to the elements within first item in point 2.</p> | <p>Version 4 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat and to ERT on 14 November 2011</p> <p>Updating the NEPA NS/NGHGI dedicated structure according to the first item in point 2.</p> | <p>2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011</p> <p>2012 review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2012</p> <p>MEF and NEPA administrate the update of the NEPA NS/NGHGI dedicated structure</p> |

²¹ Version 4 of the 2011 NGHGI comprises all elements of the responses provided to the potential problems the ERT has listed in the "Saturday paper" following the 2011 in-country review.

| Description of non-compliance issue | | Remedy the non-compliance issue | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Issue, including the reference to relevant requirement(s) | Where described | Measure(s) implemented | Timetable for implemented measure(s) | Control/review of the results |
| Preparation of the KP-LULUCF information/data-transparency: the associated information/data presented are insufficient IPCC GPG 2003 Decision 19/CMP.1 para. 14 (f) | ARR 2010, para. 144, 145 (Forest Management and Revegetation), para. 150 (Afforestation) | The transparency of the KP-LULUCF related information/data has been improved in the NIR, part of the version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI, based on the study 2 . | Version 3 of the 2011 NGHGI was submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat on 15 September 2011 | QC ensured by the contractor of study 2 Peer review of the study 2 results ensured by NEPA 2011 in-country review/"Saturday paper" and ARR 2011 |

Table 2: Studies addressing the unconformities in ARR 2010

| No. | Study title | Objectives | Contractor | Status of implementation | Deadline final results |
|-----|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. | “Elaboration/documentation of national emission factors/other parameters relevant to NGHGI Sectors Energy, Industrial Processes, Agriculture and Waste, values to allow for the higher Tier calculation methods implementation” | Improving the accuracy in key categories estimates, as previously presented | SC ISPE (Institute for Studies and Power Engineering) SA | Finalized | 31 October 2011 |
| 2. | “NGHGI LULUCF both under the UNFCCC and KP obligations” | Improving the accuracy, completeness, consistency and transparency of the LULUCF Sector | ICAS Bucharest | Finalized | 31 October 2011 |
| 3. | “Support for the implementation of the European Union requirements on the monitoring and reporting of the carbon dioxide (CO ₂) and other greenhouse gas emissions” | Strengthening the NS, including in respect to data collection | SC ISPE SA | Finalised | 30 November 2011 |
| 4. | “Environmental Integrated Informational System” | Optimizing the informational fluxes related to the NGHGI, including: - data collection from the operators for the Electricity and heat production category (Energy); - data collection from public authorities. | SC Asesoft International SA-SC TeamNet International SA-SC Star Storage SRL consortium | On-going | September 2012 |
| 5. | “Development of historical data, for the period 1989-2010, for allowing to estimate direct and indirect GHG emissions from Road Transport using the COPERT 4 model associated to the Tier 2 approach” | Increasing the accuracy of the Road transport estimates, using the COPERT 4 model | To be determined | Terms of References are prepared | 31 October 2012 |
| 6. | “NGHGI LULUCF both under the UNFCCC and KP obligations” | Improving the accuracy, completeness, consistency and transparency of the LULUCF Sector | To be determined | Terms of References under preparation ²² | 31 October 2012 ²³ |

²² Final version of TOR depending on the follow up on Study 2 results and ARR 2011 outcomes

²³ Depending on the results of the study in 2012, extension of the contract/new procurement may be considered for 2013

Table 3.1: Allocation of attributions/responsibilities to the team dedicated to the administration of the NS/NGHGI

| 16 staff part of the NS (SNEEGES) Service (part of the Climate Change, Sustainable Development Directorate) responsible with NS/NGHGI administration | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Team size (staff) | Responsibilities | Name | Allocation of responsibilities to new staff, as of |
| 4 | Administration of Energy Sector, including the Transport Subsector; implementing relevant sectoral activities associated to: key category analysis, uncertainty analysis, QA/QC, data/information archiving; implementing all relevant sectoral activities. | Cristina Djano Gherghița Nicodim Pompilia State Evelina Dascălu | September 2011 |
| 3 | Administration of Industrial Processes and Solvents and Other Product Use Sectors; implementing all relevant sectoral activities. | Mihaela Smarandache Maria Letiția Stanciu Adarciza Brulea | September 2011 |
| 2 | Administration of Agriculture Sector; implementing all relevant sectoral activities. | Luminița Olteanu Corina Stanciu | September 2011 |
| 3 | Administration of LULUCF Sector; implementing all relevant sectoral activities. | Izabela Franga Cătălin Dulgheru Cătălina Chivu | September 2011 |
| 2 | Administration of Waste Sector; implementing all relevant sectoral activities. | Ramona Niculescu Brîndușa Paciurea | September 2011 |
| 1 | Administration of the uncertainty data/information associated to the NGHGI. | Adrian Cioroiu | September 2011 |
| 1 | Coordinating the team/activities relevant to the NIS/NGHGI administration; coordinating the QA/QC activities; manager of the archiving system. | Sorin Deaconu | September 2011 |

