



COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

CC/ERT/ASR/2016/4 1 September 2016

Status report of the annual inventory of New Zealand

Note by the secretariat

The status report of the annual inventory of New Zealand was published on 30 June 2016. For purposes of rule 10, paragraph 2, of the Rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee (annex to decision 4/CMP.2, as amended by decisions 4/CMP.4 and 8/CMP.9), the report is considered received by the secretariat on the same date. This report, FCCC/ASR/2016/NZL, contained in the annex to this note, is being forwarded to the Compliance Committee in accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the annex to decision 27/CMP.1 and paragraph 49 of the annex to decision 22/CMP.1.





Distr.: General 30 June 2016

English only

Status report of the annual inventory of New Zealand

- 1. This status report was prepared by the secretariat pursuant to decision 22/CMP.1 in conjunction with 4/CMP.11, as part of the initial check of New Zealand's annual inventory, submitted in accordance with decisions 24/CP.19, 15/CMP.1 in conjunction with 3/CMP.11 and 2/CMP.8. It reflects the content of the inventory submission of 2016 as originally submitted by the Party.
- 2. In this report, the following abbreviations are used:

CRF: common reporting format

GHG: greenhouse gas

HWP: harvested wood products

KP-LULUCF: Article 3, paragraphs 3 and

4, activities under the Kyoto Protocol

LULUCF: land use, land-use change and

forestry

IPPU: industrial processes and product use

NIR: national inventory report

SBDT: sectoral background data tables

SEF: standard electronic format SWDS: solid waste disposal sites

Gases

CO2: carbon dioxide CH4: methane N2O: nitrous oxide

HFCs: hydrofluorocarbons PFCs: perfluorocarbons

MIX: Unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs

SF6: sulphur hexafluoride NF3: nitrogen trifluoride NOX: nitrogen oxides CO: carbon monoxide

NMVOCs: non-methane volatile organic

compounds

SO2: sulphur dioxide

Kyoto Protocol units

AAU: assigned amount unit ERU: emission reduction unit

RMU: removal unit

CER: certified emission reduction ICER: long-term certified emission

reduction

tCER: temporary certified emission

reduction


Notation keys

C: confidential

IE: included elsewhere NA: not applicable NE: not estimated NO: not occurring

GE.16-11279(E)







			GRI	EENHO	OUSE GAS	S INVE	NTORY S	SUBMISSIC	ON					
		Date of 1	receipt		Date of res	submissi	on	Comments						
	NIR	20 May	2016											
	CRF tables ^a	20 May	y 2016											
General information	Additional information ^b							Files subr AgQC_20 file.xlsx; l R5_Repor R5_Repor QA&QC_ IPPU_201 T1 QC_sc	016 inv. Exampl rts_201: rts_201: _LULU0 16 inv.x	pdf; co e_PR_ 5_CP1 5_CP2 CF and lsx; T1	ompile Energy _NZ.x _NZ.x KP_2	d al y.do lsx: lsx: 2010 Ene	ll sectors (ocx; SIAR ; SIAR_R ; T1 6.xlsx; T1 ergy.xlsx a	QA R_R2- 2- QC_
Ge	CRF provided for years	1990-2	014											
	Base year or period ^c	1990	E	Base y	ear for H PFCs,		1990			Base NF3 ^e	year fo	or		
	Gases covered	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	HFCs	PFCs	MIX	SF ₆	NF ₃	NO_X	CO	N	MVOCs	SO_2
		√	√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	√	✓	~	/	/
NIR	Description				of the NI guidelin		general,	follows the	e structi	ire out	lined i	n tł	ne updated	l
7	Language of NIR	Engli	ish											
CRF^g	Missing source catego cent for categories inc the Kyoto Protocol				7 per ce	ent asso	essment	not applic	able in	2016				

- ^a CRF tables are contained in annex II to decision 24/CP.19.
- ^b Additional information can be any information submitted by a Party in conjunction with the NIR or CRF tables.
- ^c Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy may use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.
- d A Party may select 1990 or 1995 as the base year for HFC, PFC and SF $_6$ gases in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol.
- ^e A Party may select 1990, 1995 or 2000 as the base year for NF₃ in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8 bis of the Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol.
- ^f "Revision of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for Parties included in Annex I to the Convention" (decision 24/CP.19).
- ^g A Party has not reported an estimate for an Annex A source category that accounted for 7 per cent or more of the Party's aggregate emissions (decision 15/CMP.1, para. 3(b), in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11).

