



COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE

CC/ERT/IRR/2017/20 3 July 2017

Report on the review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol of Romania

Note by the secretariat

The report on the review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol of Romania was published on 21 June 2017. For purposes of rule 10, paragraph 2, of the rules of procedure of the Compliance Committee (annex to decision 4/CMP.2), the report is considered received by the secretariat on the same date. This report, FCCC/IRR/2016/ROU, contained in the annex to this note, is being forwarded to the Compliance Committee in accordance with section VI, paragraph 3, of the annex to decision 27/CMP.1.



United Nations

FCCC/IRR/2016/ROU



Distr.: General 21 June 2017

English only

Report on the review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol of Romania

Note by the expert review team

Summary

According to decision 2/CMP.8, each Party with a quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment inscribed in the third column of Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol, as contained in annex I to decision 1/CMP.8, shall submit to the secretariat a report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol. In accordance with decision 22/CMP.1, annex, paragraph 11, in conjunction with decision 4/CMP.11, the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount is subject to a review. This report presents the results of the technical review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol, conducted by an expert review team in accordance with the "Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol". The review took place from 12 to 17 September 2016 in Bonn, Germany.

GE.17-10074(E)





FCCC/IRR/2016/ROU

Contents

		Paragraphs	Page
I.	Introduction	1–2	3
II.	Summary of the reporting on mandatory elements in the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount	3	4
III.	Technical assessment of the elements reviewed	4	6
IV.	Questions of implementation	5	8
Annexes			
I.	Key relevant data for Romania		9
II.	Documents and information used during the review		14
III.	Acronyms and abbreviations		16

I. Introduction¹

- 1. The review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol (hereinafter referred to as the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount) of Romania was organized by the UNFCCC secretariat, in accordance with the "Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol". The review took place from 12 to 17 September 2016 in Bonn, Germany, and was coordinated by Mr. Matthew Dudley (UNFCCC secretariat). Table 1 provides information on the composition of the expert review team (ERT) that conducted the review of Romania.
- 2. A draft version of this report was communicated to the Government of Romania, which provided no comments.

Table 1
Composition of the expert review team that conducted the review of Romania

Area of expertise	Name	Party
Generalist	Mr. Justin Goodwin	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
	Ms. Melanie Hobson	United Kingdom
Energy	Ms. Rianne Dröge	Netherlands
	Mr. Naofumi Kosaka	Japan
	Ms. Tian Wang	China
	Mr. Benon Bibbu Yassin	Malawi
IPPU	Mr. Joseph Amankwa Baffoe	Ghana
	Mr. Vladimir Danielik	Slovakia
	Ms. Qing Tong	China
Agriculture	Mr. B. Jacques Kouazounde	Benin
	Mr. Chang Liang	Canada
LULUCF	Mr. Kevin Black	Ireland
	Mr. Markus Didion	Switzerland
	Mr. Agustin José Inthamoussu	Uruguay
	Mr. Dinh Hung Nguyen	Viet Nam
Waste	Mr. Philip Acquah	Ghana

At the time of publication of this report, Romania had submitted its instrument of ratification of the Doha Amendment; however, the amendment had not yet entered into force. The implementation of the provisions of the Doha Amendment is therefore considered in this report in the context of decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 6, pending the entry into force of the amendment.

² Decision 22/CMP.1 and its annex and any revisions contained in decision 4/CMP.11 and its annex I.

	Ms. Irina Yesserkepova	Kazakhstan
Lead reviewers	Mr. Philip Acquah	
	Mr. Justin Goodwin	

Abbreviations: IPPU = industrial processes and product use, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry.

II. Summary of the reporting on mandatory elements in the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount

3. Table 2 provides a summary of the ERT's assessment of the reporting of mandatory elements by Romania in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount. Key data and elections by the Party are included in table 4.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 2 \\ Expert review team's assessment of the reporting of mandatory elements by Romania in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount \\ \end{tabular}$

Item		Comment
General Party information		
Dates of submission		Original submission: 15 June 2016
		Revised submission: 5 August 2016
Are there any missing categories or issues related to completeness ^a in the reporting of GHG emissions by sources and removals by sinks for the base year or period?	Yes	For further information, see FCCC/ARR/2016/ROU
Was the GHG inventory recalculated in accordance with decision 4/CMP.7 for all years from 1989 to the most receyear available?	Yes	
Did the Party report the base year for NF ₃ ?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Information related to agreement by the Party under Artic commitments jointly	cle 4 of the Ky	oto Protocol to implement
Has complete information been reported in accordance wi decision 3/CMP.11, paragraph 11, by the Party in fulfilme of its agreement under Article 4 of the Kyoto Protocol in relation to the following:		
(a) Application of decision 1/CMP.8, paragraphs 23-26, related to carry-over and the previous period surplus reserve account	- Yes	For further information, see ID#5 in table 3
(b) Calculation of base-year emissions	Yes	
(c) Calculation of the assigned amount	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#2 in table 3