Table 3.2: Expertise of new staff employed by NEPA, part of the team dedicated to the administration of the NS/NGHGI

| No. | Name | Expertise |
|------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1. | Gherghița Nicodim | Licensed in Energetic Engineering, Industrial Energetic Specialization, extended working experience in research (as scientific researcher) and development of electronic products, significant experience in international projects |
| 2. | Pompilia State | Licensed in Engineering, Hydro-technical Construction Specialization, extended working expertise as scientific researcher in environment protection and water management, significant experience in international projects |
| 3. | Evelina Dascălu | Licensed in Engineering, Technology and Metallurgy field, Master's degree in Public Administration and European Integration, significant working experience in public administration |
| 4. | Maria Letiția Stanciu | Licensed in Physical Chemistry, Physics field, Master's degree in Crystalline Materials Physics, Postgraduate degree in Informatics, work experience in public educational system |
| 5. | Adarciza Brulea | Licensed in Chemistry, Physical Chemistry Specialisation, significant working experience as researcher/chemist within the environmental protection field |
| 6. | Luminița Olteanu | Licensed in Agriculture, Master's degree in Intensive environmentally friendly technologies for field crops, Doctor in Agriculture, Phytotechnic Specialization, significant working experience within environmental protection as part of public administration, working experience as agricultural engineer |
| 7. | Corina Stanciu | Licensed in Agriculture, Master's degree in Integrated processing and agro-food additive, Postgraduate degree in Informatics, work experience in education field |
| 8. | Cătălin Dulgheru | Licensed in Engineering, Horticulture Specialization, Master's degree in Integrated Horticultural Technologies, significant working experience within the public administration dealing with environment protection issues, working experience as horticultural engineer |
| 9. | Cătălina Chivu | Licensed in Public Management, Master's degree in Public Administration and European Integration, significant working experience in the public administration dealing with environment protection issues, as well as in database management |
| 10. | Brîndușa Paciurea | Licensed in Environmental Engineering, expertise in developing databases |
| 11. | Adrian Cioroiu | Licensed as Mechanical Engineer, Mechanical Engineering - Welding Equipment and Technology Speciality, extended experience as engineer |

Table 4: Schedule of training of new staff part of the NEPA team dedicated to the administration of the NS and NGHGI

| No. | Activity | Period/Deadline | Persons subject to training | Responsible persons | Documents to be considered |
|-----|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 1. | Improving the technical knowledge based on international and national documents related to the National System for Estimating the Greenhouse Gas Emissions/Removals (NS) and the Greenhouse Gas Inventory (NGHGI) | 1 September 2011-10 March 2012 | All new Sectoral Experts (SEs) | GHG Inventory coordinator | Governmental Decision (GD) no. 1570/2007, Ministry of Environment Order (MoEO) no. 1376/2008 for approving the Procedure on NGHGI reporting and the modality for answering to the observations and questions raised following the NGHGI review; MoEO no. 1474/2008 for approving the Procedure on processing, archiving and storage of data specific to the NGHGI; NEPA's President Decision no. 23/2009 for approving the Procedure on selection of the estimation methods and of the emission factors needed for the estimation of the GHG levels; NEPA's President Decision no. 24/2009 for approving the QA/QC Procedure related to the NGHGI, National Inventory Report-Romanian version-NGHGI 2009, NGHGI 2011, 2010, 2009, Updated UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories following incorporation of the provisions of decision 14/CP.11 (UNFCCC Reporting Guidelines), IPCC good practice guidance (IPCC GPG 2000), IPCC good practice guidance for LULUCF (IPCC GPG 2003), IPCC 1996 |
| 2. | Training in the context of the study "Support for the implementation of the European Union requirements on the monitoring and reporting of the carbon dioxide (CO ₂) and other greenhouse gas emissions" | 31 October 2011 | All new SEs | GHG Inventory coordinator | UNFCCC Secretariat and GHG Management Institute on-line training courses, IPCC GPG 2000, IPCC GPG 2003, IPCC 1996 |
| 3. | On-line UNFCCC Secretariat and GHG Management Institute reviewer training courses | 3 October-31 December 2011 | All new SEs | GHG Inventory coordinator | IPCC GPG 2000, IPCC GPG 2003, IPCC 1996 |
| 4. | Training provided by the - European Environment Agency and European Topic Centre for Air pollution and Climate change Mitigation in respect to Energy, Industrial processes, Solvents and other product use and Waste NGHGI Sectors; | 15 October-31 December 2011 | All new SEs | GHG Inventory coordinator | IPCC GPG 2000, IPCC GPG 2003, IPCC 1996 |