	SUBMISSION OF S	SUPPLEMENTARY	Y INFORMATION UNI	DER ARTICLE 7.1	
		Date of receipt	Date of resubmission	Comments	
ion	KP-LULUCF information ^a	20-May-16		NIR, chapter 11, page 34	8
y information	KP-LULUCF CRF ^b	20 May 2016		KP-LULUCF CRF provided for years:	1990, 2013- 2014
of supplementary	Information on Kyoto units (ERUs, CERs, RMUs and AAUs) ^c	20-May-16		NIR, chapter 12, page 37	2
idns fc	SEF ^d with Kyoto Protocol units	20-May-16		Year submitted: 2015	
Reporting	Change in national system ^e	20-May-16		NIR, chapter 13, page 39	9
Repc	Change in national registry ^e	20-May-16		NIR, chapter 14, page 40	0
	Minimization of adverse impacts ^e	20-May-16		NIR, chapter 15, page 40	2

^a Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, in accordance with the decision 2/CMP.8, annex II, paragraphs 1–5.

^b Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, forest management and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4. Parties may also elect to report these tables for the base year or 1990.

^c Parties are required to include in their annual submission information on ERUs, CERs, tCERs, tCERs, AAUs and RMUs, in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1, annex, chapter I.E, in conjunction with annex II to decision 3/CMP.11,.

^d SEF for reporting Kyoto Protocol units, as required by annex II to decision 3/CMP.11.

^e The requirements for Parties to report on changes in national systems in accordance with Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol, changes in national registries, and the minimization of adverse impacts in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 14, of the Kyoto Protocol, are set out in decision 15/CMP.1, annex, chapters I.F, I.G and I.H respectively, in conjunction with annex II to decision 3/CMP.11.

		Energy		IPPU		Agricult	ure	LULU	CF	KP-LULUCF	ı	Waste	
	Sectoral report tables	1	√	2(I)	✓	3	√	4	✓	NIR-1	✓	5	✓
				2(II)	✓								_
	Sectoral background data tables	1.A(a)	√	2(I).A-H	√	3.A	✓	4.1	✓	NIR-2	√	5.A	✓
		1.A(b)	~	2(II).B-H	✓	3.B(a)	√	4.A	√	NIR-3	√	5.B	✓
		1.A(c)	√			3.B(b)	✓	4.B	√	4(KP)Recalc		5.C	/
		1.A(d)	~			3.C	✓	4.C	✓	4(KP)	√	5.D	√
		1.B.1	√			3.D	✓	4.D	√	4(KP-I)A.1	✓		
		1.B.2	~			3.E	✓	4.E	✓	4(KP- I)A.1.1	√		
1 annes		1.C	√			3.F	✓	4.F	√	4(KP-I)A.2	✓		
		1.D	~			3.G-I	√	4(I)	√	4(KP- I)A.2.1	✓		
				_			•	4(II)	√	4(KP-I)B.1	✓		
								4(III)	✓	4(KP- I)B.1.1	√		
								4(IV)	✓	4(KP- I)B.1.2			
								4(V)	✓	4(KP- I)B.1.3	√		
										4(KP-I)B.2			

					4(KP-I)B.3		
					4(KP-I)B.4		
					4(KP-I)B.5		
					4(KP-I)C	✓	
					4(KP-II)1	✓	
					4(KP-II)2	√	
					4(KP-II)3	✓	
					4(KP-II)4	✓	
					Accounting table	~	
Summary tables	Summary 1.A	√	Summary 2	√	Summary 3		√
Other tables	Table 6 (Indirect emissions)	√	Table 7 (Key categories)	√	Table 8 (Reca	alculation)	
	Table 9 (Completeness)	✓	Table 10 (Emission Trends)	✓			
Comments	Key categories are		in the NIR with some differer	nces (for exam	mple in the level of aggr	regation) compa	ared to CRF Tab

^a Parties are required to report anthropogenic GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol, and, if any, elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, using the agreed CRF tables adopted under decision 6/CMP.9.

	Provision of in	nformation for t	he late	st reporte	PAI d inventory		ar in the commo	on reporting fo	ormat: 2014	(continu	ıed)	
Totals	Provided for gases	CO₂ ✓	СН4	✓	N₂O ✓		HFCs 🗸	PFCs 🗸	Mix 1	/ 9	SF_6 \checkmark	NF ₃ ✓
To	Provided for years	1990–2014	1990	-2014	1990–2014	4	1992–2014	1990–2014			1990– 2014	
<i>CO</i> 2	Comparison of	Reference appr	roach	Sectoral			ference more n 2 per cent	If difference	is more the	an 2 per	cent	
	CO_2 from fuel combustion	✓		✓				Explanation	provided			
$HFCs,\ PFCs,\ SF_{\delta}$		HFCs			PFCs			Unspecified HFCs and F		SF ₆		NF_3
HFCs	Disaggregation by species	✓			✓							
Notation keys	Used in	Summary table	1.A	~	Sectoral 1	repo	ort tables	_	Sectoral bo data tables	-	ıd	√
V	Comments											