Item		Comment
(d) Calculation of the commitment period reserve	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#3 in table 3
(e) Application and calculation pursuant to decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 13	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Information related to the assigned amount and the commitment	ent period i	reserve
Was the assigned amount in the original submission calculated in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol, Article 3, paragraphs 7 bis and 8 bis, as contained in the Doha Amendment, and decision 13/CMP.1 in conjunction with decision 3/CMP.11?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#2 in table 3
Has the Party reported in the original submission the difference between the assigned amount for the second commitment period and average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period, multiplied by 8?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#4 in table 3
Has the Party indicated in the original submission the approach b used to calculate average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#4 in table 3
Did land-use change and forestry constitute a net source of GHG emissions in the base year, and therefore did the Party include emissions from deforestation in the calculation of the assigned amount?	No	
Was the commitment period reserve in the original submission calculated in accordance with the annex to decision 18/CP.7, the annex to decision 11/CMP.1, the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, paragraph 8 quinquies, and decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 18?	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For further information, see ID#3 in table 3
Information related to activities under Article 3, paragraphs	3 and 4, of	the Kyoto Protocol
If the Party identified activities elected under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol, are these elections in accordance with decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraphs 6–8?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Do the activities elected under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol for the second commitment period include at least those activities elected for the first commitment period?	Yes	
Is information reported on how the national system under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol will identify land areas associated with all additional elected activities and how the Party ensures that land that was accounted for in the first commitment period continues to be accounted for in the second commitment period?	Yes	
Has the Party identified for each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol whether it intends to account annually or for the entire commitment period?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Did the Party provide information on the forest management	Yes	See annex I, table 4. For

Item		Comment
reference level, including, if appropriate, information on technical corrections and information on how emissions from harvested wood products originating from forests prior to the start of the second commitment period have been calculated in the reference level?		further information, see FCCC/ARR/2016/ROU
Has the Party reported the quantity amounting to 3.5% of the base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, in the original submission?	No	See annex I, table 4
Did the Party indicate whether it intends to apply the provisions to exclude emissions from natural disturbances for the accounting for afforestation and reforestation and/or forest management and provide the relevant information in accordance with decision 2/CMP.7, annex, paragraph 33?	Yes	See annex I, table 4
Information related to the national system and national regis	etry	
Was a description of the national system provided, in accordance with the guidelines for national systems under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol?	NA	This information was already reported and reviewed as part of the initial review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the first commitment period and did not need to be reported
Was a description of the national registry provided, in accordance with the requirements contained in the annex to decision 13/CMP.1, the annex to decision 5/CMP.1 and the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems adopted by the CMP?	NA	This information was already reported and reviewed as part of the initial review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount for the first commitment period and did not need to be reported

Abbreviations: CMP = Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, NA = not applicable.

III. Technical assessment of the elements reviewed

4. In accordance with decision 22/CMP.1, and in conjunction with decisions 4/CMP.11 and 10/CMP.11, the review of the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount

^a Issues related to missing categories and completeness are only for those categories for which methods are available in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Guidelines (IPCC) 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories.

^b Parties may elect to calculate average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period by including either the gases and sources listed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol, or the GHGs, sectors and source categories used to calculate the assigned amount for the second commitment period.

for Romania has been undertaken together with the review of the inventory submission for the first year of the second commitment period. Table 3 contains additional information, if any, to support the ERT's assessment included in table 2 above of the Party's capacity to account for its emissions and the assigned amount, specifically related to: the calculation of the assigned amount for the second commitment period and any adjustments applied; information related to Article 3, paragraph 7 ter, as contained in the Doha Amendment; information related to reporting of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol; calculation of the commitment period reserve; and the national system and national registry.