| | | | | | |
|----|---|------------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| | - European Commission-Joint Research Centre, in respect to the Agriculture and Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) Sectors | | | | |
| 5. | Implementing together with the more senior staff, based on a sectoral approach, all activities pertaining to the NS and NGHGI administration, including the activities related to NGHGI preparation plan and NGHGI improvement plan | 1 September 2011-10 May 2012 | All new SEs | GHG Inventory coordinator, QA/QC coordinator, older SEs | All documents at point 1, as well as other relevant documents |

Table 5: Progresses achieved following the implementation of study 2 compared to 2010 NGHGI

| | |
|--|---|
| Forest representation | With the 2009 NGHGI resubmission in 2011 a consistent land use change matrix for 1989-2010 was developed. This resulted in reporting of all land use sub-categories, with 20 years transition period for lands under various conversions. The land matrix relies on national land statistics and other sources of information that allow consistent time series of activity data for all land categories while also meeting identification and traceability requirements for reporting the Kyoto activities. |
| 5A1 – Forest Land remaining Forest Land | Activity data results from the land matrix. In line with the national legislation, the area reported under 5A1 consists of the National Forest Fund (NFF) and at the request expressed during the 2011 review, also the area of Forest Vegetation Outside the Forest Fund (VFAFF), which according the national land law, falls outside grasslands and farmland. For this land category the land is represented according to Approach 2 of IPCC. |
| | For C stock change in Living biomass, the default (i.e. gain-loss) method is used with country specific data, involving growth data computed from annual increment from the 1985 forest inventory. Losses are computed from national annual harvest statistics. The previous overestimation of annual removal figures because of incorrect use of BEF was corrected. BEF was actually excluded from the calculation of annual growth for all tree species, because the Romania’s yield table includes the whole standing volume, stem and branches respectively, and this applies to annual increment, too. This correction generated a reduction of the previously computed annual sink by some 25 %. Nevertheless, the recalculation has no impact on the trend. A second correction was made by removing from calculation of the loss in living biomass the previously used parameter "fraction of biomass residues", as annual harvest of wood reported by national statistics includes the entire standing wood volume. The effect of this correction led to additional decrease of the previously computed sink by some 10%. This recalculation has also no impact on the trend. Values of root-to-shoot factor (R) were reduced compared to the IPCC default values. These are based on data from a national research study (Giurgiu, et al, 2004, Forest Measurement Methods and Tables). The recalculation has little impact on annual CO ₂ removal by living biomass and no impact on the trend. |
| | Organic soils under Forestland were also reported in 2011. Areas of organic soils are reported based on the forest management database, with IPCC default emission factor. |
| 5A2 – Land converted to Forest Land | Data was reorganized in order to match the national data with Marrakesh Accord definitions of Afforestation/Reforestation and Revegetation (to be supplementary reported under the Kyoto Protocol). Updated emission factors have been provided by the currently implemented AR Joint Implementation project. |