											Prov	visio	n of c	comn	non 1			RT II format tables for years reported
										Year	·s							
				Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Comments
	Sect	oral report: ta	ıble 1	✓	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓	√		✓	✓	√	✓	
		Table 1.A(a)		✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	
		Table 1.A(b)	l	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	
		Table 1.A(c)		√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	~	✓	√	
Energy		Table 1.A(d)	ı	√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	~	✓	√	
En	SBDT	Table 1.B.1		✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	~	✓	√	
		Table 1.B.2		✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	~	✓	√	
		Table 1.C		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2014. For these years the notation key NO is used.
		Table 1.D		✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	√	√	✓	
	Sect		Table 2(I)	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	✓	
- n	repo		Table 2(II)	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	
IPPU	TC	Table 2(I).A	-H	√	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	V	✓	✓	
	SBDT	Table 2(II).E	s-H	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	

																	PART II
									P	Provi	sion	of co	mmo	n re	orti	ng fo	ormat tables for years reported (continued)
									Year	rs							
			Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	5005	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Comments
	Secto	oral report: Table 3	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	V	
		Table 3.A	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	
		Table 3.B(a)	√	√	√	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓	√	
		Table 3.B(b)	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	✓	√	
Agriculture		Table 3.C	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2014. For these years the notation key NO is used.
Agr	SBDT	Table 3.D	√	✓	✓	V	√	V	✓	V	V	√	✓	√	✓	✓	
		Table 3.E	√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2014. For these years the notation keys IE and NA are used.
		Table 3.F	✓	✓	✓	V	√	√	✓	V	V	√	✓	✓	√	✓	
		Table 3.G-I	√	✓	√	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	
	Secto	oral report: Table 4	✓	√	V	V	√	√	✓	V	V	√	√	√	√	√	
		Table 4.1	√	✓	V	~	√	✓	✓	~	~	√	√	√	✓	√	
		Table 4.A	√	✓	V	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	V	
UCF		Table 4.B	√	✓	V	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	V	
LULUCF	SBDT	Table 4.C	√	√	√	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	
		Table 4.D	√	V	V	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	
		Table 4.E	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	
		Table 4.F	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

														1	PART II
							F	Provi	sion (of co	mmo	n rep	ortir	ng fo	rmat tables for years reported (continued)
							Year	S							
	Base year ^a	0661	2661	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Comments
Table 4.G	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Table 4(I)	√	✓	✓	✓	√	V	V	✓	✓	√	V	V	✓	√	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2014. For these years the notation key IE is used.
Table 4(II)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2014. For these years the notation keys IE, NE and NO are used.
Table 4(III)	✓	√	V	V	✓	✓	✓	V	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Table 4(IV)	√	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√	√	✓	~	√	√	~	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 1990–2014. For these years the notation key IE is used.
Table 4(V)	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	√	✓	√	√	

																	PART II
									P	Provi	sion (of co	mmo	n rej	porti	ing fo	ormat tables for years reported (continued)
									Year	·s							
			Base year ^a	0661	2661	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	5005	2010	1107	2102	2013	2014	Comments
	Sectoral report:	Table NIR-1													✓	✓	
		Table NIR-2													✓	√	
		Table NIR-3													✓	√	
		Table 4(KP)Rec alculations															
		Table 4(KP)	✓	√											✓	√	
JCF	Table	e 4(KP-I)A.1													✓	√	
KP-LULUCF	Table	e P-I)A.1.1													√	✓	
	Table	e 4(KP-I)A.2													✓	✓	
	DA.2	e 4(KP-													✓	√	
	Table	e 4(KP-I)B.1	√	✓											√	✓	
	Table	e 4(KP- .1													√	~	
	Table	e 4(KP- .2															
	Table I)B.1	e 4(KP- .3													✓	√	

							T	Provi	cion .	of oo	mmo	n ro	aarti		PART II ormat tables for years reported (continued)
							Year		51011	or co.		11 1 0	, or u	ng it	minat capies for years reported (continued)
	Base year ^a	0661	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	5009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Comments
Table 4(KP-I)B.2															
Table 4(KP-I)B.3															
Table 4(KP-I)B.4															
Table 4(KP-I)B.5															
Table 4(KP-I)C	√	✓											√	√	
Table 4(KP-II)1													√	✓	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 2013–2014. For these years the notation key IE is used.
Table 4(KP-II)2													✓	√	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 2013–2014. For these years the notation key NE is used.
Table 4(KP-II)3													√	✓	
Table 4(KP-II)4													✓	√	
Table Accounting	✓	✓											√	✓	