Table 3
Additional findings of the expert review team, if any, related to Romania reporting of mandatory elements in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount

ID#	Finding classification	Description of the finding	Classification of problem
1.	Article 4 agreement	Upon adoption of the Doha Amendment, the European Union, its member States and Iceland stated that they intend to fulfil their reduction targets under the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol jointly. European Commission decision (EU) 2015/1339 sets out the terms of the joint fulfilment of the European Union, its member States and Iceland	Not a problem
2.	Calculation of the assigned amount	The assigned amount submitted by the Party in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount was calculated in accordance with Article 3, paragraphs 7 bis, 8 and 8 bis, of the Kyoto Protocol, the annex to decision 13/CMP.1 and annex I to decision 3/CMP.11	Not a problem
		The ERT notes that the European Union, its member States and Iceland stated that they will fulfil their reduction targets under the second commitment period jointly. ^a The joint assigned amount for the European Union, its member States and Iceland is calculated pursuant to the quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment listed in the third column of the table contained in Annex B to the Kyoto Protocol, while the assigned amount of each member State is determined in accordance with the terms of the joint fulfilment agreement. Specifically, the assigned amount for Romania is fixed based on Annex II to European Commission decision 2013/162/EU and as adjusted by the European Commission implementing decision 2013/634/EU ^b	
		During the review, Romania submitted revised GHG emission estimates (see FCCC/2016/ARR/ROU). The revised estimates for the base-year emissions do not affect the assigned amount for Romania, referred to in table 5 below because the assigned amount is determined on the basis of the allocations in the European Union decisions referenced above, and is not calculated using the base-year emission estimates for the Party. The ERT invites Romania to communicate the revised base-year emissions to the European Union with a view to being considered in the calculation of the joint assigned amount of the European Union, its member States and Iceland	
		The ERT concludes that the assigned amount reported by Romania is in	

³ The annual review report on the 2016 inventory submission of Romania is available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2017/arr/rou.pdf>, while the annual review report on the 2015 inventory submission of Romania is available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2016/arr/rou.pdf>.

ID#	Finding classification	Description of the finding	Classification of problem
		accordance with the joint fulfilment agreement by the European Union, its member States and Iceland	
3.	Calculation of the commitment period reserve	The commitment period reserve was calculated in accordance with the annex to decision 18/CP.7, the annex to decision 11/CMP.1 and decision 1/CMP.8, paragraph 18	Not a problem
		During the review, Romania submitted revised GHG emission estimates (see FCCC/2016/ARR/ROU). However, the commitment period reserve did not change as it is determined in accordance with the terms of the joint fulfilment agreement under the European Union; see ID#2 above	
4.	Reporting pursuant to Article 3, paragraph 7 ter, of the Doha Amendment	In line with the terms of the joint fulfilment of the European Union, its member States and Iceland under Article 3 of the Kyoto Protocol, and as described in the report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount of the European Union, Article 3, paragraph 7 ter, of the Kyoto Protocol is applied to the joint assigned amount of the European Union, its member States and Iceland for the second commitment period. In its report, the European Union includes the value for the difference between the joint assigned amount for the second commitment period and average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period for the member States and Iceland, multiplied by 8. The report of the European Union also clarifies that the approach used to calculate average annual emissions for the first three years of the first commitment period is the gases and sources listed in Annex A to the Kyoto Protocol	Not a problem
5.	National registry	The ERT noted that Romania's national inventory report did not provide information on the establishment of a previous period surplus reserve account (PPSR) in its national registry. The ERT also noted that in the 2016 standard independent assessment report, Romania indicated that the PPSR account is to be established in the next release of the consolidated registry software	Not a problem
6.	Adjustments	The ERT has not identified the need to apply any adjustments to the estimate for the assigned amount for the second commitment period, as reported by Romania in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount	Not a problem

Abbreviations: GHG = greenhouse gas, ERT = expert review team.

IV. Questions of implementation

5. No questions of implementation were identified by the ERT during the review.

^a The report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount of the European Union is available at http://unfccc.int/national_reports/initial_reports_under_the_kyoto_protocol/second_commitment_period_2013-2020/items/9499.php.

b At the time of publication of this report, the European Union had not yet submitted its instrument of ratification of the Doha Amendment or information on the joint implementation of such an amendment.

Annex I

Key relevant data for Romania

1. Table 4 provides key data and parameters for, and elections by, Romania, relevant for the implementation of the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol. The information included in table 4 is as given by the Party in its report to facilitate the calculation of the assigned amount, unless otherwise specified.