| | |
|--|--|
| 5B – Cropland | Starting with the 2011 submission, a land use change matrix is available, which has led to the re-calculation of all activity data and emission factors compared to previous submissions. Land is represented under Approach 1 of IPCC. |
| | GHG emissions/removals are estimated. Emissions are computed for perennial croplands (i.e. vineyards and orchards) and the area eligible as activity of Revegetation. For the first category IPCC default factors are used (Tier 1), for the second category country specific data based on country specific information from AR activity (Tier 2). |
| 5C – Grassland | Beginning with the 2011 submission, a land use change matrix is available, which has led to the re-calculation of all activity data and emission factors compared to the previous submissions. Land is represented under Approach 1 of IPCC. |
| | GHG emissions/removals are estimated for this category for the first time. Under 5C1, the C stock change in biomass is reported as NO (Tier 1) and soils are not yet estimated (Tier 1). C stocks associated with 5C2 are reported based on national C stock reference values (Tier 2). |
| 5D – Wetlands | Beginning with the 2011 submission, a land use change matrix is available, which has led to the re-calculation of all activity data and emission factors compared to previous submission. Land is represented under Approach 1 of IPCC. |
| | GHG emissions/removals are estimated for this category for the first time. C stock change in biomass and soils under 5D1 are reported as NO (Tier 1). C stocks associated with 5D2 are reported based on national C stock reference values (Tier 2). |
| 5E – Settlements | Beginning with the 2011 submission, a land use change matrix is available, which has led to the re-calculation of all activity data and emission factors compared to previous submissions. Land is represented under Approach 1 of IPCC. |
| | GHG emissions/removals are estimated for this category for the first time. C stock change in biomass and soils under 5E1 are reported as NO (Tier 1). C stocks associated with 5D2 are reported based on national C stock reference values (Tier 2). |
| 5F – Other land | Beginning with the 2011 submission, a land use change matrix is available, which has led to the re-calculation of all activity data and emission factors compared to previous submissions. Land is represented under Approach 1 of IPCC. |
| | GHG emissions/removals are estimated for this category for the first time. C stock change in biomass and soils under 5F1 are reported as NO (Tier 1). C stocks associated with 5F2 are reported based on national C stock reference values (Tier 2). |
| GHG sources in Tables 5(III), 5(IV) | GHG emissions are newly estimated and reported for some GHG source categories (except of emissions from biomass burning Table 5(V) which was also reported previously). Activity data for the estimation of 'CO ₂ emission from lime application' became available for the entire time series. 'N ₂ O emissions from disturbance associated with conversions of land categories to cropland' was also reported based on activity data resulted from land use matrix for both conversions from Wetland. |
| | Emission factors are the IPCC default ones. |

| Supplementary reporting under KP LULUCF | |
|--|--|
| Forest Management | Area under forest management is identified as the area reported under 5A1 as managed forests, reported in the national statistics as NFF. Information available at national level responds entirely to KP requirements. Consistency with 5A1 is ensured and explained in NIR 2012. The approach for land activity meets Reporting Method 2 of the IPCC. |
| | As under 5A1, C stock changes in SOC, DW, LT estimates are reported as not yet available; efforts are being made to use current datasets (ICP Forest performed in 1996 and 2006). |
| Afforestation / reforestation | Information available at national level fully responds to KP requirements. Consistency with 5A2 is ensured and explained in the NIR 2012. The approach for land activity meets Reporting Method 2 of the IPCC. |
| | C stock change data in all pools is available from the monitoring of an AR KP JI project which implements monitoring by repetitive measurements in permanent plots (Tier 2). |
| Deforestation | Information available at national level fully responds to KP requirements. Consistency with 5A2, 5B2, 5C2, 5D2, 5E2, 5F2, is ensured and explained in NIR 2012. The approach for land activity meets Reporting Method 2 of the IPCC. C stock data is derived based on national average standing volume and standing & laying dead wood volume available from the provisional report of the new National Forest Inventory (2008). Emission associated with Litter pool is reported based on a national research study. Data available allow reaching Tier 2. |
| Revegetation | Information available at national level fully responds to KP requirements. Data is consistent with 5B1, as explained in NIR 2012. The approach for land activity meets Reporting Method 2 of the IPCC. |
| | C stock change data in all pools follow same approach as for AR (under similar technologies and species used for tree plantation on agricultural areas). Data available allow reaching Tier 2, combined with Tier 1 when tree plantations are older than 20 years old. |
| GHG sources in Tables 5(KP-II)3 and 5(KP-II)4 | Relevant CO ₂ and N ₂ O emissions were estimated and reported for 2008 and 2009. The consistency with the inventory reporting was ensured. |