								P	rovis	sion (of con	nmoi	n rep	ortii			RT II It tables for years reported (continued)
									Year	rs							
			Base year ^a	0661	2661	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	7017	Comments
	Sect	oral report: Table 5	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
		Table 5.A	√	V	✓	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	
Waste	SBDT	Table 5.B	√	✓	✓	√	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	√	No numerical data are reported in this table for the years 2013–2014. For these years the notation keys NE and NO are used.
		Table 5.C	√	√	✓	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	
		Table 5.D	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

														PA	RT	II	
							Prov	visio	n of c	omn	on r	epor	ting	form	at ta	abl	les for years reported (continued)
								Year	rs								
		Base year ^a	1990	1995	2000	2005	2006	2007	2008	5005	2010	1102	2012	2013	2014	2014	Comments
	Summary 1.A	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	V	✓		
	Summary 2 (CO ₂ equivalent emissions)	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓		
les	Summary 3 (Methods/emission factors)	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√	✓	✓	✓		
ter tab	Table 6	√	√	√	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	√	√	√	✓		
Summary and other tables	(Indirect emissions of N ₂ O and CO ₂)																
umma	Table 7 (Key categories)	√	V	✓	V	✓	V	✓	✓	✓	√	√	√	V	✓		
3,	Table 8 (Recalculation – recalculated data)	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	√	✓	√	✓	✓	✓			
	Table 9 (Completeness)	V	V	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	√	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	Table 10 (Trends)	✓	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	√	√	√	√	✓	✓	✓		

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

PART III								
Provision of information relating to recalculations								
Table 8 (Recalculated data)	✓	Comments	Explanatory information relating to recalculations is provided in the NIR					
Recalculation reported for years	ecalculation reported for years 1990-2013							
Recalculated sectors/gases	Energy		IPPU	Agriculture	LULUCF	Waste	KP-LULUCF	
CO_2	✓		✓	✓	✓	✓		
CH ₄	√		√	✓	√	√		
N_2O	✓		√	✓	✓	✓		
HFCs			√					
PFCs			√					
Unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs			✓					
SF ₆			√					
NF ₃			√					
	Base year estimate: ^a		With LULUCF:	-3.06%	Without LULUCF:	-1.34%		
aggregate greenhouse gas emission	Latest recalculated year: ^b		With LULUCF:	1.95%	Without LULUCF:	-0.82%		

^a Parties included in Annex I to the Convention undergoing the process of transition to a market economy are allowed to use a base year or a period of years other than 1990, in accordance with the provisions of Article 4, paragraph 6, of the Convention and decisions 9/CP.2 and 11/CP.4.

^b The latest recalculated year is the submission year minus 3.

	PART IV					
	Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4					
			Provided	Observation		
	Emissions by sources and removals by sinks are clearly distinguished from emissions from categories included in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol		✓			
	Information on how inventory methodologies have been applied taking into account the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and any relevant supplementary guidance recognizing the principles laid out in decision 16/CMP.1.					
5-	Information on geographical location of the boundaries of areas that encompass:					
aphs I		Units of land subject to activities under Article 3, paragraph 3				
II, paragr		Units of land subject to activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, which would otherwise be included in land subject to forest management or elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4	√			
annex		Land subject to forest management or elected activities under Article 3, paragraph 4				
2/CMP.8,	Information on the spatial assessment unit for determining the area of accounting for afforestation, reforestation and deforestation		✓			
der decision?	Information on GHG emissions/removals resulting from activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, and Article 3, paragraph 4, for all geographical locations reported in the current and previous years since the beginning of the commitment period or the onset of the activity, whichever comes later.		√			
ments unc	Information on carbon pools (above-ground/below-ground biomass, litter, dead wood and soil organic carbon) that are not accounted for.		✓			
Reporting requirements under decision 2/CMP.8, annex II, paragraphs $1 ext{}5$	When a Party applies the provisions for natural disturbances, information demonstrating that these emissions in any single year exceed the background level(s), including a margin, when needed. For this purpose the Party shall include information showing:					
Rep		That all lands subject to exclusion due to natural disturbances are identified		New Zealand have not applied the provision for natural disturbances in the period 2013 to 2014		
		How annual emissions resulting from natural disturbances and the subsequent removals are estimated and excluded from accounting		New Zealand have not applied the provision for natural disturbances in the period 2013 to 2014		
		That no land-use change has occurred on lands for which the provisions in decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 33, are applied		New Zealand have not applied the provision for natural disturbances in the period 2013 to 2014		