Table 4 **Key relevant data for Romania**

plement its reduction target commitment period jointly in Union, its member States escribed in ID#1, table 3. The European Union, its member d is 80% of the base-year
commitment period jointly in Union, its member States escribed in ID#1, table 3. The European Union, its member
commitment period jointly in Union, its member States escribed in ID#1, table 3. The European Union, its member
commitment period jointly in Union, its member States escribed in ID#1, table 3. The European Union, its member
O_2 eq
O_2 eq
ommitment period reserve
D_2 eq
calculated on the basis of the nount of the European Union, s and Iceland and is based on arces listed in Annex A to the
s calculated on the basis of the nount of the European Union, s and Iceland and is based on arces listed in Annex A to the
Since

Key information or parameter provided	Comment
he Party and agreed by the ERT	Kyoto Protocol
Commitment period reserve, as reported by the Party and agreed by the ERT	590 453 541 t CO ₂ eq
Information related to activities under Article 3, par	agraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol
LULUCF parameters	Minimum tree crown cover: 10%
	Minimum land area: 0.25 ha
	Minimum tree height: 5 m
Elections under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol:	
(a) Afforestation/reforestation	Commitment period accounting
(b) Deforestation	Commitment period accounting
(c) Forest management	Commitment period accounting
(d) Cropland management	Not elected
(e) Grazing land management	Not elected
(f) Revegetation	Commitment period accounting
(g) Wetland drainage and rewetting	Not elected
FMRL	−15.444 Mt CO ₂ eq/year
Fechnical corrections to the FMRL as reported in the original submission and agreed by the ERT	-3.665 Mt CO ₂ eq/year
3.5% of total base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, as reported by the Party	Not reported in the original submission
3.5% of total base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, final value, as calculated by the ERT	$10\ 672.220\ \mathrm{kt}\ \mathrm{CO_{2}}\ \mathrm{eq}$
3.5% of total base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, multiplied by 8, as reported by the Party	Not reported in the original submission
3.5% of total base-year GHG emissions, excluding LULUCF, multiplied by 8, final value as calculated by the ERT	85 377.759 kt CO ₂ eq
Will the Party exclude emissions from natural disturbances in accounting for:	
(a) Afforestation and reforestation	Yes
(b) Forest management	Yes

Abbreviations: ERT = expert review team, FMRL = forest management reference level, GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, QELRC = quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment.

2. Tables 5–7 provide an overview of total greenhouse gas emissions and removals, as submitted by Romania. Where a Party has decided to voluntarily report indirect carbon dioxide emissions, this is noted in the relevant table.

Table 5 **Total greenhouse gas emissions for Romania, base year**^a**-2014**^b (kt CO₂ eq)

Year	Total GHG emissions excluding indirect CO ₂ emissions		Total GHG emissions incl emission	Land-use change (Article 3.7 bis as contained	
	Total including LULUCF	Total excluding LULUCF	Total including LULUCF	Total excluding LULUCF	in the Doha Amendment) ^d
Base year	288 593.69	304 920.57	288 593.69	304 920.57	NA
1990	235 616.67	254 999.12	235 616.67	254 999.12	
1995	161 510.70	185 010.51	161 510.70	185 010.51	
2000	119 586.36	142 404.67	119 586.36	142 404.67	
2010	100 628.24	119 124.98	100 628.24	119 124.98	
2011	105 459.59	124 264.62	105 459.59	124 264.62	
2012	104 729.77	122 666.95	104 729.77	122 666.95	
2013	93 701.43	111 933.90	93 701.43	111 933.90	
2014	93 354.63	111 612.81	93 354.63	111 612.81	

Abbreviations: GHG = greenhouse gas, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, NA = not applicable.

^a Base year refers to the base year under the Kyoto Protocol, which is 1989 for CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, HFCs, PFCs and SF₆ and 2000 for NF₃. The base year for revegetation under Article 3, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol is 1989. For activities under Article 3, paragraph 3, of the Kyoto Protocol and forest management under Article 3, paragraph 4, only the inventory years of the commitment period must be reported.

^b Emissions/removals reported in the sector other (sector 6) are not included in total GHG emissions.

The Party has not reported indirect carbon dioxide emissions in common reporting format table 6.

^d The value reported in this column refers to 1989.

Table 6 Greenhouse gas emissions by gas for Romania, excluding land use, land-use change and forestry, 1989–2014^a (kt CO2 eq)

Year	$CO_2^{\ b}$	CH_4	N_2O	HFCs	PFCs	Unspecified mix of HFCs and PFCs	SF_6	NF_3
1989	211 195.74	71 421.42	18 416.02	0.16	3 886.75	NO	0.47	NO
1990	174 731.89	62 314.76	15 496.66	0.18	2 455.17	NO	0.47	NO
1995	128 587.00	43 002.29	11 359.75	2.53	2 057.96	NO	0.98	NO
2000	95 190.90	36 291.13	9 343.81	70.82	1 499.32	NO	8.68	NO
2010	80 795.77	29 750.67	7 526.23	982.46	9.13	NO	60.71	NO
2011	86 163.63	29 103.71	7 844.48	1 092.24	12.72	NO	47.83	NO
2012	84 661.23	29 612.04	7 138.06	1 197.43	7.43	NO	50.76	NO
2013	74 083.36	29 191.88	7 296.71	1 298.59	6.15	NO	57.20	NO
2014	74 046.47	29 101.50	7 033.44	1 373.28	6.34	NO	51.78	NO
Per cent change 1989– 2014	-64.9	-59.3	-61.8	879 413.1	-99.8	NA	10 802.2	NA

12

Abbreviations: NA = not applicable, NO = not occurring.