Table 6: Progresses to be accomplished through the implementation of study 6, besides the elements developed by study 2

| | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <p>5A – Forest Land</p> | <p>The key planned improvement is the use of the new NFI data for reporting living biomass for the category “Forest Land remaining Forest Land”. This is likely to be available in the 2013 NGHGI submission or as soon as the current growth data becomes available. When available, the time series will be totally or partially recalculated, thus updated data will be used, at least since 2008 and a method to adequately distinguish between and harmonize amongst the IFF 1984 and NFI 2008 data shall also be implemented. The implementation of 2012 program of work on NFI is therefore instrumental in this regard. Current or future data will allow reaching Tier 2 with updated national data.</p> |
| | <p>Other parameters currently used have to be updated based on NFI (for example, the volume of standing wood, dead wood, etc.). These improvements carried out through the implementation of 2012 program of NFI will also allow better evaluations for all parameters and proxies related to “forest vegetation outside the national forest fund”, both in terms of structure (composition, age, annual growth, etc), as well as in relation to the activity data for VFAFF.</p> |
| | <p>C stock changes in DOM will be derived separately on DW and LT pools. The stock of C from dead wood (DW) pool in Forest Land remaining Forest Land from NFI data will be available for the commitment period (2008-2012). Historically there is no quantitative data on dead organic matter pool in Romanian forests. For the entire time series the C stock change from dead wood has to be obtained by simulation with a model based on the forest inventory data.</p> <p>At the beginning, the data from IFF 1984 will be employed, as a workaround before NFI data are available, and later on validated NFI data (as far as NFI will only provide DOM stock at a given moment in time and additional work to generate time changes in this pool will be needed). For this, the Forest Research and Management Institute Bucharest (ICAS Bucharest) is retrieving the entire database of the inventory of forest fund 1984 (e.g. standing volume, annual growth, species composition and age structure) at the most disaggregate level available (namely 400 forest districts covering the entire country), and started running CBM-CFS3 (Carbon Budget Model of the Canadian Forest Sector) developed by Werner A. Kurz and CFS Carbon Accounting Team of Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Victoria, BC). Bridging between IFF 84 and NFI 2008 is currently tested. Estimating changes in this C pool, using simulation and new NFI data will provide results by the end of 2013 and expected to be reported in 2014 submission. This will allow a Tier 3 method.</p> |
| | <p>A similar simulation will also be used to estimate C stock change in litter (LT) in Forest Land remaining Forest Land and C stock for the estimation of emissions in conversion from Forest Land. Quantitative validation of simulated C stock will be done based on collecting litter data from selected NFI plots. This will allow a Tier 3 method. LT pool it will be reported by 2014 submission, following same timing with reporting of DW.</p> |