PART IV				
Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 (continued)				
		Provided	Observation	
	That events and circumstances were beyond the control of the Party		New Zealand have not applied the provision for natural disturbances in the period 2013 to 2014	
	The efforts taken to rehabilitate the land for which the provisions contained in decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 33, are applied		New Zealand have not applied the provision for natural disturbances in the period 2013 to 2014	
	That emissions associated with salvage logging were not excluded from accounting		New Zealand have not applied the provision for natural disturbances in the period 2013 to 2014	
	accounted for by instantaneous oxidation, information on GHG emissions/removals resulting from is in the HWP pool accounted for in accordance with decision 2/CMP.7, including:			
	Activity data for the HWP categories used for estimating the pool removed from domestic forests, for domestic consumption and for export	✓		
	Half-lives used in estimating emissions/removals for the HWP categories used	✓		
	Whether emissions from HWP originating from forests prior to the start of the second commitment period have been included in the accounting, if the forest management reference level is based on a projection	√		
	How emissions from the HWP pool accounted for in the first commitment period on the basis of instantaneous oxidation have been excluded from the accounting for the second commitment period		Information required in paragraph 2(g)(iv) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	How the HWP resulting from deforestation have been accounted on the basis of instantaneous oxidation	√		
	How CO2 emissions from HWP in SWDS and from wood harvested for energy purposes have been accounted on the basis of instantaneous oxidation		Information required in paragraph 2(g)(vi) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	How emissions/removals from changes in the HWP pool accounted for do not include imported harvested wood products		Information required in paragraph 2(g)(vii) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	Information on anthropogenic GHGs from LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, and Article 3, paragraph 4, factoring out removals from:			
	Elevated CO ₂ concentrations above pre-industrial levels	✓		
	Indirect nitrogen deposition	✓		

PART IV				
Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 (continued)				
			Observation	
	The dynamic effects of age structure resulting from activities prior to 1 January 1990	✓		
Specific	c information to be reported for activities under Article 3, paragraph. 3:			
	Activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, that began on or after 1 January 1990 and before 31 December of the last year of the commitment period, and are directly human-induced	√		
-	How harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from deforestation	✓		
Specific	c information to be reported for activities under Article 3, paragraph 4:			
	Activities under Article 3.4 that occurred since 1 January 1990 and are human induced		Information required in paragraph 5(a) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	Cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation, wetland drainage and rewetting: emissions/removals reported for each year of the commitment period and for the base year for each of the elected activities on the geographical locations reported		New Zealand does could not elect cropland management, grazing land management, revegetation, wetland drainage or rewetting	
	Emissions/removals from activities under Article 3, paragraph 4, are not accounted for under activities under Article 3, paragraph 3		Information required in paragraph 5(c) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	Information on how emissions arising from the conversion of natural forests to planted forests are accounted for		Information required in paragraph 5(d) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	Methodological consistency between the reference level and reporting for forest management		Information required in paragraph 5(e) of annex II to decision 2/CMP.8 could not be identified in the submission	
	Technical corrections made pursuant to decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 14	✓		
Forest management: if emissions/removals from the harvest and conversion of forest plantations to non-forest land were included, information how requirements set out in decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraphs 37–39 were met, including:			'	
	The identification of all lands and associated carbon pools subject to decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 37, including the georeferenced location and year of conversion		New Zealand has not applied the provision for Carbon equivalent forests in the period 2013 to 2020	

	PART IV					
	Provision of information relating to KP-LULUCF activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4 (continued)					
			Provided	Observation		
		A demonstration that the forest plantation was first established through direct human-induced planting and/or seeding of non-forest land before 1 January 1990, and, if the forest plantation was re-established, that this last occurred on forest land through direct human-induced planting and/or seeding after 1 January 1960		New Zealand has not applied the provision for Carbon equivalent forests in the period 2013 to 2020		
		A demonstration that a new forest of at least equivalent area to the harvested forest plantation is established through direct human-induced planting and/or seeding of nonforested land that did not contain forest on 31 December 1989		New Zealand has not applied the provision for Carbon equivalent forests in the period 2013 to 2020		
		A demonstration that this newly established forest will reach at least the equivalent carbon stock that was contained in the harvested forest plantation at the time of harvest, within the normal harvesting cycle of the harvested forest plantation, and, if not, a debit would be generated under Article 3, paragraph 4		New Zealand has not applied the provision for Carbon equivalent forests in the period 2013 to 2020		