^a Emissions/removals reported in the sector other (sector 6) are not included in total greenhouse gas emissions.

^b Romania did not report indirect carbon dioxide emissions in common reporting format table 6.

Table 7 Greenhouse gas emissions by sector for Romania, 1989–2014^{a, b}

Year	Energy	IPPU	Agriculture	LULUCF	Waste	Other
1989	220 276.48	41 297.18	38 211.29	-16 326.88	5 135.62	NR
1990	185 182.37	30 132.53	34 660.86	-19 382.45	5 023.36	NR
1995	133 570.21	23 278.12	23 004.91	-23 499.81	5 157.27	NR
2000	100 862.92	18 268.13	17 910.19	-22 818.31	5 363.42	NR
2010	83 457.17	13 223.81	16 873.15	-18 496.75	5 570.86	NR
2011	88 277.42	13 889.38	17 092.80	-18 805.03	5 005.02	NR
2012	87 062.46	13 101.37	16 997.07	-17 937.19	5 506.05	NR
2013	77 505.36	11 090.71	17 550.23	-18 232.47	5 787.59	NR
2014	76 793.01	11 551.72	17 522.45	-18 258.18	5 745.63	NR
Per cent change 1989–2014	-65.1	-72.0	-54.1	11.8	11.9	NA

Abbreviations: IPPU = industrial processes and product use, LULUCF = land use, land-use change and forestry, NA = not applicable, NR = not reported.

^a Emissions/removals reported in the sector other (sector 6) are not included in total greenhouse gas emissions.

^b Romania did not report indirect carbon dioxide emissions in common reporting format table 6.

Annex II

Documents and information used during the review

A. Reference documents

"Guidelines for national systems for the estimation of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol". Annex to decision 19/CMP.1. Available at

http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2005/cmp1/eng/08a03.pdf#page=14.

"Guidelines for the preparation of the information required under Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol". Annex to decision 15/CMP.1. Available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2005/cmp1/eng/08a02.pdf>.

"Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol". Annex to decision 22/CMP.1. Available at

http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2005/cmp1/eng/08a03.pdf#page=51.

"Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual greenhouse gas inventories". Annex I to decision 24/CP.19. Available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2013/cop19/eng/10a03.pdf#page=4>.

"Guidelines for the technical review of information reported under the Convention related to greenhouse gas inventories, biennial reports and national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention". Annex to decision 13/CP.20. Available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2014/cop20/eng/10a03.pdf#page=6.

"Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, Part I: Implications related to accounting and reporting and other related issues". Decision 3/CMP.11. Available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cmp11/eng/08a01.pdf#page=5>.

"Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, Part II: Implications related to review and adjustments and other related issues". Decision 4/CMP.11. Available at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2015/cmp11/eng/08a01.pdf#page=30>.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2006. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Available at http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/2006gl/index.html.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. 2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol. Available at http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/kpsg>.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands. Available at http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/wetlands/index.html>.

B. Additional information provided by the Party

Responses to questions during the review were received from Mr. Sorin Deaconu (National Environmental Protection Agency), including additional material on the methodology and assumptions used.

Annex III

Acronyms and abbreviations

CH₄ methane

CMP Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto

Protocol

CO₂ carbon dioxide

CO₂ eq carbon dioxide equivalent ERT expert review team

FMRL forest management reference level

GHG greenhouse gas HFC hydrofluorocarbon

IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IPPU industrial processes and product use

kt kilotonne

LULUCF land use, land-use change and forestry

 $\begin{array}{lll} NA & & \text{not applicable} \\ NF_3 & & \text{nitrogen trifluoride} \\ NO & & \text{not occurring} \\ NR & & \text{not reported} \\ N_2O & & \text{nitrous oxide} \\ PFC & & \text{perfluorocarbon} \end{array}$

QELRC quantified emission limitation and reduction commitment

SF₆ sulphur hexafluoride

UNFCCC United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change