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| | <p>To report change of C stocks of the organic matter in mineral soils (SOC) under a Tier 2 or Tier 3, improvements are expected based on three approaches:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Simulation of C stocks and changes with the forest increment data given by the IFF 1984. Such simulations will be further validated with the results measured in the NFI and/or management plans database (all/part of C pools: biomass, dead wood, litter). Further on, once the actual increment data will be available from the NFI, final simulations will be run in order to obtain the changes in these C pools. CBM empirical models are preferred upon the type of data available (IF84/NFI and harvest statistics) as far as the model operates based on forestry parameters/statistics. A parallel run of Yasso07 (Lisky et al, 2009) might be also employed using biomass inputs to soil from CBM and global parameters of Yasso07. Later validation exercise will involve the updated NFI data of C stocks in available pools (dead wood and organic matter in mineral soils). • Soil database of the forest management plans (FMP) combined with the NFI soil available data, to be used for validation of simulations outputs and also to support application of “not a source” principle for forest management areas. The FMP database contains soil analysis associated to management activity, gathered since 1960. Datasets are expected to be complete with respect to humus content, site and stand description parameters. Limitation might come from the particularity of sampling points which were randomly and non-repetitively located. In the Romanian forest management planning system, the country national forest fund is “screened” every 10 years, therefore several time series since 1960 are available. The work implies retrieval of datasets (data is currently archived as print) and definition of the statistical processing method. • Work already carried out by exploring ICP Forest datasets 1994 and 2004. Processing of ICP Forest datasets have shown an annual drop of C stock which is considered non credible under national circumstances (~0.5 t C/ha/yr for any type of soils). The problem seems to be related to the methodological differences in 1994 (humus on 30 cm depth determined by Kjeldahl) and 2004 (method involved elemental analyzer on 40 cm depth) or incomplete information on the management approaches in the sampling plots (issues to be further analyzed). Under limited data, it was not possible to credibly harmonize these data, but further analysis is expected to be finalized next year. Another option would be to re-sample the C content in the same known plots in 2012, having used a method consistent with the one in 2004. • The work is expected to provide results by the end of 2013 and reported in the 2014 submission. <p>Despite likely negligible emissions from organic soils on Forest land (such area is generally under protected areas, as explained in the version 4 of the 2011 NGHGI), activity data needs to be rechecked based on country database and underlying national definitions and IPCC guidelines, which will allow reaching a Tier 2 for activity data. The IPCC default emission factor will continue to be used. Tier 2 estimates will be developed, the work being expected to provide results by the end of 2013, and the results incorporated in the 2014 NGHGI.</p> |
| 5B – Cropland | <p>Study 6 also encompasses a research project related to reporting soils for all land categories and various conversions. The purpose of the project is to reach Tier 2 of IPCC for emission factors, starting from country specific data on soils C stocks and development of C stock adjustment factors</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | starting from IPCC default values and structure on crop rotation, fertilization and technology applied. Organic soils area needs to be rechecked according the country databases and national/IPCC guideline, estimated and emissions reported. The works would be based on "Monitoring soil quality in Romania" dataset and other available national data and references. The work is expected to provide results by the end of 2012 and reported in the 2013 submission. |
| 5C – Grassland | With respect to “5.C.1 - Grassland remaining Grassland” category , study 6 also encompasses a research project related to reporting emissions from soils for all land categories and related conversions. The purpose of that project is to reach a Tier 2 of IPCC for emission factors, starting from country specific data on soils C stocks (reference values) and adaptation of C stock adjustment factors (starting from IPCC default values) based on other information on pasture and hayfield management and adequate expertise from these sectors. The works would be based on "Monitoring soil quality in Romania" dataset and other available national data and references. The work is expected to provide results by the end of 2012 and reported in the 2013 submission. |
| 5D – Wetlands | As previously mentioned for grassland and cropland, the calculation of emissions from conversion to wetlands will be presented in a future version of the national GHG inventory, based on national data available in the database of the project "Monitoring soil quality in Romania" (ICPA, 2006), considering the IPCC methodologies available. For reporting C stock changes in soils a Tier 2 is expected. The work is expected to provide results by the end of 2012 and reported in the 2013 submission. |
| 5E – Settlements | Improvements under this land category are related to the targets assumed for the other land categories and expected under the same schedule (submission 2013). Reference C stock in the soils under settlements could be reanalyzed as well as the transition period to this category. For reporting C stock changes in soils a Tier 2 is expected. The work is expected to provide results by the end of 2013 and reported in the 2014 submission. |
| 5F – Other land | The land use change matrix is subject to continuous verification and improvement, and ways to control and verify the parameters specific to this land category need to be further improved. Additional exploration of national soils databases will be enclosed in study 6 in order to improve reporting on soils. For reporting C stock changes in soils a Tier 2 is expected. The work is expected to provide results by the end of 2012 and reported in the 2013 submission. |
| Supplementary reporting under KP LULUCF | |
| Forest Management | Planned improvements are described under item 5A Forestland, above. Available activity data are consistent with the requirements under Approach 2 for land representation of KP activities. Actions described above under 5A to develop C stock change factors will allow a Tier 2/3, |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| | for C stock changes in SOC, DW and LT pools. Results on living biomass growth are expected by the end of 2012, while those on SOM, DW and LT are expected to be reflected in the reporting by 2014 submission. |
| Afforestation / reforestation | Planned improvements are linked with the AR KP Joint implementation project implemented in Romania, which will offer data on C stock change factors for SOC, DW and LT for this activity (to be either used for reporting estimates or support “no source” in small pools). These improvements will allow a Tier 2 for all pools and will be reported in the 2013 submission. |
| Deforestation | Activity data is further checked in order to ensure the incorporation of all emissions from deforestation of any land converted from forest. Planned improvements of C stock change factors are related to the improvements described under item 5A Forestland, mainly updated value of national /regional average biomass C stock before conversion from standing wood stock and standing and fallen dead wood stock (both from NFI), while LT can be derived from simulations and validated by direct measurements. These improvements will allow a Tier 2 for all pools and will be reported in 2013 submission. |
| Revegetation | Planned improvements refer to refining the activity data for better data structure on tree species. These improvements will allow a Tier 2 for all pools and will be reported in 2013 submission. |
| GHG emission tables | Confirmation of activity data by further in-depth checks of sectoral reporting statistics. The results will allow to reach Tier 2 for activity data and results will be reported in 2013 submission. |
| NIR text | Updating the text of Ch. 7 on LULUCF inventory and Ch. 11 on KP LULUCF activities. |

Narcis JELER
National Focal Point for UNFCCC


