



---

**COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE**

**CC/ERT/ARR/2010/35/Corr.1**  
**4 August 2010**

**Report of the individual review of the annual submission of  
New Zealand submitted in 2009**

**Corrigendum**

**Note by the secretariat**

A corrigendum to the report of the individual review of the annual submission of New Zealand submitted in 2009 that was published on 10 May 2010 and forwarded to the Compliance Committee on 11 May 2010 is attached to the annex to this note.





---

## **Report of the individual review of the annual submission of New Zealand submitted in 2009\***

### **Corrigendum**

#### **Paragraph 71**

For the existing text *substitute*

71. New Zealand is planning to make substantial improvements for estimating emissions and removals of this sector in its next submission. Data from the LUCAS system, based on land-use mapping, will be available and will provide a consistent time-series of land use for the whole period since 1990. Areas of land-use change will be identifiable and will provide consistent estimates of carbon stock changes for the whole time-series. New Zealand affirms that the new LUCAS database will meet the requirement for GHG inventory information under Article 7, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol which is described in the annex to decision 15/CMP.1. The ERT greatly appreciates the efforts made by New Zealand and welcomes the planned improvements. The ERT also noted from the NIR and information received during the review that, consistent with the IPCC good practice guidance for LULUCF, New Zealand documents afforestation and reforestation through data collected annually from forest owners and through land-use change mapping. Such data are expected to demonstrate decisions taken to plant new trees or to allow forest regeneration. The ERT further notes that the area of afforestation and reforestation will be confirmed by the production of a full-coverage, land-use map of New Zealand at the end of 2012.

---

---

\* In the symbol for this document, 2009 refers to the year in which the inventory was submitted, and not to the year of publication.